# Volume 22 - William McKinley Administration Election Reference

## Dense full-candidate edition

**1896 realignment - 1898 wartime midterm - pre-Seventeenth Amendment Senate**

The 1896 presidential election; every U.S. House general-election seat in 1896 and 1898; and a 26-contest winner register covering voting-seat House special elections from McKinley's inauguration through September 13, 1901.

| PRESIDENTIAL STATE RESULTS | HOUSE CONTESTS | SENATE CONTESTS | SPECIAL REGISTER |
|---|---|---|---|
| 45 | 714 | 0 | 26 |

## NAVIGATION AND PRINTING

The printed contents links to every office and election cycle. The PDF outline continues through state and individual district or statewide race. Race blocks run continuously instead of forcing one state or contest onto its own page. Every candidate record retained in the archive appears with party, votes, share, and incumbent status when available.

Special-election records remain winner-level when the underlying archive contains no complete candidate tally. A dash means unavailable, not zero. Provisional or uncalled contests are labeled and are not converted into certified outcomes.

Administration term: 1897-03-04 through 1901-09-14. Archive snapshot: 2026-11-09T02:28:16.573Z.

# Contents

Administration Analysis . . . . . 3

Federal Special-Election Winner Register . . . . . 4

1897 Special Elections . . . . . 4

1898 Special Elections . . . . . 4

1899 Special Elections . . . . . 4

1900 Special Elections . . . . . 5

1901 Special Elections . . . . . 5

Presidential Results . . . . . 6

1896 Presidential Election . . . . . 7

U.S. House Results . . . . . 15

1896 U.S. House . . . . . 16

1898 U.S. House . . . . . 64

U.S. Senate Results . . . . . 111

1896 U.S. Senate . . . . . 112

1898 U.S. Senate . . . . . 113

Methodology and Sources . . . . . 114

# Administration Analysis

**William McKinley defeated William Jennings Bryan 271-176 in the Electoral College and by 599,377 votes in the structured national popular-vote ledger. Republicans opened the 55th Congress with 206 House seats and 44 Senate seats, while Populist and silver-aligned blocs remained substantial. The 1898 midterm reduced the Republican House majority to 187 seats but expanded the party's Senate position to 53 seats. McKinley's second election in 1900 belongs to the Theodore Roosevelt succession volume; this volume's administration register ends immediately before Roosevelt's accession.**

| ELECTION | PRESIDENT | HOUSE AFTER ELECTION | SENATE AFTER ELECTION |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1896 | Republican | R 206 - D 124 - Populist 22 - Silver Republican 3 - Independent Republican 1 - Silver 1 | R 44 - D 34 - Populist 5 - Silver 1 - Silver Republican 5 - Silver 2 |
| 1898 | Republican | R 187 - D 161 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 2 - Independent Populist 1 - Silver 1 | R 53 - D 26 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 3 - Silver 2 - vacancy 1 |

## 1896 ELECTION ENVIRONMENT

McKinley defeated Bryan 271-176 in the Electoral College and by 599,377 votes in the structured national ledger. California split 8-1 and Kentucky split 12-1. The official 55th House election-day division was R 206 - D 124 - Populist 22 - Silver Republican 3 - Independent Republican 1 - Silver 1. The Senate opened R 44 - D 34 with 12 third-party members: five Populists, five Silver Republicans, and two Silvers.

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 124 | 206 | 27 | 38.39% | 51.19% | -12.80 D | 64 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

## 1898 ELECTION ENVIRONMENT

The official 56th House division was R 187 - D 161 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 2 - Independent Populist 1 - Silver 1. Republicans retained control despite losing 19 seats from their 1896 result. The Senate opened R 53 - D 26 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 3 - Silver 2 with one vacancy.

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 161 | 187 | 9 | 42.65% | 49.58% | -6.92 D | 60 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

## SPECIAL-ELECTION REGISTER

The administration register contains 26 House special-election winners through September 13, 1901. Three vacancy contests changed party: New York 3 moved Republican to Democratic in 1897; California 2 and Maryland 1 moved Democratic to Republican in 1900.

# Federal Special-Election Winner Register

Annual House-election tables provide the winner-level vacancy succession register. It excludes nonvoting territorial delegate vacancies and does not claim complete candidate vote totals.

## 1897 Special Elections

| DATE | RACE | VACANCY | WINNER | PARTY | CHANGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1897-04-20 | PA-25 | Seat vacated by James J. Davidson (REP). | Joseph B. Showalter | R | NO | Member-elect died January 2, 1897, before the term. New member elected April 20, 1897 and seated May 3, 1897. Republican hold. |
| 1897-06-01 | MO-01 | Seat vacated by Richard P. Giles (DEM). | James T. Lloyd | D | NO | Member-elect died November 17, 1896, before the term. New member elected June 1, 1897 and seated June 10, 1897. Democratic hold. |
| 1897-06-21 | ME-03 | Seat vacated by Seth L. Milliken (REP). | Edwin C. Burleigh | R | NO | Member-elect died April 18, 1897. New member elected June 21, 1897 and seated July 1, 1897. Republican hold. |
| 1897-08-10 | IN-04 | Seat vacated by William S. Holman (DEM). | Francis M. Griffith | D | NO | Incumbent died April 22, 1897. New member elected August 10, 1897 and seated December 6, 1897. Democratic hold. |
| 1897-10-12 | SC-06 | Seat vacated by John L. McLaurin (DEM). | James Norton | D | NO | Incumbent resigned May 31, 1897, when appointed U.S. Senator. New member elected October 12, 1897 and seated December 6, 1897. Democratic hold. |
| 1897-11-02 | MA-01 | Seat vacated by Ashley B. Wright (REP). | George P. Lawrence | R | NO | Incumbent died August 14, 1897. New member elected November 2, 1897 and seated December 6, 1897. Republican hold. |
| 1897-11-02 | NY-03 | Seat vacated by Francis H. Wilson (REP). | Edmund H. Driggs | D | YES | Incumbent resigned September 30, 1897, to become Postmaster of Brooklyn. New member elected November 2, 1897 and seated December 6, 1897. Democratic gain. |
| 1897-11-23 | IL-06 | Seat vacated by Edward D. Cooke (REP). | Henry S. Boutell | R | NO | Incumbent died June 24, 1897. New member elected November 23, 1897 and seated December 6, 1897. Republican hold. |

## 1898 Special Elections

| DATE | RACE | VACANCY | WINNER | PARTY | CHANGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1898-07-05 | MS-02 | Seat vacated by William V. Sullivan (DEM). | Thomas Spight | D | NO | Incumbent resigned when appointed U.S. senator. New member elected July 5, 1898. Democratic hold. |
| 1898-11-29 | MS-06 | Seat vacated by William F. Love (DEM). | Frank A. McLain | D | NO | Incumbent died October 16, 1898. New member elected November 29, 1898. Democratic hold. |

## 1899 Special Elections

| DATE | RACE | VACANCY | WINNER | PARTY | CHANGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1899-06-19 | ME-02 | Seat vacated by Nelson Dingley Jr. (REP). | Charles E. Littlefield | R | NO | Incumbent member-elect died January 13, 1899. New member elected June 19, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Republican hold. |
| 1899-08-29 | LA-05 | Seat vacated by Samuel T. Baird (DEM). | Joseph E. Ransdell | D | NO | Incumbent died April 22, 1899. New member elected August 29, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Democratic hold. |
| 1899-08-29 | MO-08 | Seat vacated by Richard P. Bland (DEM). | Dorsey W. Shackleford | D | NO | Incumbent died June 15, 1899. New member elected August 29, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Democratic hold. |
| 1899-11-07 | ME-01 | Seat vacated by Thomas B. Reed (REP). | Amos L. Allen | R | NO | Incumbent resigned September 4, 1899 to protest the Spanish–American War. New member elected November 7, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Republican hold. |
| 1899-11-07 | NE-06 | Seat vacated by William L. Greene (OTHER). | William Neville | OTH | NO | Incumbent died March 11, 1899. New member elected November 7, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Populist hold. |
| 1899-11-07 | NY-34 | Seat vacated by Warren B. Hooker (REP). | Edward B. Vreeland | R | NO | Incumbent member-elect resigned November 10, 1898 to become a Justice of the Supreme Court of New York. New member elected November 7, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Republican hold. |
| 1899-11-07 | OH-16 | Seat vacated by Lorenzo Danford (REP). | Joseph J. Gill | R | NO | Incumbent died June 19, 1899. New member elected November 7, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Republican hold. |
| 1899-11-07 | PA-09 | Seat vacated by Daniel Ermentrout (DEM). | Henry D. Green | D | NO | Incumbent died September 17, 1899. New member elected November 7, 1899 and seated December 4, 1899 with the rest of the House. Democratic hold. |
| 1899-12-18 | KY-07 | Seat vacated by Evan E. Settle (DEM). | June Ward Gayle | D | NO | Incumbent died November 16, 1899. New member elected December 18, 1899 and seated January 15, 1900. Democratic hold. |

# 1900 Special Elections

| DATE | RACE | VACANCY | WINNER | PARTY | CHANGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1900-04-28 | VA-04 | Seat vacated by Sydney P. Epes (DEM). | Francis R. Lassiter | D | NO | Incumbent died March 3, 1900. New member elected April 28, 1900. Democratic hold. |
| 1900-11-06 | CA-02 | Seat vacated by Marion De Vries (DEM). | Samuel D. Woods | R | YES | Incumbent resigned August 20, 1900. New member elected November 6, 1900. Republican gain. |
| 1900-11-06 | DE-AL | Seat vacated by John H. Hoffecker (REP). | Walter O. Hoffecker | R | NO | Incumbent died June 16, 1900. New member elected November 6, 1900. Republican hold. |
| 1900-11-06 | MD-01 | Seat vacated by John Walter Smith (DEM). | Josiah Kerr | R | YES | Incumbent resigned January 12, 1900. New member elected November 6, 1900. Republican gain. |
| 1900-11-06 | NJ-07 | Seat vacated by William D. Daly (DEM). | Allan L. McDermott | D | NO | Incumbent died July 31, 1900. New member elected November 6, 1900. Democratic hold. |

# 1901 Special Elections

| DATE | RACE | VACANCY | WINNER | PARTY | CHANGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1901-04-08 | ME-04 | Seat vacated by Charles A. Boutelle (REP). | Llewellyn Powers | R | NO | Incumbent member-elect resigned during previous congress. New member elected April 8, 1901. Republican hold. |
| 1901-07-13 | TX-06 | Seat vacated by Robert E. Burke (DEM). | Dudley G. Wooten | D | NO | Incumbent died June 5, 1901. New member elected July 13, 1901. Democratic hold. |

# Presidential Results

State popular-vote totals use structured historical returns. National electoral allocations follow the National Archives: McKinley defeated Bryan 271-176, with California dividing its electors 8-1 and Kentucky dividing them 12-1.

# 1896 Presidential Election

McKinley defeated Bryan 271-176 in the Electoral College and by 599,377 votes in the structured national ledger. California split 8-1 and Kentucky split 12-1. The official 55th House election-day division was R 206 - D 124 - Populist 22 - Silver Republican 3 - Independent Republican 1 - Silver 1. The Senate opened R 44 - D 34 with 12 third-party members: five Populists, five Silver Republicans, and two Silvers.

## Alabama

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 130,298 votes (66.96%) - margin 74,625 / 38.35% - total 194,580 - electoral votes 11 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 11.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 130,298 | 66.96% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 55,673 | 28.61% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 6,375 | 3.28% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,234 | 1.15% |

## Arkansas

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 110,103 votes (74.14%) - margin 72,591 / 48.88% - total 148,508 - electoral votes 8 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 8.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 110,103 | 74.14% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 37,512 | 25.26% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 893 | 0.60% |

## California

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 146,688 votes (49.31%) - margin 2,070 / 0.70% - total 297,496 - electoral votes 9 - allocation William McKinley 8; William Jennings Bryan 1.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 146,688 | 49.31% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 144,618 | 48.61% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,573 | 0.86% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,006 | 0.67% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,611 | 0.54% |

NOTE. California split its electoral votes: 8 for William McKinley and 1 for William Jennings Bryan.

## Colorado

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 161,269 votes (84.96%) - margin 134,990 / 71.12% - total 189,819 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 161,269 | 84.96% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 26,279 | 13.84% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,110 | 1.11% |
| | 4. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 160 | 0.08% |
| | 5. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Connecticut

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 110,296 votes (63.24%) - margin 53,563 / 30.71% - total 174,397 - electoral votes 6 - allocation William McKinley 6.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 110,296 | 63.24% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 56,733 | 32.53% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 4,342 | 2.49% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 1,804 | 1.03% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,222 | 0.70% |

## Delaware

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 20,450 votes (53.18%) - margin 3,876 / 10.08% - total 38,456 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William McKinley 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 20,450 | 53.18% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 16,574 | 43.10% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 966 | 2.51% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 466 | 1.21% |

## Florida

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 32,736 votes (70.47%) - margin 21,448 / 46.17% - total 46,456 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 32,736 | 70.47% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 11,288 | 24.30% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,778 | 3.83% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 654 | 1.41% |

## Georgia

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 93,885 votes (57.78%) - margin 34,490 / 21.23% - total 162,480 - electoral votes 13 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 13.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 93,885 | 57.78% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 59,395 | 36.56% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 5,483 | 3.37% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 3,670 | 2.26% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 47 | 0.03% |

## Iowa

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 289,293 votes (55.46%) - margin 65,552 / 12.57% - total 521,617 - electoral votes 13 - allocation William McKinley 13.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 289,293 | 55.46% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 223,741 | 42.89% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 4,586 | 0.88% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 3,544 | 0.68% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 453 | 0.09% |

## Idaho

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 23,135 votes (78.10%) - margin 16,821 / 56.79% - total 29,621 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 23,135 | 78.10% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 6,314 | 21.32% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 172 | 0.58% |

## Illinois

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 607,130 votes (55.75%) - margin 142,607 / 13.10% - total 1,089,008 - electoral votes 24 - allocation William McKinley 24.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 607,130 | 55.75% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 464,523 | 42.66% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 9,818 | 0.90% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 6,390 | 0.59% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,147 | 0.11% |

## Indiana

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 323,754 votes (50.81%) - margin 18,181 / 2.85% - total 637,124 - electoral votes 15 - allocation William McKinley 15.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 323,754 | 50.81% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 305,573 | 47.96% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 5,323 | 0.84% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,145 | 0.34% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 329 | 0.05% |

## Kansas

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 172,915 votes (51.50%) - margin 13,570 / 4.04% - total 335,787 - electoral votes 10 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 10.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 172,915 | 51.50% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 159,345 | 47.45% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,318 | 0.69% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,209 | 0.36% |

## Kentucky

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 218,171 votes (48.92%) - margin 281 / 0.06% - total 445,956 - electoral votes 13 - allocation William McKinley 12; William Jennings Bryan 1.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 218,171 | 48.92% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 217,890 | 48.86% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 5,114 | 1.15% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 4,781 | 1.07% |

NOTE. Kentucky split its electoral votes: 12 for William McKinley and 1 for William Jennings Bryan.

## Louisiana

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 77,175 votes (76.38%) - margin 55,138 / 54.57% - total 101,046 - electoral votes 8 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 8.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 77,175 | 76.38% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 22,037 | 21.81% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,834 | 1.82% |

## Massachusetts

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 278,976 votes (69.47%) - margin 173,265 / 43.15% - total 401,568 - electoral votes 15 - allocation William McKinley 15.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 278,976 | 69.47% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 105,711 | 26.32% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 11,749 | 2.93% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,998 | 0.75% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 2,114 | 0.53% |
| | 6. Others | OTH | - | 20 | 0.00% |

## Maryland

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 136,978 votes (54.60%) - margin 32,232 / 12.85% - total 250,877 - electoral votes 8 - allocation William McKinley 8.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 136,978 | 54.60% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 104,746 | 41.75% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 6,058 | 2.41% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,507 | 1.00% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 588 | 0.23% |

## Maine

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 80,461 votes (67.90%) - margin 45,874 / 38.71% - total 118,504 - electoral votes 6 - allocation William McKinley 6.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 80,461 | 67.90% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 34,587 | 29.19% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,867 | 1.58% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 1,589 | 1.34% |

## Michigan

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 293,582 votes (53.87%) - margin 56,314 / 10.33% - total 544,958 - electoral votes 14 - allocation William McKinley 14.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 293,582 | 53.87% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 237,268 | 43.54% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 6,968 | 1.28% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 6,846 | 1.26% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 294 | 0.05% |

## Minnesota

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 193,503 votes (57.16%) - margin 53,768 / 15.88% - total 338,540 - electoral votes 9 - allocation William McKinley 9.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 193,503 | 57.16% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 139,735 | 41.28% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 4,348 | 1.28% |
| | 4. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 954 | 0.28% |

## Missouri

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 363,667 votes (53.96%) - margin 58,727 / 8.71% - total 673,906 - electoral votes 17 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 17.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 363,667 | 53.96% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 304,940 | 45.25% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,365 | 0.35% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,043 | 0.30% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 599 | 0.09% |
| | 6. Charles Bentley | OTH | - | 292 | 0.04% |

## Mississippi

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 63,355 votes (91.04%) - margin 58,536 / 84.11% - total 69,591 - electoral votes 9 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 9.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 63,355 | 91.04% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 4,819 | 6.92% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,021 | 1.47% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 396 | 0.57% |

## Montana

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 42,537 votes (79.93%) - margin 32,043 / 60.21% - total 53,217 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 42,537 | 79.93% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 10,494 | 19.72% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 186 | 0.35% |

## North Carolina

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 174,488 votes (52.72%) - margin 19,245 / 5.81% - total 330,990 - electoral votes 11 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 11.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 174,488 | 52.72% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 155,243 | 46.90% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 681 | 0.21% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 578 | 0.17% |

## North Dakota

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 26,335 votes (55.58%) - margin 5,649 / 11.92% - total 47,379 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William McKinley 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 26,335 | 55.58% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 20,686 | 43.66% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 358 | 0.76% |

## Nebraska

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 115,999 votes (51.75%) - margin 12,935 / 5.77% - total 224,174 - electoral votes 8 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 8.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 115,999 | 51.75% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 103,064 | 45.98% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,885 | 1.29% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,040 | 0.91% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 186 | 0.08% |

## New Hampshire

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 57,444 votes (68.74%) - margin 35,794 / 42.83% - total 83,567 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William McKinley 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 57,444 | 68.74% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 21,650 | 25.91% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 3,420 | 4.09% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 825 | 0.99% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 228 | 0.27% |

## New Jersey

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 221,367 votes (59.67%) - margin 87,692 / 23.64% - total 371,014 - electoral votes 10 - allocation William McKinley 10.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 221,367 | 59.67% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 133,675 | 36.03% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 6,373 | 1.72% |
| | 4. Charles Bentley | OTH | - | 5,614 | 1.51% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 3,985 | 1.07% |

## Nevada

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 8,376 votes (81.21%) - margin 6,438 / 62.42% - total 10,314 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 8,376 | 81.21% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 1,938 | 18.79% |

## New York

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 819,838 votes (57.57%) - margin 268,325 / 18.84% - total 1,424,140 - electoral votes 36 - allocation William McKinley 36.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 819,838 | 57.57% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 551,513 | 38.73% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 18,972 | 1.33% |
| | 4. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 17,731 | 1.25% |
| | 5. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 16,086 | 1.13% |

## Ohio

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 525,991 votes (51.86%) - margin 48,494 / 4.78% - total 1,014,295 - electoral votes 23 - allocation William McKinley 23.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 525,991 | 51.86% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 477,497 | 47.08% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 7,784 | 0.77% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,858 | 0.18% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,165 | 0.11% |

## Oregon

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 48,779 votes (50.07%) - margin 2,040 / 2.09% - total 97,414 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William McKinley 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 48,779 | 50.07% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 46,739 | 47.98% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 977 | 1.00% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 919 | 0.94% |

## Pennsylvania

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 728,300 votes (60.98%) - margin 295,072 / 24.71% - total 1,194,358 - electoral votes 32 - allocation William McKinley 32.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 728,300 | 60.98% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 433,228 | 36.27% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 20,147 | 1.69% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 11,000 | 0.92% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,683 | 0.14% |

## Rhode Island

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 37,437 votes (68.34%) - margin 22,978 / 41.95% - total 54,781 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William McKinley 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 37,437 | 68.34% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 14,459 | 26.39% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,166 | 2.13% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 1,161 | 2.12% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 558 | 1.02% |

## South Carolina

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 58,801 votes (85.30%) - margin 49,488 / 71.79% - total 68,938 - electoral votes 9 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 9.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 58,801 | 85.30% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 9,313 | 13.51% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 824 | 1.20% |

## South Dakota

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 41,225 votes (49.70%) - margin 183 / 0.22% - total 82,950 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 41,225 | 49.70% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 41,042 | 49.48% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 683 | 0.82% |

## Tennessee

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 167,168 votes (52.09%) - margin 18,485 / 5.76% - total 320,903 - electoral votes 12 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 12.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 167,168 | 52.09% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 148,683 | 46.33% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 3,099 | 0.97% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,953 | 0.61% |

## Texas

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 370,434 votes (68.00%) - margin 202,914 / 37.25% - total 544,786 - electoral votes 15 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 15.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 370,434 | 68.00% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 167,520 | 30.75% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 5,046 | 0.93% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 1,786 | 0.33% |

## Utah

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 64,607 votes (82.70%) - margin 51,116 / 65.43% - total 78,119 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 64,607 | 82.70% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 13,491 | 17.27% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 21 | 0.03% |

## Virginia

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 154,985 votes (52.54%) - margin 19,597 / 6.64% - total 294,959 - electoral votes 12 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 12.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 154,985 | 52.54% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 135,388 | 45.90% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 2,344 | 0.79% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 2,127 | 0.72% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 115 | 0.04% |

## Vermont

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 51,127 votes (80.10%) - margin 40,487 / 63.43% - total 63,831 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William McKinley 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 51,127 | 80.10% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 10,640 | 16.67% |
| | 3. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 1,331 | 2.09% |
| | 4. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 733 | 1.15% |

## Washington

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 53,314 votes (56.97%) - margin 14,161 / 15.13% - total 93,583 - electoral votes 4 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 4.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 53,314 | 56.97% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 39,153 | 41.84% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 968 | 1.03% |
| | 4. Charles Bentley | OTH | - | 148 | 0.16% |

## Wisconsin

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 268,051 votes (59.96%) - margin 102,702 / 22.97% - total 447,063 - electoral votes 12 - allocation William McKinley 12.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 268,051 | 59.96% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 165,349 | 36.99% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 7,799 | 1.74% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 4,553 | 1.02% |
| | 5. Charles Matchett | OTH | - | 1,311 | 0.29% |

## West Virginia

### President - 1896

WINNER: William McKinley (R) - 105,379 votes (52.23%) - margin 10,891 / 5.40% - total 201,768 - electoral votes 6 - allocation William McKinley 6.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McKinley** | R | - | 105,379 | 52.23% |
| | 2. William Jennings Bryan | D | - | 94,488 | 46.83% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 1,223 | 0.61% |
| | 4. John M. Palmer | OTH | - | 678 | 0.34% |

## Wyoming

### President - 1896

WINNER: William Jennings Bryan (D) - 10,861 votes (51.49%) - margin 789 / 3.74% - total 21,092 - electoral votes 3 - allocation William Jennings Bryan 3.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Jennings Bryan** | D | - | 10,861 | 51.49% |
| | 2. William McKinley | R | - | 10,072 | 47.75% |
| | 3. Joshua Levering | OTH | - | 159 | 0.75% |

# U.S. House Results

Every archived regular general-election district is included. State headings no longer force a new page. Candidate rows retain the archive's full normalized candidate list.

# 1896 U.S. House

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 124 | 206 | 27 | 38.39% | 51.19% | -12.80 D | 64 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

McKinley defeated Bryan 271-176 in the Electoral College and by 599,377 votes in the structured national ledger. California split 8-1 and Kentucky split 12-1. The official 55th House election-day division was R 206 - D 124 - Populist 22 - Silver Republican 3 - Independent Republican 1 - Silver 1. The Senate opened R 44 - D 34 with 12 third-party members: five Populists, five Silver Republicans, and two Silvers.

## Alabama

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: George W. Taylor (D) - 11,890 votes (70.50%) - margin 7,609 / 45.11% - total 16,866.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Taylor** | D | - | 11,890 | 70.50% |
| | 2. Frank H. Threatt | R | - | 4,281 | 25.38% |
| | 3. Stearns | OTH | - | 648 | 3.84% |
| | 4. R. J. Hern | OTH | - | 47 | 0.28% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Jesse F. Stallings (D) - 17,064 votes (81.57%) - margin 13,208 / 63.14% - total 20,920.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jesse F. Stallings** | D | - | 17,064 | 81.57% |
| | 2. J. C. Fonville | OTH | - | 3,856 | 18.43% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Henry D. Clayton Jr. (D) - 17,425 votes (78.55%) - margin 12,666 / 57.10% - total 22,184.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry D. Clayton Jr.** | D | - | 17,425 | 78.55% |
| | 2. Emmett C. Jackson | OTH | - | 4,759 | 21.45% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William F. Aldrich (R) - 7,345 votes (40.09%) - margin - / - - total 18,320.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Thomas Scales Plowman | D | - | 10,970 | 59.88% |
| W | **2. William F. Aldrich** | R | - | 7,345 | 40.09% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.03% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Willis Brewer (D) - 13,587 votes (60.85%) - margin 4,845 / 21.70% - total 22,329.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Willis Brewer** | D | - | 13,587 | 60.85% |
| | 2. Albert T. Goodwyn | OTH | - | 8,742 | 39.15% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: John H. Bankhead (D) - 15,133 votes (82.10%) - margin 11,838 / 64.23% - total 18,432.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Bankhead** | D | - | 15,133 | 82.10% |
| | 2. George S. Youngblood | OTH | - | 3,295 | 17.88% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.02% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Milford W. Howard (OTH) - 6,168 votes (35.79%) - margin 86 / 0.50% - total 17,233.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Milford W. Howard** | OTH | - | 6,168 | 35.79% |
| | 2. William I. Bullock | D | - | 6,082 | 35.29% |
| | 3. Curtis | R | - | 4,982 | 28.91% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph Wheeler (D) - 15,973 votes (57.86%) - margin 4,343 / 15.73% - total 27,606.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph Wheeler** | D | - | 15,973 | 57.86% |
| | 2. Oscar R. Hundley | R | - | 11,630 | 42.13% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Oscar Underwood (D) - 15,815 votes (73.79%) - margin 10,197 / 47.58% - total 21,433.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Oscar Underwood** | D | - | 15,815 | 73.79% |
| | 2. Grattan B. Crowe | OTH | - | 5,618 | 26.21% |

## Arkansas

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Philip D. McCulloch Jr. (D) - 20,419 votes (76.77%) - margin 14,241 / 53.54% - total 26,597.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Philip D. McCulloch Jr.** | D | - | 20,419 | 76.77% |
| | 2. F. W. Tucker | R | - | 6,178 | 23.23% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: John S. Little (D) - 19,099 votes (74.66%) - margin 12,616 / 49.32% - total 25,582.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Little** | D | - | 19,099 | 74.66% |
| | 2. C. D. Greaves | R | - | 6,483 | 25.34% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas C. McRae (D) - 19,321 votes (70.02%) - margin 11,048 / 40.04% - total 27,594.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas C. McRae** | D | - | 19,321 | 70.02% |
| | 2. J. B. Freidheim | R | - | 8,273 | 29.98% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William L. Terry (D) - 16,133 votes (70.61%) - margin 9,419 / 41.23% - total 22,847.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Terry** | D | - | 16,133 | 70.61% |
| | 2. C. C. Waters | R | - | 6,714 | 29.39% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Hugh A. Dinsmore (D) - 17,566 votes (65.91%) - margin 8,479 / 31.81% - total 26,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Hugh A. Dinsmore** | D | - | 17,566 | 65.91% |
| | 2. W. H. Neal | R | - | 9,087 | 34.09% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Stephen Brundidge Jr. (D) - 17,106 votes (77.35%) - margin 12,096 / 54.69% - total 22,116.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stephen Brundidge Jr.** | D | - | 17,106 | 77.35% |
| | 2. B. F. Bodenhammer | R | - | 5,010 | 22.65% |

## California

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: John All Barham (R) - 17,826 votes (49.65%) - margin 1,498 / 4.17% - total 35,901.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John All Barham** | R | - | 17,826 | 49.65% |
| | 2. Fletcher A. Cutler | D | - | 16,328 | 45.48% |
| | 3. George Wilfried Monteith | OTH | - | 1,497 | 4.17% |
| | 4. B. F. Taylor | OTH | - | 249 | 0.69% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Marion De Vries (D) - 24,434 votes (55.50%) - margin 5,821 / 13.22% - total 44,023.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marion De Vries** | D | - | 24,434 | 55.50% |
| | 2. Grove L. Johnson | R | - | 18,613 | 42.28% |
| | 3. F. E. Coulter | OTH | - | 974 | 2.21% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel G. Hilborn (R) - 19,778 votes (54.02%) - margin 3,659 / 9.99% - total 36,611.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel G. Hilborn** | R | - | 19,778 | 54.02% |
| | 2. Warren B. English | D | - | 16,119 | 44.03% |
| | 3. John H. Eustice | OTH | - | 387 | 1.06% |
| | 4. W. Shafer | OTH | - | 327 | 0.89% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: James G. Maguire (D) - 19,074 votes (60.98%) - margin 8,134 / 26.00% - total 31,281.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James G. Maguire** | D | - | 19,074 | 60.98% |
| | 2. Thomas B. Obrien | R | - | 10,940 | 34.97% |
| | 3. E. T. Kingsley | OTH | - | 968 | 3.10% |
| | 4. Joseph Rowell | OTH | - | 299 | 0.96% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Eugene F. Loud (R) - 19,351 votes (48.58%) - margin 8,857 / 22.24% - total 39,832.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Eugene F. Loud** | R | - | 19,351 | 48.58% |
| | 2. Joseph P. Kelly | D | - | 10,494 | 26.35% |
| | 3. A. B. Kinne | OTH | - | 8,825 | 22.16% |
| | 4. Henry Daniels | OTH | - | 757 | 1.90% |
| | 5. T. H. Lawson | OTH | - | 404 | 1.01% |
| | 6. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Charles A. Barlow (OTH) - 24,157 votes (48.91%) - margin 663 / 1.34% - total 49,395.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Barlow** | OTH | - | 24,157 | 48.91% |
| | 2. James McLachlan | R | - | 23,494 | 47.56% |
| | 3. H. Clay Needham | OTH | - | 1,196 | 2.42% |
| | 4. Job Harriman | OTH | - | 542 | 1.10% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.01% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Curtis H. Castle (OTH) - 19,183 votes (46.72%) - margin 244 / 0.59% - total 41,064.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Curtis H. Castle** | OTH | - | 19,183 | 46.72% |
| | 2. William W. Bowers | R | - | 18,939 | 46.12% |
| | 3. William H. Carlson | OTH | - | 2,139 | 5.21% |
| | 4. J. W. Webb | OTH | - | 802 | 1.95% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Colorado

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: John F. Shafroth (OTH) - 67,821 votes (84.89%) - margin 58,196 / 72.84% - total 79,893.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Shafroth** | OTH | - | 67,821 | 84.89% |
| | 2. T. E. McClelland | R | - | 9,625 | 12.05% |
| | 3. J. J. Losh | OTH | - | 1,083 | 1.36% |
| | 4. W. F. Steele | OTH | - | 1,006 | 1.26% |
| | 5. Dayton Gilbert | OTH | - | 181 | 0.23% |
| | 6. William Dye | OTH | - | 173 | 0.22% |
| | 7. Andrew W. Elder | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. Jas H. Ecob | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. Lafe Pence | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. Platt Rogers | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: John C. Bell (OTH) - 84,018 votes (84.45%) - margin 69,633 / 69.99% - total 99,493.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John C. Bell** | OTH | - | 84,018 | 84.45% |
| | 2. T. F. Hoffmire | R | - | 14,385 | 14.46% |
| | 3. W. H. McClure | OTH | - | 1,089 | 1.09% |
| | 4. Chas W. Coe | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Connecticut

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: E. Stevens Henry (R) - 27,623 votes (67.21%) - margin 16,764 / 40.79% - total 41,097.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. E. Stevens Henry** | R | - | 27,623 | 67.21% |
| | 2. Tuttle | D | - | 10,859 | 26.42% |
| | 3. Hyde | D | - | 2,114 | 5.14% |
| | 4. Bartholomew | OTH | - | 501 | 1.22% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Nehemiah D. Sperry (R) - 35,944 votes (59.28%) - margin 13,627 / 22.48% - total 60,630.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nehemiah D. Sperry** | R | - | 35,944 | 59.28% |
| | 2. Fuller | D | - | 22,317 | 36.81% |
| | 3. Wood | D | - | 1,213 | 2.00% |
| | 4. Timothy Sullivan | OTH | - | 674 | 1.11% |
| | 5. Augur | OTH | - | 482 | 0.80% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Charles A. Russell (R) - 15,269 votes (64.04%) - margin 7,604 / 31.89% - total 23,842.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Russell** | R | - | 15,269 | 64.04% |
| | 2. Fanning | D | - | 7,665 | 32.15% |
| | 3. Hammond | D | - | 500 | 2.10% |
| | 4. Ingalls | OTH | - | 408 | 1.71% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Ebenezer J. Hill (R) - 30,658 votes (63.59%) - margin 14,935 / 30.98% - total 48,215.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ebenezer J. Hill** | R | - | 30,658 | 63.59% |
| | 2. Houlihan | D | - | 15,723 | 32.61% |
| | 3. Seymour | D | - | 1,404 | 2.91% |
| | 4. Wooster | OTH | - | 430 | 0.89% |

## Delaware

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: L. Irving Handy (D) - 15,407 votes (43.96%) - margin 4,248 / 12.12% - total 35,045.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. L. Irving Handy** | D | - | 15,407 | 43.96% |
| | 2. Jonathan S. Willis | R | - | 11,159 | 31.84% |
| | 3. Robert G. Houston | R | - | 7,123 | 20.33% |
| | 4. Thomas F. Jr. Bayard | D | - | 894 | 2.55% |
| | 5. William Faries | OTH | - | 462 | 1.32% |

## Florida

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Stephen M. Sparkman (D) - 14,822 votes (77.49%) - margin 12,025 / 62.87% - total 19,128.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stephen M. Sparkman** | D | - | 14,822 | 77.49% |
| | 2. E. K. Nichols | R | - | 2,797 | 14.62% |
| | 3. J. Asakiah Williams | OTH | - | 1,308 | 6.84% |
| | 4. J. C. Green | OTH | - | 201 | 1.05% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Robert Wyche Davis (D) - 14,375 votes (61.92%) - margin 7,742 / 33.35% - total 23,214.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert Wyche Davis** | D | - | 14,375 | 61.92% |
| | 2. Joseph N. Stripling | R | - | 6,633 | 28.57% |
| | 3. Daniel G. Ambler | D | - | 1,156 | 4.98% |
| | 4. William R. Peterson | OTH | - | 855 | 3.68% |
| | 5. M. E. Spencer | OTH | - | 195 | 0.84% |

## Georgia

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Rufus E. Lester (D) - 8,063 votes (53.81%) - margin 3,968 / 26.48% - total 14,984.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rufus E. Lester** | D | - | 8,063 | 53.81% |
| | 2. Joseph F. Doyle | R | - | 4,095 | 27.33% |
| | 3. George H. Miller | OTH | - | 2,826 | 18.86% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: James M. Griggs (D) - 7,104 votes (53.15%) - margin 3,324 / 24.87% - total 13,367.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James M. Griggs** | D | - | 7,104 | 53.15% |
| | 2. J. E. Peterson | R | - | 3,780 | 28.28% |
| | 3. John A. Sibley | OTH | - | 2,483 | 18.58% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Elijah B. Lewis (D) - 7,459 votes (70.67%) - margin 4,363 / 41.34% - total 10,555.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Elijah B. Lewis** | D | - | 7,459 | 70.67% |
| | 2. Seaborn S. Montgomery | OTH | - | 3,096 | 29.33% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William C. Adamson (D) - 8,519 votes (65.16%) - margin 4,215 / 32.24% - total 13,075.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Adamson** | D | - | 8,519 | 65.16% |
| | 2. A. H. Freeman | R | - | 4,304 | 32.92% |
| | 3. Cary T. Thornton | OTH | - | 252 | 1.93% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Leonidas F. Livingston (D) - 9,258 votes (57.96%) - margin 2,543 / 15.92% - total 15,973.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Leonidas F. Livingston** | D | - | 9,258 | 57.96% |
| | 2. J. C. Hendrix | R | - | 6,715 | 42.04% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Charles L. Bartlett (D) - 8,236 votes (63.69%) - margin 3,540 / 27.37% - total 12,932.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles L. Bartlett** | D | - | 8,236 | 63.69% |
| | 2. A. A. Murphy | OTH | - | 4,696 | 36.31% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: John W. Maddox (D) - 10,719 votes (53.43%) - margin 5,632 / 28.07% - total 20,062.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Maddox** | D | - | 10,719 | 53.43% |
| | 2. W. L. Massey | R | - | 5,087 | 25.36% |
| | 3. J. W. McGarrity | OTH | - | 4,256 | 21.21% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: William M. Howard (D) - 9,088 votes (61.61%) - margin 6,126 / 41.53% - total 14,751.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William M. Howard** | D | - | 9,088 | 61.61% |
| | 2. G. L. Anderson | OTH | - | 2,962 | 20.08% |
| | 3. W. Patrick Henry | R | - | 2,701 | 18.31% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Farish Tate (D) - 11,037 votes (54.15%) - margin 5,616 / 27.55% - total 20,384.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Farish Tate** | D | - | 11,037 | 54.15% |
| | 2. H. P. Farrow | R | - | 5,421 | 26.59% |
| | 3. Thomas C. Winn | OTH | - | 3,926 | 19.26% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: William H. Fleming (D) - 10,119 votes (58.75%) - margin 3,014 / 17.50% - total 17,224.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Fleming** | D | - | 10,119 | 58.75% |
| | 2. John T. West | OTH | - | 7,105 | 41.25% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: William G. Brantley (D) - 9,141 votes (60.30%) - margin 3,122 / 20.59% - total 15,160.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William G. Brantley** | D | - | 9,141 | 60.30% |
| | 2. Benjamin Milliken | OTH | - | 6,019 | 39.70% |

## Iowa

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel M. Clark (R) - 21,944 votes (53.68%) - margin 3,295 / 8.06% - total 40,878.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel M. Clark** | R | - | 21,944 | 53.68% |
| | 2. Sabut M. Casey | D | - | 18,649 | 45.62% |
| | 3. Alva H. Hewitt | OTH | - | 285 | 0.70% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: George M. Curtis (R) - 23,202 votes (52.79%) - margin 3,320 / 7.55% - total 43,954.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George M. Curtis** | R | - | 23,202 | 52.79% |
| | 2. Alfred Hurst | D | - | 19,882 | 45.23% |
| | 3. Charles A. Lloyd | OTH | - | 639 | 1.45% |
| | 4. M. J. Kremer | OTH | - | 230 | 0.52% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: David B. Henderson (R) - 29,654 votes (60.66%) - margin 10,423 / 21.32% - total 48,887.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David B. Henderson** | R | - | 29,654 | 60.66% |
| | 2. George Stachl | D | - | 19,231 | 39.34% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.00% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas Updegraff (R) - 26,659 votes (59.61%) - margin 8,868 / 19.83% - total 44,719.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Updegraff** | R | - | 26,659 | 59.61% |
| | 2. F. D. Bayless | D | - | 17,791 | 39.78% |
| | 3. Charles G. Patten | OTH | - | 269 | 0.60% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Robert G. Cousins (R) - 26,133 votes (57.74%) - margin 7,368 / 16.28% - total 45,262.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert G. Cousins** | R | - | 26,133 | 57.74% |
| | 2. John R. Caldwell | D | - | 18,765 | 41.46% |
| | 3. Laurie Tatum | OTH | - | 364 | 0.80% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: John F. Lacey (R) - 21,970 votes (51.08%) - margin 1,201 / 2.79% - total 43,008.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Lacey** | R | - | 21,970 | 51.08% |
| | 2. F. E. White | D | - | 20,769 | 48.29% |
| | 3. Abner Branson | OTH | - | 268 | 0.62% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: John A. T. Hull (R) - 25,578 votes (56.93%) - margin 6,226 / 13.86% - total 44,931.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John A. T. Hull** | R | - | 25,578 | 56.93% |
| | 2. Frank W. Evans | D | - | 19,352 | 43.07% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: William P. Hepburn (R) - 24,783 votes (50.85%) - margin 827 / 1.70% - total 48,740.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William P. Hepburn** | R | - | 24,783 | 50.85% |
| | 2. W. H. Robb | D | - | 23,956 | 49.15% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Alva L. Hager (R) - 24,904 votes (52.36%) - margin 2,382 / 5.01% - total 47,563.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alva L. Hager** | R | - | 24,904 | 52.36% |
| | 2. L. T. Genning | D | - | 22,522 | 47.35% |
| | 3. T. D. Thomas | OTH | - | 137 | 0.29% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Jonathan P. Dolliver (R) - 33,523 votes (59.41%) - margin 10,968 / 19.44% - total 56,426.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jonathan P. Dolliver** | R | - | 33,523 | 59.41% |
| | 2. John B. Romans | D | - | 22,555 | 39.97% |
| | 3. M. W. Atwood | OTH | - | 348 | 0.62% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: George D. Perkins (R) - 29,601 votes (56.09%) - margin 6,828 / 12.94% - total 52,774.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George D. Perkins** | R | - | 29,601 | 56.09% |
| | 2. H. Vanwagener | D | - | 22,773 | 43.15% |
| | 3. C. F. Tanand | OTH | - | 400 | 0.76% |

## Idaho

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: James Gunn (OTH) - 13,187 votes (46.64%) - margin 4,153 / 14.69% - total 28,275.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Gunn** | OTH | - | 13,187 | 46.64% |
| | 2. William E. Borah | R | - | 9,034 | 31.95% |
| | 3. John T. Morrison | R | - | 6,054 | 21.41% |

## Illinois

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: James Robert Mann (R) - 51,582 votes (67.64%) - margin 27,502 / 36.06% - total 76,257.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Robert Mann** | R | - | 51,582 | 67.64% |
| | 2. James H. Teller | D | - | 24,080 | 31.58% |
| | 3. Thomas R. Strobridge | OTH | - | 595 | 0.78% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: William Lorimer (R) - 35,045 votes (54.33%) - margin 6,175 / 9.57% - total 64,509.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Lorimer** | R | - | 35,045 | 54.33% |
| | 2. John Z. White | D | - | 28,870 | 44.75% |
| | 3. James Craigmile | OTH | - | 594 | 0.92% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Hugh R. Belknap (R) - 22,075 votes (50.03%) - margin 335 / 0.76% - total 44,127.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Hugh R. Belknap** | R | - | 22,075 | 50.03% |
| | 2. Clarence S. Darrow | D | - | 21,740 | 49.27% |
| | 3. Solomon D. Ebersoll | OTH | - | 182 | 0.41% |
| | 4. Michael L. Morris | OTH | - | 109 | 0.25% |
| | 5. John J. Fanning | OTH | - | 21 | 0.05% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Daniel W. Mills (R) - 22,364 votes (50.85%) - margin 1,491 / 3.39% - total 43,977.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Daniel W. Mills** | R | - | 22,364 | 50.85% |
| | 2. James McAndrews | D | - | 20,873 | 47.46% |
| | 3. Charles W. Woodman | OTH | - | 375 | 0.85% |
| | 4. Archibald Sprott | OTH | - | 236 | 0.54% |
| | 5. J. Augustus Weaver | OTH | - | 129 | 0.29% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: George E. White (R) - 23,053 votes (50.86%) - margin 2,845 / 6.28% - total 45,331.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George E. White** | R | - | 23,053 | 50.86% |
| | 2. Edward T. Noonan | D | - | 20,208 | 44.58% |
| | 3. John A. McDonell | R | - | 1,813 | 4.00% |
| | 4. Thomas L. Haines | OTH | - | 257 | 0.57% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Edward D. Cooke (R) - 25,723 votes (56.32%) - margin 6,042 / 13.23% - total 45,673.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward D. Cooke** | R | - | 25,723 | 56.32% |
| | 2. Joseph L. Martin | D | - | 19,681 | 43.09% |
| | 3. Ira J. Mason | OTH | - | 269 | 0.59% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: George E. Foss (R) - 41,511 votes (65.08%) - margin 19,756 / 30.97% - total 63,785.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George E. Foss** | R | - | 41,511 | 65.08% |
| | 2. Olaf E. Ray | D | - | 21,755 | 34.11% |
| | 3. James Clement Ambrose | OTH | - | 479 | 0.75% |
| | 4. L. P. Quinn | OTH | - | 40 | 0.06% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Albert J. Hopkins (R) - 32,073 votes (70.10%) - margin 19,212 / 41.99% - total 45,752.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert J. Hopkins** | R | - | 32,073 | 70.10% |
| | 2. Simeon N. Hoover | D | - | 12,861 | 28.11% |
| | 3. Augustus R. Dodd | OTH | - | 818 | 1.79% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Robert R. Hitt (R) - 32,949 votes (67.17%) - margin 17,708 / 36.10% - total 49,056.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert R. Hitt** | R | - | 32,949 | 67.17% |
| | 2. Charles O. Knudson | D | - | 15,241 | 31.07% |
| | 3. James Lamont | OTH | - | 866 | 1.77% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: George W. Prince (R) - 31,459 votes (64.02%) - margin 15,718 / 31.99% - total 49,138.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Prince** | R | - | 31,459 | 64.02% |
| | 2. William R. Moore | D | - | 15,741 | 32.03% |
| | 3. William C. Holden | OTH | - | 1,401 | 2.85% |
| | 4. William Goldsworthy | OTH | - | 536 | 1.09% |
| | 5. Dan Keheler | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: Walter Reeves (R) - 24,765 votes (56.50%) - margin 6,251 / 14.26% - total 43,836.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter Reeves** | R | - | 24,765 | 56.50% |
| | 2. Charles M. Golden | D | - | 18,514 | 42.24% |
| | 3. John W. Hosier | OTH | - | 557 | 1.27% |

## District 12 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph Gurney Cannon (R) - 28,566 votes (59.94%) - margin 9,953 / 20.88% - total 47,658.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph Gurney Cannon** | R | - | 28,566 | 59.94% |
| | 2. George L. Vance | D | - | 18,613 | 39.06% |
| | 3. J. J. Hales | OTH | - | 478 | 1.00% |
| | 4. J. E. McMahon | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 13 - 1896

WINNER: Vespasian Warner (R) - 27,324 votes (58.18%) - margin 8,513 / 18.13% - total 46,968.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Vespasian Warner** | R | - | 27,324 | 58.18% |
| | 2. Frank M. Palmer | D | - | 18,811 | 40.05% |
| | 3. Thomas J. Scott | OTH | - | 833 | 1.77% |

## District 14 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph V. Graff (R) - 25,144 votes (50.88%) - margin 1,731 / 3.50% - total 49,420.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph V. Graff** | R | - | 25,144 | 50.88% |
| | 2. Nicholas E. Worthington | D | - | 23,413 | 47.38% |
| | 3. Daniel R. Sheen | OTH | - | 471 | 0.95% |
| | 4. Theodore Holly | OTH | - | 392 | 0.79% |

## District 15 - 1896

WINNER: Benjamin F. Marsh (R) - 24,605 votes (49.69%) - margin 309 / 0.62% - total 49,519.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benjamin F. Marsh** | R | - | 24,605 | 49.69% |
| | 2. William H. Neece | D | - | 24,296 | 49.06% |
| | 3. E. Lawrence Grosh | OTH | - | 618 | 1.25% |

### District 16 - 1896

WINNER: William H. Hinrichsen (D) - 26,615 votes (55.97%) - margin 6,143 / 12.92% - total 47,550.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Hinrichsen** | D | - | 26,615 | 55.97% |
| | 2. John I. Rinaker | R | - | 20,472 | 43.05% |
| | 3. M. M. Cooper | OTH | - | 463 | 0.97% |

### District 17 - 1896

WINNER: James A. Connolly (R) - 23,813 votes (49.38%) - margin - / - - total 48,228.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Benjamin F. Caldwell | D | - | 23,931 | 49.62% |
| W | **2. James A. Connolly** | R | - | 23,813 | 49.38% |
| | 3. Edmund Miller | OTH | - | 484 | 1.00% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 18 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas M. Jett (D) - 22,358 votes (51.48%) - margin 1,759 / 4.05% - total 43,428.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas M. Jett** | D | - | 22,358 | 51.48% |
| | 2. William F. L. Hadley | R | - | 20,599 | 47.43% |
| | 3. Frank H. Ashcraft | OTH | - | 471 | 1.09% |

### District 19 - 1896

WINNER: Andrew J. Hunter (D) - 23,960 votes (50.03%) - margin 1,167 / 2.44% - total 47,887.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Andrew J. Hunter** | D | - | 23,960 | 50.03% |
| | 2. Benson Wood | R | - | 22,793 | 47.60% |
| | 3. John J. Sewell | OTH | - | 810 | 1.69% |
| | 4. Cains C. Griffith | OTH | - | 324 | 0.68% |

### District 20 - 1896

WINNER: James R. Williams (D) - margin - / - - total 41,921.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. James Romulus Campbell | D | - | 22,359 | 53.34% |
| | 2. Orlando Burrel | R | - | 19,508 | 46.54% |
| | 3. Thomas Riley | OTH | - | 54 | 0.13% |
| W | **4. James R. Williams** | D | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 21 - 1896

WINNER: Jehu Baker (D) - 23,581 votes (50.43%) - margin 402 / 0.86% - total 46,760.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jehu Baker** | D | - | 23,581 | 50.43% |
| | 2. Everett J. Murphy | R | - | 23,179 | 49.57% |

### District 22 - 1896

WINNER: George Washington Smith (R) - 22,066 votes (55.34%) - margin 4,255 / 10.67% - total 39,877.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Washington Smith** | R | - | 22,066 | 55.34% |
| | 2. John J. Hale | D | - | 17,811 | 44.67% |

## Indiana

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: James A. Hemenway (R) - 21,807 votes (49.59%) - margin 951 / 2.16% - total 43,976.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Hemenway** | R | - | 21,807 | 49.59% |
| | 2. Thomas Duncan | D | - | 20,856 | 47.43% |
| | 3. Josephus Lee | OTH | - | 1,313 | 2.99% |

## District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Robert W. Miers (D) - 21,757 votes (48.20%) - margin 998 / 2.21% - total 45,141.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert W. Miers** | D | - | 21,757 | 48.20% |
| | 2. Alexander M. Hardy | R | - | 20,759 | 45.99% |
| | 3. Newel H. Motsinger | OTH | - | 2,625 | 5.82% |

## District 03 - 1896

WINNER: William T. Zenor (D) - 22,418 votes (52.64%) - margin 2,434 / 5.72% - total 42,584.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William T. Zenor** | D | - | 22,418 | 52.64% |
| | 2. Robert J. Tracewell | R | - | 19,984 | 46.93% |
| | 3. George R. Winchell | OTH | - | 111 | 0.26% |
| | 4. Frank M. Garriott | OTH | - | 71 | 0.17% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Holman (D) - 23,594 votes (50.77%) - margin 825 / 1.78% - total 46,471.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Holman** | D | - | 23,594 | 50.77% |
| | 2. Marcus R. Sulzer | R | - | 22,769 | 49.00% |
| | 3. Nicholas Smith | OTH | - | 108 | 0.23% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: George W. Faris (R) - 25,290 votes (50.36%) - margin 365 / 0.73% - total 50,215.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Faris** | R | - | 25,290 | 50.36% |
| | 2. John Clark Ridpath | D | - | 24,925 | 49.64% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Henry U. Johnson (R) - 24,083 votes (52.41%) - margin 2,216 / 4.82% - total 45,950.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry U. Johnson** | R | - | 24,083 | 52.41% |
| | 2. Charles A. Robinson | D | - | 21,867 | 47.59% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Jesse Overstreet (R) - 29,075 votes (53.82%) - margin 4,888 / 9.05% - total 54,019.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jesse Overstreet** | R | - | 29,075 | 53.82% |
| | 2. Charles M. Cooper | D | - | 24,187 | 44.78% |
| | 3. Evans Woollen | D | - | 757 | 1.40% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Charles L. Henry (R) - 30,045 votes (52.29%) - margin 2,632 / 4.58% - total 57,458.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles L. Henry** | R | - | 30,045 | 52.29% |
| | 2. John R. Brunt | D | - | 27,413 | 47.71% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Charles B. Landis (R) - 23,616 votes (50.27%) - margin 249 / 0.53% - total 46,983.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles B. Landis** | R | - | 23,616 | 50.27% |
| | 2. Joseph B. Cheadle | D | - | 23,367 | 49.74% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Edgar D. Crumpacker (R) - 28,259 votes (55.00%) - margin 5,139 / 10.00% - total 51,379.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edgar D. Crumpacker** | R | - | 28,259 | 55.00% |
| | 2. Martin L. Kruger | D | - | 23,120 | 45.00% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: George Washington Steele (R) - 27,853 votes (53.47%) - margin 4,269 / 8.20% - total 52,086.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Washington Steele** | R | - | 27,853 | 53.47% |
| | 2. Joseph H. Larimer | D | - | 23,584 | 45.28% |
| | 3. Harvey Ratliff | OTH | - | 649 | 1.25% |

### District 12 - 1896

WINNER: James M. Robinson (D) - 22,752 votes (50.62%) - margin 556 / 1.24% - total 44,948.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James M. Robinson** | D | - | 22,752 | 50.62% |
| | 2. Jacob D. Leighty | R | - | 22,196 | 49.38% |

### District 13 - 1896

WINNER: Lemuel W. Royse (R) - 25,514 votes (51.60%) - margin 1,586 / 3.21% - total 49,442.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lemuel W. Royse** | R | - | 25,514 | 51.60% |
| | 2. Charles Kellison | D | - | 23,928 | 48.40% |

## Kansas

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: Jeremiah D. Botkin (OTH) - 168,420 votes (51.34%) - margin 10,273 / 3.13% - total 328,068.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jeremiah D. Botkin** | OTH | - | 168,420 | 51.34% |
| | 2. R. W. Blue | R | - | 158,147 | 48.21% |
| | 3. M. Williams | OTH | - | 1,497 | 0.46% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.00% |

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Case Broderick (R) - 22,115 votes (53.10%) - margin 2,602 / 6.25% - total 41,647.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Case Broderick** | R | - | 22,115 | 53.10% |
| | 2. H. E. Ballou | OTH | - | 19,513 | 46.85% |
| | 3. M. Williams | OTH | - | 18 | 0.04% |
| | 4. John Yow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Mason S. Peters (OTH) - 26,307 votes (50.37%) - margin 388 / 0.74% - total 52,226.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Mason S. Peters** | OTH | - | 26,307 | 50.37% |
| | 2. John P. Harris | R | - | 25,919 | 49.63% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Edwin R. Ridgely (OTH) - 27,034 votes (54.20%) - margin 4,185 / 8.39% - total 49,883.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edwin R. Ridgely** | OTH | - | 27,034 | 54.20% |
| | 2. S. S. Kirkpatrick | R | - | 22,849 | 45.81% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Charles Curtis (R) - 26,643 votes (50.72%) - margin 754 / 1.44% - total 52,533.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles Curtis** | R | - | 26,643 | 50.72% |
| | 2. John Madden | OTH | - | 25,889 | 49.28% |
| | 3. T. J. Malloy | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: William D. Vincent (OTH) - 19,735 votes (50.82%) - margin 634 / 1.63% - total 38,836.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William D. Vincent** | OTH | - | 19,735 | 50.82% |
| | 2. W. A. Calderhead | R | - | 19,101 | 49.18% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Nelson B. McCormick (OTH) - 18,257 votes (50.84%) - margin 2,151 / 5.99% - total 35,910.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nelson B. McCormick** | OTH | - | 18,257 | 50.84% |
| | 2. Abram H. Ellis | R | - | 16,106 | 44.85% |
| | 3. J. C. Burton | D | - | 1,547 | 4.31% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Jerry Simpson (OTH) - 29,789 votes (52.48%) - margin 2,823 / 4.97% - total 56,760.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jerry Simpson** | OTH | - | 29,789 | 52.48% |
| | 2. Chester I. Long | R | - | 26,966 | 47.51% |
| | 3. Frank Neal | OTH | - | 2 | 0.00% |
| | 4. C. H. Strong | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. J. D. Botkins | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. W. E. Stone | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Kentucky

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Charles K. Wheeler (D) - 14,808 votes (37.35%) - margin 1,966 / 4.96% - total 39,641.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles K. Wheeler** | D | - | 14,808 | 37.35% |
| | 2. G. P. Thomas | R | - | 12,842 | 32.40% |
| | 3. B. F. Keys | OTH | - | 11,991 | 30.25% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: John D. Clardy (D) - 23,535 votes (56.97%) - margin 6,259 / 15.15% - total 41,310.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John D. Clardy** | D | - | 23,535 | 56.97% |
| | 2. E. T. Franks | R | - | 17,276 | 41.82% |
| | 3. John W. Lockett | D | - | 431 | 1.04% |
| | 4. G. W. S'th'ii | OTH | - | 68 | 0.17% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: John S. Rhea (D) - 19,670 votes (49.55%) - margin 346 / 0.87% - total 39,698.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Rhea** | D | - | 19,670 | 49.55% |
| | 2. W. G. Hunter | R | - | 19,324 | 48.68% |
| | 3. R. W. Va'hn | OTH | - | 389 | 0.98% |
| | 4. C. W. Milliken | D | - | 315 | 0.79% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: David Highbaugh Smith (D) - 21,655 votes (49.09%) - margin 1,433 / 3.25% - total 44,113.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David Highbaugh Smith** | D | - | 21,655 | 49.09% |
| | 2. John W. Lewis | R | - | 20,222 | 45.84% |
| | 3. J. E. D'ham | OTH | - | 1,919 | 4.35% |
| | 4. W. M. Likens | OTH | - | 317 | 0.72% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Walter Evans (R) - 27,780 votes (59.66%) - margin 10,630 / 22.83% - total 46,568.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter Evans** | R | - | 27,780 | 59.66% |
| | 2. John Y. Brown | D | - | 17,150 | 36.83% |
| | 3. John B. Baskin | D | - | 1,638 | 3.52% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Albert S. Berry (D) - 16,660 votes (58.87%) - margin 5,022 / 17.75% - total 28,298.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert S. Berry** | D | - | 16,660 | 58.87% |
| | 2. Richard P. Ernst | R | - | 11,638 | 41.13% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Evan E. Settle (D) - 18,826 votes (52.52%) - margin 1,807 / 5.04% - total 35,845.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Evan E. Settle** | D | - | 18,826 | 52.52% |
| | 2. W. C. P. Breckinridge | R | - | 17,019 | 47.48% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: George M. Davison (R) - 18,110 votes (53.68%) - margin 2,481 / 7.35% - total 33,739.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George M. Davison** | R | - | 18,110 | 53.68% |
| | 2. John B. Thompson | D | - | 15,629 | 46.32% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel J. Pugh (R) - 22,014 votes (50.49%) - margin 423 / 0.97% - total 43,605.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel J. Pugh** | R | - | 22,014 | 50.49% |
| | 2. W. Larue Thomas | D | - | 21,591 | 49.52% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas Y. Fitzpatrick (D) - 17,453 votes (51.87%) - margin 1,257 / 3.74% - total 33,649.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Y. Fitzpatrick** | D | - | 17,453 | 51.87% |
| | 2. John W. Langley | R | - | 16,196 | 48.13% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: David G. Colson (R) - 22,391 votes (56.19%) - margin 9,513 / 23.87% - total 39,846.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David G. Colson** | R | - | 22,391 | 56.19% |
| | 2. James D. Black | D | - | 12,878 | 32.32% |
| | 3. J. D. White | OTH | - | 4,547 | 11.41% |
| | 4. Joseph Bertram | D | - | 30 | 0.08% |

## Louisiana

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Adolph Meyer (D) - 10,776 votes (70.53%) - margin 6,794 / 44.47% - total 15,278.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Adolph Meyer** | D | - | 10,776 | 70.53% |
| | 2. Armand Romain | R | - | 3,982 | 26.06% |
| | 3. A. E. Livaudais | R | - | 401 | 2.63% |
| | 4. Joseph Gazin | OTH | - | 113 | 0.74% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.04% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Robert C. Davey (D) - 10,269 votes (60.77%) - margin 5,034 / 29.79% - total 16,897.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert C. Davey** | D | - | 10,269 | 60.77% |
| | 2. James Legendre | R | - | 5,235 | 30.98% |
| | 3. Fred N. Wicker | R | - | 1,344 | 7.95% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 49 | 0.29% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Robert F. Broussard (D) - 9,323 votes (57.68%) - margin 2,833 / 17.53% - total 16,164.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert F. Broussard** | D | - | 9,323 | 57.68% |
| | 2. Taylor Beattle | R | - | 6,490 | 40.15% |
| | 3. W. E. Gooch | OTH | - | 196 | 1.21% |
| | 4. H. O. Maher | R | - | 155 | 0.96% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Henry Warren Ogden (D) - 10,775 votes (66.72%) - margin 6,049 / 37.46% - total 16,149.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry Warren Ogden** | D | - | 10,775 | 66.72% |
| | 2. B. W. Bailey | OTH | - | 4,726 | 29.27% |
| | 3. Robert P. Hunter | R | - | 647 | 4.01% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel T. Baird (D) - 11,494 votes (70.23%) - margin 6,624 / 40.47% - total 16,367.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel T. Baird** | D | - | 11,494 | 70.23% |
| | 2. Alexis Benoit | OTH | - | 4,870 | 29.76% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.02% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel M. Robertson (D) - 11,872 votes (72.03%) - margin 8,186 / 49.67% - total 16,482.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel M. Robertson** | D | - | 11,872 | 72.03% |
| | 2. C. C. Duson | R | - | 3,686 | 22.36% |
| | 3. William M. Thompson | OTH | - | 924 | 5.61% |

## Massachusetts

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Ashley B. Wright (R) - 18,075 votes (65.36%) - margin 9,496 / 34.34% - total 27,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ashley B. Wright** | R | - | 18,075 | 65.36% |
| | 2. Patrick H. Sheehan | D | - | 8,579 | 31.02% |
| | 3. John Bascom | OTH | - | 993 | 3.59% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.02% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Frederick H. Gillett (R) - 19,793 votes (71.79%) - margin 12,015 / 43.58% - total 27,572.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frederick H. Gillett** | R | - | 19,793 | 71.79% |
| | 2. Thomas A. Fitzgibbon | D | - | 7,778 | 28.21% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph H. Walker (R) - 18,993 votes (72.53%) - margin 11,808 / 45.09% - total 26,187.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph H. Walker** | R | - | 18,993 | 72.53% |
| | 2. John Ogara | D | - | 7,185 | 27.44% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.03% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: George W. Weymouth (R) - 20,062 votes (69.30%) - margin 11,215 / 38.74% - total 28,948.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Weymouth** | R | - | 20,062 | 69.30% |
| | 2. I. Porter Morse | D | - | 8,847 | 30.56% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 39 | 0.14% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Knox (R) - 17,835 votes (60.73%) - margin 6,304 / 21.46% - total 29,369.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Knox** | R | - | 17,835 | 60.73% |
| | 2. John H. Harrington | D | - | 11,531 | 39.26% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: William H. Moody (R) - 19,947 votes (72.76%) - margin 12,487 / 45.55% - total 27,415.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Moody** | R | - | 19,947 | 72.76% |
| | 2. Eben Moody Boynton | D | - | 7,460 | 27.21% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.03% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: William Emerson Barrett (R) - 22,759 votes (68.18%) - margin 12,150 / 36.40% - total 33,381.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Emerson Barrett** | R | - | 22,759 | 68.18% |
| | 2. Philip J. Doherty | D | - | 10,609 | 31.78% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 13 | 0.04% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel W. McCall (R) - 22,054 votes (74.36%) - margin 14,464 / 48.77% - total 29,657.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel W. McCall** | R | - | 22,054 | 74.36% |
| | 2. Frederick H. Jackson | D | - | 7,590 | 25.59% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 13 | 0.04% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: John F. Fitzgerald (D) - 17,217 votes (67.41%) - margin 9,398 / 36.80% - total 25,541.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Fitzgerald** | D | - | 17,217 | 67.41% |
| | 2. Walter Lincoln Sears | R | - | 7,819 | 30.61% |
| | 3. Hammond T. Fletcher | R | - | 503 | 1.97% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel J. Barrows (R) - 17,147 votes (50.40%) - margin 2,888 / 8.49% - total 34,023.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel J. Barrows** | R | - | 17,147 | 50.40% |
| | 2. Bordman Hall | D | - | 14,259 | 41.91% |
| | 3. William L. Chase | R | - | 2,612 | 7.68% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.02% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: Charles F. Sprague (R) - 22,993 votes (69.33%) - margin 12,839 / 38.71% - total 33,164.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Sprague** | R | - | 22,993 | 69.33% |
| | 2. William H. Baker | D | - | 10,154 | 30.62% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 17 | 0.05% |

### District 12 - 1896

WINNER: William C. Lovering (R) - 21,107 votes (76.84%) - margin 14,753 / 53.71% - total 27,470.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Lovering** | R | - | 21,107 | 76.84% |
| | 2. Elbridge Gerry Brown | OTH | - | 6,354 | 23.13% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.03% |

### District 13 - 1896

WINNER: John Simpkins (R) - 17,685 votes (74.69%) - margin 11,692 / 49.38% - total 23,679.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Simpkins** | R | - | 17,685 | 74.69% |
| | 2. James Frances Morris | D | - | 5,993 | 25.31% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Maryland

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Isaac A. Barber (R) - 17,969 votes (48.46%) - margin 580 / 1.56% - total 37,082.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Isaac A. Barber** | R | - | 17,969 | 48.46% |
| | 2. John Miles | D | - | 17,389 | 46.89% |
| | 3. T. Fisher | OTH | - | 1,724 | 4.65% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: William Benjamin Baker (R) - 28,530 votes (53.62%) - margin 5,367 / 10.09% - total 53,204.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Benjamin Baker** | R | - | 28,530 | 53.62% |
| | 2. George Jewett | D | - | 23,163 | 43.54% |
| | 3. Chester Turnbull | OTH | - | 1,511 | 2.84% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Booze (R) - 22,671 votes (57.16%) - margin 6,694 / 16.88% - total 39,666.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Booze** | R | - | 22,671 | 57.16% |
| | 2. Thomas Weeks | D | - | 15,977 | 40.28% |
| | 3. William Toner | OTH | - | 524 | 1.32% |
| | 4. Henry Hilliglist | OTH | - | 494 | 1.25% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William W. McIntire (R) - 24,899 votes (59.29%) - margin 8,475 / 20.18% - total 41,998.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William W. McIntire** | R | - | 24,899 | 59.29% |
| | 2. William Ogden | D | - | 16,424 | 39.11% |
| | 3. Arthur Fry | OTH | - | 673 | 1.60% |
| | 4. A. Robertson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. J. Gallagher | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Sydney E. Mudd I (R) - margin - / - - total 34,887.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Sydney Emanuel Mudd | R | - | 18,954 | 54.33% |
| | 2. Robert Mass | D | - | 15,442 | 44.26% |
| | 3. Samuel R. Neave | OTH | - | 491 | 1.41% |
| W | **4. Sydney E. Mudd I** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: John McDonald (R) - 22,400 votes (53.26%) - margin 3,563 / 8.47% - total 42,054.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John McDonald** | R | - | 22,400 | 53.26% |
| | 2. Blair Lee | D | - | 18,837 | 44.79% |
| | 3. Samuel M. Hockman | OTH | - | 817 | 1.94% |

## Maine

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas Brackett Reed (R) - 19,329 votes (66.88%) - margin 10,539 / 36.47% - total 28,900.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Brackett Reed** | R | - | 19,329 | 66.88% |
| | 2. E. W. Staples | D | - | 8,790 | 30.42% |
| | 3. Aaron Clark | OTH | - | 604 | 2.09% |
| | 4. J. E. Campion | OTH | - | 169 | 0.59% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.03% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Nelson Dingley Jr. (R) - 22,418 votes (69.20%) - margin 13,994 / 43.20% - total 32,395.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nelson Dingley Jr.** | R | - | 22,418 | 69.20% |
| | 2. A. Levensaler | D | - | 8,424 | 26.00% |
| | 3. Charles E. Allen | OTH | - | 1,095 | 3.38% |
| | 4. Edw R. Ogier | OTH | - | 455 | 1.41% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Seth L. Milliken (R) - 20,900 votes (68.19%) - margin 12,876 / 42.01% - total 30,649.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Seth L. Milliken** | R | - | 20,900 | 68.19% |
| | 2. M. S. Holway | D | - | 8,024 | 26.18% |
| | 3. B. F. Lancaster | OTH | - | 1,171 | 3.82% |
| | 4. W. S. Thompson | OTH | - | 551 | 1.80% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Charles A. Boutelle (R) - 21,300 votes (65.94%) - margin 12,134 / 37.57% - total 32,300.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Boutelle** | R | - | 21,300 | 65.94% |
| | 2. A. J. Chase | D | - | 9,166 | 28.38% |
| | 3. George M. Park | OTH | - | 933 | 2.89% |
| | 4. O. D. Chapman | OTH | - | 892 | 2.76% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.03% |

## Michigan

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: John Blaisdell Corliss (R) - 24,021 votes (55.46%) - margin 4,730 / 10.92% - total 43,312.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Blaisdell Corliss** | R | - | 24,021 | 55.46% |
| | 2. Edwin Henderson | D | - | 19,291 | 44.54% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: George Spalding (R) - 26,557 votes (50.54%) - margin 1,496 / 2.85% - total 52,545.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Spalding** | R | - | 26,557 | 50.54% |
| | 2. Thomas E. Barkworth | D | - | 25,061 | 47.69% |
| | 3. Oliver H. Perry | OTH | - | 517 | 0.98% |
| | 4. Wilson Rawson | OTH | - | 230 | 0.44% |
| | 5. John O. Zabel | OTH | - | 180 | 0.34% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Albert M. Todd (D) - 25,045 votes (50.57%) - margin 1,004 / 2.03% - total 49,527.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert M. Todd** | D | - | 25,045 | 50.57% |
| | 2. Alfred Milnes | R | - | 24,041 | 48.54% |
| | 3. Ashmun A. Knappen | OTH | - | 441 | 0.89% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Edward L. Hamilton (R) - 26,518 votes (53.56%) - margin 3,524 / 7.12% - total 49,512.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward L. Hamilton** | R | - | 26,518 | 53.56% |
| | 2. Roman I. Jarvis | D | - | 22,994 | 46.44% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: William Alden Smith (R) - 26,819 votes (54.76%) - margin 4,664 / 9.52% - total 48,978.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Alden Smith** | R | - | 26,819 | 54.76% |
| | 2. George P. Hummer | D | - | 22,155 | 45.24% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel W. Smith (R) - margin 3,415 / 6.78% - total 50,364.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Samuel William Smith | R | - | 26,889 | 53.39% |
| | 2. Quincy A. Smith | D | - | 23,474 | 46.61% |
| | 3. Aaron Perry | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| W | **4. Samuel W. Smith** | R | - | - | - |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Horace G. Snover (R) - 22,761 votes (55.48%) - margin 4,494 / 10.95% - total 41,028.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Horace G. Snover** | R | - | 22,761 | 55.48% |
| | 2. Obrien J. Atkinson | D | - | 18,267 | 44.52% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Ferdinand Brucker (D) - 20,992 votes (51.01%) - margin 834 / 2.03% - total 41,150.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ferdinand Brucker** | D | - | 20,992 | 51.01% |
| | 2. William S. Linton | R | - | 20,158 | 48.99% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Roswell P. Bishop (R) - 20,418 votes (58.25%) - margin 6,175 / 17.62% - total 35,050.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Roswell P. Bishop** | R | - | 20,418 | 58.25% |
| | 2. Armond F. Tibbitts | D | - | 14,243 | 40.64% |
| | 3. James G. Rodger | OTH | - | 389 | 1.11% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Rousseau O. Crump (R) - 19,535 votes (52.70%) - margin 1,999 / 5.39% - total 37,071.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rousseau O. Crump** | R | - | 19,535 | 52.70% |
| | 2. Charles S. Hampton | D | - | 17,536 | 47.30% |

### District 11 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Mesick (R) - 24,368 votes (54.86%) - margin 4,763 / 10.72% - total 44,420.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Mesick** | R | - | 24,368 | 54.86% |
| | 2. Jonathan G. Ramsdell | D | - | 19,605 | 44.14% |
| | 3. Joseph B. Barney | OTH | - | 446 | 1.00% |
| | 4. Charles H. Hampton | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 12 - 1896

WINNER: Carlos D. Shelden (R) - 29,612 votes (70.35%) - margin 17,133 / 40.70% - total 42,091.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Carlos D. Shelden** | R | - | 29,612 | 70.35% |
| | 2. Henry W. Seymour | D | - | 12,479 | 29.65% |

## Minnesota

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: James A. Tawney (R) - 27,920 votes (60.72%) - margin 10,701 / 23.27% - total 45,985.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Tawney** | R | - | 27,920 | 60.72% |
| | 2. P. Fitzpatrick | OTH | - | 17,219 | 37.45% |
| | 3. H. Clark | OTH | - | 846 | 1.84% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: James McCleary (R) - 29,481 votes (57.07%) - margin 8,339 / 16.14% - total 51,658.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James McCleary** | R | - | 29,481 | 57.07% |
| | 2. Frank A. Day | OTH | - | 21,142 | 40.93% |
| | 3. Richard Price | OTH | - | 1,035 | 2.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Joel Heatwole (R) - 24,483 votes (55.88%) - margin 5,951 / 13.58% - total 43,816.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joel Heatwole** | R | - | 24,483 | 55.88% |
| | 2. H. J. Peck | OTH | - | 18,532 | 42.30% |
| | 3. C. T. Laugeson | OTH | - | 801 | 1.83% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Frederick Stevens (R) - 24,854 votes (62.22%) - margin 10,214 / 25.57% - total 39,945.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frederick Stevens** | R | - | 24,854 | 62.22% |
| | 2. Francis H. Clark | OTH | - | 14,640 | 36.65% |
| | 3. George S. Innis | OTH | - | 451 | 1.13% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Loren Fletcher (R) - 24,508 votes (53.25%) - margin 2,987 / 6.49% - total 46,029.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Loren Fletcher** | R | - | 24,508 | 53.25% |
| | 2. S. M. Owen | OTH | - | 21,521 | 46.76% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Page Morris (R) - 30,317 votes (50.60%) - margin 719 / 1.20% - total 59,915.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Page Morris** | R | - | 30,317 | 50.60% |
| | 2. Chas A. Towne | OTH | - | 29,598 | 49.40% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Frank Eddy (R) - 26,003 votes (50.88%) - margin 2,071 / 4.05% - total 51,108.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank Eddy** | R | - | 26,003 | 50.88% |
| | 2. Edwin E. Lommen | OTH | - | 23,932 | 46.83% |
| | 3. J. F. Heiberg | OTH | - | 1,173 | 2.30% |

## Missouri

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Richard P. Giles (D) - 24,044 votes (53.30%) - margin 4,724 / 10.47% - total 45,111.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard P. Giles** | D | - | 24,044 | 53.30% |
| | 2. Clark | R | - | 19,320 | 42.83% |
| | 3. Long | OTH | - | 1,578 | 3.50% |
| | 4. McMurry | OTH | - | 165 | 0.37% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Robert N. Bodine (D) - 25,862 votes (55.69%) - margin 6,495 / 13.99% - total 46,442.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert N. Bodine** | D | - | 25,862 | 55.69% |
| | 2. Loomis | R | - | 19,367 | 41.70% |
| | 3. Polson | OTH | - | 1,212 | 2.61% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Alexander M. Dockery (D) - 23,952 votes (53.53%) - margin 5,318 / 11.88% - total 44,748.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alexander M. Dockery** | D | - | 23,952 | 53.53% |
| | 2. Orton | R | - | 18,634 | 41.64% |
| | 3. Steele | OTH | - | 2,158 | 4.82% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Charles F. Cochran (D) - 21,512 votes (54.68%) - margin 3,829 / 9.73% - total 39,338.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Cochran** | D | - | 21,512 | 54.68% |
| | 2. Crowther | R | - | 17,683 | 44.95% |
| | 3. Weaver | OTH | - | 143 | 0.36% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Cowherd (D) - 25,966 votes (54.93%) - margin 4,660 / 9.86% - total 47,272.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Cowherd** | D | - | 25,966 | 54.93% |
| | 2. Neff | R | - | 21,306 | 45.07% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: David A. De Armond (D) - 22,524 votes (53.53%) - margin 5,802 / 13.79% - total 42,081.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David A. De Armond** | D | - | 22,524 | 53.53% |
| | 2. Hamilton | R | - | 16,722 | 39.74% |
| | 3. Linton | OTH | - | 2,606 | 6.19% |
| | 4. Godwin | OTH | - | 229 | 0.54% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: James Cooney (D) - 27,846 votes (53.45%) - margin 6,074 / 11.66% - total 52,102.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Cooney** | D | - | 27,846 | 53.45% |
| | 2. Tracy | R | - | 21,772 | 41.79% |
| | 3. Thomas | OTH | - | 2,287 | 4.39% |
| | 4. Bond | OTH | - | 197 | 0.38% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Richard P. Bland (D) - 24,605 votes (53.69%) - margin 4,851 / 10.59% - total 45,829.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard P. Bland** | D | - | 24,605 | 53.69% |
| | 2. Hubbard | R | - | 19,754 | 43.10% |
| | 3. Steincipher | OTH | - | 1,467 | 3.20% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Champ Clark (D) - 19,970 votes (52.97%) - margin 2,495 / 6.62% - total 37,698.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Champ Clark** | D | - | 19,970 | 52.97% |
| | 2. Treloar | R | - | 17,475 | 46.36% |
| | 3. Sims | OTH | - | 252 | 0.67% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Richard Bartholdt (R) - 25,513 votes (73.15%) - margin 16,453 / 47.17% - total 34,878.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard Bartholdt** | R | - | 25,513 | 73.15% |
| | 2. Lemp | D | - | 9,060 | 25.98% |
| | 3. Meier | OTH | - | 296 | 0.85% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.03% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: Charles F. Joy (R) - 28,341 votes (53.31%) - margin 3,665 / 6.89% - total 53,160.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Joy** | R | - | 28,341 | 53.31% |
| | 2. John T. Hunt | D | - | 24,676 | 46.42% |
| | 3. Haeussier | OTH | - | 139 | 0.26% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

### District 12 - 1896

WINNER: Charles E. Pearce (R) - 21,483 votes (54.91%) - margin 3,915 / 10.01% - total 39,124.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles E. Pearce** | R | - | 21,483 | 54.91% |
| | 2. Kern | D | - | 17,568 | 44.90% |
| | 3. Crusius | OTH | - | 71 | 0.18% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 13 - 1896

WINNER: Edward Robb (D) - 22,310 votes (51.93%) - margin 3,248 / 7.56% - total 42,963.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward Robb** | D | - | 22,310 | 51.93% |
| | 2. Steel | R | - | 19,062 | 44.37% |
| | 3. Bond | OTH | - | 1,583 | 3.69% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.02% |

### District 14 - 1896

WINNER: Willard Duncan Vandiver (D) - 25,089 votes (49.57%) - margin 4,430 / 8.75% - total 50,609.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Willard Duncan Vandiver** | D | - | 25,089 | 49.57% |
| | 2. Snider | R | - | 20,659 | 40.82% |
| | 3. Livingston | OTH | - | 4,860 | 9.60% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 15 - 1896

WINNER: Maecenas E. Benton (D) - 24,155 votes (55.71%) - margin 7,145 / 16.48% - total 43,359.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Maecenas E. Benton** | D | - | 24,155 | 55.71% |
| | 2. Burton | R | - | 17,010 | 39.23% |
| | 3. Frank | OTH | - | 1,964 | 4.53% |
| | 4. Mitchell | OTH | - | 230 | 0.53% |

## Mississippi

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: John M. Allen (D) - 7,221 votes (86.92%) - margin 6,469 / 77.86% - total 8,308.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John M. Allen** | D | - | 7,221 | 86.92% |
| | 2. A. W. Kearley | OTH | - | 752 | 9.05% |
| | 3. William H. McGill | R | - | 335 | 4.03% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: William V. Sullivan (D) - 6,941 votes (70.23%) - margin 5,469 / 55.33% - total 9,884.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William V. Sullivan** | D | - | 6,941 | 70.23% |
| | 2. F. E. Ray | OTH | - | 1,472 | 14.89% |
| | 3. W. D. Miller | D | - | 779 | 7.88% |
| | 4. M. A. Montgomery | R | - | 692 | 7.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas C. Catchings (D) - 3,069 votes (75.78%) - margin 2,537 / 62.64% - total 4,050.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas C. Catchings** | D | - | 3,069 | 75.78% |
| | 2. J. R. Chalmers | R | - | 532 | 13.14% |
| | 3. C. J. Jones | R | - | 369 | 9.11% |
| | 4. T. S. Easterling | R | - | 80 | 1.98% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Andrew F. Fox (D) - 8,343 votes (70.01%) - margin 5,257 / 44.11% - total 11,917.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Andrew F. Fox** | D | - | 8,343 | 70.01% |
| | 2. R. K. Prewitt | OTH | - | 3,086 | 25.90% |
| | 3. W. D. Frazee | R | - | 488 | 4.10% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: John S. Williams (D) - 10,475 votes (80.08%) - margin 8,227 / 62.89% - total 13,081.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Williams** | D | - | 10,475 | 80.08% |
| | 2. W. H. Stinson | OTH | - | 2,248 | 17.18% |
| | 3. J. E. Everett | R | - | 354 | 2.71% |
| | 4. James Owens | OTH | - | 4 | 0.03% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: William F. Love (D) - 6,718 votes (64.25%) - margin 4,035 / 38.59% - total 10,456.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William F. Love** | D | - | 6,718 | 64.25% |
| | 2. N. C. Hathorn | OTH | - | 2,683 | 25.66% |
| | 3. H. C. Griffin | R | - | 1,055 | 10.09% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Patrick Henry (D) - 7,327 votes (84.74%) - margin 6,430 / 74.36% - total 8,647.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Patrick Henry** | D | - | 7,327 | 84.74% |
| | 2. G. M. Cain | OTH | - | 897 | 10.37% |
| | 3. J. M. Matthews | R | - | 423 | 4.89% |

## Montana

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: Charles S. Hartman (OTH) - 33,932 votes (78.14%) - margin - / - - total 43,424.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles S. Hartman** | OTH | - | 33,932 | 78.14% |
| | 2. O. F. Goddard | R | - | 9,492 | 21.86% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## North Carolina

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Harry Skinner (OTH) - 20,724 votes (58.26%) - margin 5,875 / 16.52% - total 35,573.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Harry Skinner** | OTH | - | 20,724 | 58.26% |
| | 2. Wilson H. Lucas | D | - | 14,849 | 41.74% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: George Henry White (R) - 19,332 votes (51.62%) - margin 3,954 / 10.56% - total 37,448.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Henry White** | R | - | 19,332 | 51.62% |
| | 2. Frederick A. Woodard | D | - | 15,378 | 41.07% |
| | 3. D. S. Moss | OTH | - | 2,738 | 7.31% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: John Edgar Fowler (OTH) - 17,989 votes (58.93%) - margin 5,453 / 17.86% - total 30,525.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Edgar Fowler** | OTH | - | 17,989 | 58.93% |
| | 2. Frank Thompson | D | - | 12,536 | 41.07% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William F. Strowd (OTH) - 20,977 votes (55.64%) - margin 4,572 / 12.13% - total 37,699.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William F. Strowd** | OTH | - | 20,977 | 55.64% |
| | 2. Edward W. Pou | D | - | 16,405 | 43.52% |
| | 3. Thomas L. Banks | R | - | 257 | 0.68% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 60 | 0.16% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: William Walton Kitchin (D) - 19,082 votes (49.92%) - margin 443 / 1.16% - total 38,229.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Walton Kitchin** | D | - | 19,082 | 49.92% |
| | 2. Thomas Settle | R | - | 18,639 | 48.76% |
| | 3. Admiran J. Dalby | OTH | - | 508 | 1.33% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Charles H. Martin (OTH) - 22,051 votes (56.13%) - margin 4,816 / 12.26% - total 39,286.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles H. Martin** | OTH | - | 22,051 | 56.13% |
| | 2. James A. Lockhart | D | - | 17,235 | 43.87% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Alonzo C. Shuford (OTH) - 17,669 votes (55.29%) - margin 3,380 / 10.58% - total 31,958.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alonzo C. Shuford** | OTH | - | 17,669 | 55.29% |
| | 2. Samuel J. Pemberton | D | - | 14,289 | 44.71% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Romulus Z. Linney (R) - 19,419 votes (51.80%) - margin 1,412 / 3.77% - total 37,492.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Romulus Z. Linney** | R | - | 19,419 | 51.80% |
| | 2. Rufus A. Doughton | D | - | 18,007 | 48.03% |
| | 3. William M. White | OTH | - | 64 | 0.17% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Richmond Pearson (R) - 20,495 votes (51.61%) - margin 1,306 / 3.29% - total 39,709.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richmond Pearson** | R | - | 20,495 | 51.61% |
| | 2. Joseph S. Adams | D | - | 19,189 | 48.32% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 20 | 0.05% |
| | 4. Helen Miss Lewis | OTH | - | 5 | 0.01% |

## North Dakota

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: Martin N. Johnson (R) - 25,233 votes (53.97%) - margin 4,061 / 8.69% - total 46,754.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Martin N. Johnson** | R | - | 25,233 | 53.97% |
| | 2. Burke | OTH | - | 21,172 | 45.28% |
| | 3. Garver | OTH | - | 349 | 0.75% |

## Nebraska

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Jesse B. Strode (R) - 17,356 votes (49.39%) - margin 219 / 0.62% - total 35,140.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jesse B. Strode** | R | - | 17,356 | 49.39% |
| | 2. Jefferson H. Broady | D | - | 17,137 | 48.77% |
| | 3. Charles E. Smith | OTH | - | 429 | 1.22% |
| | 4. Hampton E. George | OTH | - | 218 | 0.62% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: David H. Mercer (R) - 14,861 votes (52.31%) - margin 1,575 / 5.54% - total 28,408.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David H. Mercer** | R | - | 14,861 | 52.31% |
| | 2. Edward R. Duffie | D | - | 13,286 | 46.77% |
| | 3. Charles Watts | OTH | - | 202 | 0.71% |
| | 4. George W. Woodbey | OTH | - | 59 | 0.21% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel Maxwell (OTH) - 23,487 votes (54.76%) - margin 4,854 / 11.32% - total 42,895.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel Maxwell** | OTH | - | 23,487 | 54.76% |
| | 2. R. L. Hammond | R | - | 18,633 | 43.44% |
| | 3. David Brown | OTH | - | 521 | 1.22% |
| | 4. Chas M. Griffith | OTH | - | 254 | 0.59% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: William L. Stark (OTH) - 20,515 votes (50.54%) - margin 1,671 / 4.12% - total 40,595.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Stark** | OTH | - | 20,515 | 50.54% |
| | 2. E. J. Hainer | R | - | 18,844 | 46.42% |
| | 3. R. E. Dunphy | D | - | 697 | 1.72% |
| | 4. B. Spurlock | OTH | - | 425 | 1.05% |
| | 5. William H. Dech | OTH | - | 114 | 0.28% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Roderick D. Sutherland (OTH) - 18,332 votes (52.79%) - margin 2,791 / 8.04% - total 34,725.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Roderick D. Sutherland** | OTH | - | 18,332 | 52.79% |
| | 2. William E. Andrews | R | - | 15,541 | 44.75% |
| | 3. Ransom S. Proudfit | D | - | 433 | 1.25% |
| | 4. Charles W. Preston | OTH | - | 266 | 0.77% |
| | 5. J. S. Miller | OTH | - | 153 | 0.44% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: William L. Greene (OTH) - 19,378 votes (55.73%) - margin 4,537 / 13.05% - total 34,774.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Greene** | OTH | - | 19,378 | 55.73% |
| | 2. Addison E. Cady | R | - | 14,841 | 42.68% |
| | 3. A. D. George | OTH | - | 436 | 1.25% |
| | 4. A. C. Sloan | OTH | - | 119 | 0.34% |

## New Hampshire

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Cyrus A. Sulloway (R) - 25,661 votes (62.95%) - margin 11,733 / 28.78% - total 40,765.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Cyrus A. Sulloway** | R | - | 25,661 | 62.95% |
| | 2. John B. Nash | D | - | 13,928 | 34.17% |
| | 3. Henry E. Brawn | OTH | - | 614 | 1.51% |
| | 4. Benjamin T. Whitehouse | OTH | - | 326 | 0.80% |
| | 5. Charles W. Coolidge | OTH | - | 121 | 0.30% |
| | 6. Josiah A. Whittier | OTH | - | 111 | 0.27% |
| | 7. Daniel Emerson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. John Paige | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. Stanton Owen | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Frank G. Clarke (R) - 26,689 votes (64.32%) - margin 12,811 / 30.88% - total 41,492.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank G. Clarke** | R | - | 26,689 | 64.32% |
| | 2. Daniel M. White | D | - | 13,878 | 33.45% |
| | 3. Allen W. Wark | OTH | - | 544 | 1.31% |
| | 4. Arthur H. Drury | OTH | - | 190 | 0.46% |
| | 5. Elias M. Blodgett | OTH | - | 183 | 0.44% |
| | 6. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 7. Franklin Worcester | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. Harry Bingham | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. Irving W. Drew | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. John M. Hovey | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Porter B. Weston | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 12. Robert M. Wallace | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## New Jersey

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Henry C. Loudenslager (R) - 33,659 votes (64.18%) - margin 16,541 / 31.54% - total 52,443.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry C. Loudenslager** | R | - | 33,659 | 64.18% |
| | 2. John T. Wright | D | - | 17,118 | 32.64% |
| | 3. Rodolphus Bingham | OTH | - | 1,516 | 2.89% |
| | 4. Frank F. Mills | OTH | - | 150 | 0.29% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: John J. Gardner (R) - 31,418 votes (65.99%) - margin 17,449 / 36.65% - total 47,614.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Gardner** | R | - | 31,418 | 65.99% |
| | 2. Abraham E. Conrow | D | - | 13,969 | 29.34% |
| | 3. R. Lowber Temple | D | - | 1,076 | 2.26% |
| | 4. J. Bailie Adams | OTH | - | 1,036 | 2.18% |
| | 5. George Yardley | OTH | - | 115 | 0.24% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Benjamin F. Howell (R) - 24,308 votes (57.82%) - margin 8,221 / 19.56% - total 42,040.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benjamin F. Howell** | R | - | 24,308 | 57.82% |
| | 2. John A. Wells | D | - | 16,087 | 38.27% |
| | 3. William Strother Jones | D | - | 986 | 2.34% |
| | 4. Arthur W. Marshall | OTH | - | 511 | 1.22% |
| | 5. Patrick Henry | OTH | - | 148 | 0.35% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Mahlon Pitney (R) - 20,494 votes (52.46%) - margin 2,977 / 7.62% - total 39,065.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Mahlon Pitney** | R | - | 20,494 | 52.46% |
| | 2. Augustus W. Cutler | D | - | 17,517 | 44.84% |
| | 3. Theodore N. Logan | OTH | - | 1,054 | 2.70% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: James F. Stewart (R) - 23,845 votes (59.85%) - margin 10,178 / 25.55% - total 39,843.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James F. Stewart** | R | - | 23,845 | 59.85% |
| | 2. Addison Ely | D | - | 13,667 | 34.30% |
| | 3. James Wilson | OTH | - | 1,041 | 2.61% |
| | 4. Hardy M. Banks | D | - | 920 | 2.31% |
| | 5. Mahlon B. Reed | OTH | - | 370 | 0.93% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Richard W. Parker (R) - 31,059 votes (64.24%) - margin 15,666 / 32.40% - total 48,352.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard W. Parker** | R | - | 31,059 | 64.24% |
| | 2. Joseph A. Beecher | D | - | 15,393 | 31.84% |
| | 3. William J. Peoples | D | - | 791 | 1.64% |
| | 4. James E. Billings | OTH | - | 781 | 1.62% |
| | 5. Oliver B. Harden | OTH | - | 328 | 0.68% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas McEwan Jr. (R) - 30,557 votes (51.80%) - margin 4,477 / 7.59% - total 58,995.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas McEwan Jr.** | R | - | 30,557 | 51.80% |
| | 2. Alexander C. Young | D | - | 26,080 | 44.21% |
| | 3. Frank Campbell | OTH | - | 1,073 | 1.82% |
| | 4. Rynier J. Wortendyke | D | - | 875 | 1.48% |
| | 5. Samuel Sr. Ginner | OTH | - | 235 | 0.40% |
| | 6. John F. McCrachen | OTH | - | 175 | 0.30% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Charles N. Fowler (R) - 25,131 votes (61.72%) - margin 11,644 / 28.60% - total 40,718.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles N. Fowler** | R | - | 25,131 | 61.72% |
| | 2. Freeman O. Willey | D | - | 13,487 | 33.12% |
| | 3. Alexander D. Noyes | D | - | 1,085 | 2.67% |
| | 4. William T. Campbell | OTH | - | 572 | 1.41% |
| | 5. Samuel Wilson | OTH | - | 443 | 1.09% |

## Nevada

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: Francis G. Newlands (OTH) - 6,429 votes (66.31%) - margin - / - - total 9,696.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Francis G. Newlands** | OTH | - | 6,429 | 66.31% |
| | 2. J. C. Doughty | OTH | - | 1,948 | 20.09% |
| | 3. M. J. Davis | R | - | 1,319 | 13.60% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## New York

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph M. Belford (R) - 27,191 votes (59.44%) - margin 11,268 / 24.63% - total 45,743.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph M. Belford** | R | - | 27,191 | 59.44% |
| | 2. William D. Marvel | D | - | 15,923 | 34.81% |
| | 3. William A. Hazard | D | - | 1,700 | 3.72% |
| | 4. Joseph P. Jones | OTH | - | 929 | 2.03% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Denis M. Hurley (R) - 18,268 votes (50.77%) - margin 2,367 / 6.58% - total 35,985.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Denis M. Hurley** | R | - | 18,268 | 50.77% |
| | 2. John M. Clancy | D | - | 15,901 | 44.19% |
| | 3. William C. Redfield | D | - | 1,561 | 4.34% |
| | 4. Emanuel T. Holmes | OTH | - | 152 | 0.42% |
| | 5. Isaac K. Funk | OTH | - | 103 | 0.29% |

## District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Francis H. Wilson (R) - 23,813 votes (56.34%) - margin 7,553 / 17.87% - total 42,268.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Francis H. Wilson** | R | - | 23,813 | 56.34% |
| | 2. Charles F. Brandt | D | - | 16,260 | 38.47% |
| | 3. John A. Hennessy | D | - | 1,811 | 4.29% |
| | 4. Charles L. Furman | OTH | - | 231 | 0.55% |
| | 5. William B. Waldron | OTH | - | 153 | 0.36% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Israel F. Fischer (R) - 25,810 votes (56.15%) - margin 7,429 / 16.16% - total 45,963.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Israel F. Fischer** | R | - | 25,810 | 56.15% |
| | 2. Thomas F. Larkin | D | - | 18,381 | 39.99% |
| | 3. Theodore S. Nye | D | - | 1,036 | 2.25% |
| | 4. Alber Klein | OTH | - | 607 | 1.32% |
| | 5. James E. Ramsey | OTH | - | 129 | 0.28% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Charles G. Bennett (R) - 22,605 votes (57.45%) - margin 8,419 / 21.39% - total 39,351.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles G. Bennett** | R | - | 22,605 | 57.45% |
| | 2. Thomas S. Delaney | D | - | 14,186 | 36.05% |
| | 3. Leo Schmidt | OTH | - | 1,677 | 4.26% |
| | 4. Joseph S. Vanwyck | D | - | 805 | 2.05% |
| | 5. Albert A. Walker | OTH | - | 78 | 0.20% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: James R. Howe (R) - 15,314 votes (49.07%) - margin 1,027 / 3.29% - total 31,211.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James R. Howe** | R | - | 15,314 | 49.07% |
| | 2. William Fickermann | D | - | 14,287 | 45.78% |
| | 3. Gustav A. Rosenblath | OTH | - | 941 | 3.02% |
| | 4. Daniel Walsh | D | - | 354 | 1.13% |
| | 5. John J. Conklin | OTH | - | 144 | 0.46% |
| | 6. Oscar E. Langer | OTH | - | 111 | 0.36% |
| | 7. Conrad H. Parmateer | OTH | - | 60 | 0.19% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: John H. G. Vehslage (D) - 11,032 votes (51.94%) - margin 1,184 / 5.57% - total 21,239.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. G. Vehslage** | D | - | 11,032 | 51.94% |
| | 2. Franklin Bartlett | R | - | 9,848 | 46.37% |
| | 3. John H. Moore | OTH | - | 188 | 0.89% |
| | 4. John W. Rhines | OTH | - | 171 | 0.81% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: John M. Mitchell (R) - 10,488 votes (52.62%) - margin 1,269 / 6.37% - total 19,931.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John M. Mitchell** | R | - | 10,488 | 52.62% |
| | 2. James J. Walsh | D | - | 9,219 | 46.26% |
| | 3. William F. Westerfield | OTH | - | 140 | 0.70% |
| | 4. James C. Witter | OTH | - | 84 | 0.42% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas J. Bradley (D) - 11,002 votes (46.27%) - margin 2,623 / 11.03% - total 23,778.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas J. Bradley** | D | - | 11,002 | 46.27% |
| | 2. Timothy J. Campbell | R | - | 8,379 | 35.24% |
| | 3. Daniel Deleon | OTH | - | 4,371 | 18.38% |
| | 4. William Macelveen | OTH | - | 26 | 0.11% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Amos J. Cummings (D) - 17,446 votes (53.26%) - margin 3,201 / 9.77% - total 32,753.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Amos J. Cummings** | D | - | 17,446 | 53.26% |
| | 2. Clarence W. Meade | R | - | 14,245 | 43.49% |
| | 3. Calvin Tomkins | D | - | 512 | 1.56% |
| | 4. William Ruddy | OTH | - | 411 | 1.26% |
| | 5. Fletcher Hamlin | OTH | - | 139 | 0.42% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: William Sulzer (D) - 12,195 votes (48.80%) - margin 1,760 / 7.04% - total 24,989.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Sulzer** | D | - | 12,195 | 48.80% |
| | 2. Ferdinand Eldmann | R | - | 10,435 | 41.76% |
| | 3. Herman Miller | OTH | - | 2,011 | 8.05% |
| | 4. Thomas J. Connor | D | - | 306 | 1.23% |
| | 5. John E. Hanson | OTH | - | 42 | 0.17% |

## District 12 - 1896

WINNER: George B. McClellan Jr. (D) - 12,815 votes (50.93%) - margin 1,777 / 7.06% - total 25,162.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George B. McClellan Jr.** | D | - | 12,815 | 50.93% |
| | 2. Charles A. Hess | R | - | 11,038 | 43.87% |
| | 3. Marion M. Miller | OTH | - | 831 | 3.30% |
| | 4. Charles B. Copp | OTH | - | 385 | 1.53% |
| | 5. Wilbur S. Hobbs | OTH | - | 93 | 0.37% |

## District 13 - 1896

WINNER: Richard C. Shannon (R) - 15,413 votes (47.99%) - margin 1,346 / 4.19% - total 32,118.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard C. Shannon** | R | - | 15,413 | 47.99% |
| | 2. Thomas Smith | D | - | 14,067 | 43.80% |
| | 3. Joseph H. Madden | D | - | 1,029 | 3.20% |
| | 4. Isidor Phillips | OTH | - | 594 | 1.85% |
| | 5. John J. Murphy | OTH | - | 505 | 1.57% |
| | 6. Thomas F. Rightmire | R | - | 419 | 1.31% |
| | 7. France M. Hammond | OTH | - | 91 | 0.28% |

## District 14 - 1896

WINNER: Lemuel E. Quigg (R) - 27,875 votes (55.51%) - margin 9,322 / 18.56% - total 50,214.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lemuel E. Quigg** | R | - | 27,875 | 55.51% |
| | 2. John Quincy Adams | D | - | 18,553 | 36.95% |
| | 3. Charles V. Fornes | D | - | 2,414 | 4.81% |
| | 4. Richard Morton | OTH | - | 1,235 | 2.46% |
| | 5. Benjamin T. Rogers | OTH | - | 137 | 0.27% |

## District 15 - 1896

WINNER: Philip B. Low (R) - 29,602 votes (54.54%) - margin 7,082 / 13.05% - total 54,272.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Philip B. Low** | R | - | 29,602 | 54.54% |
| | 2. William H. Burke | D | - | 22,520 | 41.49% |
| | 3. Enoch K. Thomas | OTH | - | 1,804 | 3.32% |
| | 4. Archie C. Fisk | OTH | - | 224 | 0.41% |
| | 5. Oscar A. Gage | OTH | - | 122 | 0.22% |

## District 16 - 1896

WINNER: William L. Ward (R) - 30,709 votes (52.59%) - margin 7,253 / 12.42% - total 58,392.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Ward** | R | - | 30,709 | 52.59% |
| | 2. Eugene B. Travis | D | - | 23,456 | 40.17% |
| | 3. James V. Lawrence | D | - | 1,697 | 2.91% |
| | 4. Lucien Sanial | OTH | - | 1,299 | 2.22% |
| | 5. Ben F. Fairchild | R | - | 770 | 1.32% |
| | 6. James H. Hardy | OTH | - | 461 | 0.79% |

## District 17 - 1896

WINNER: Benjamin Odell (R) - 22,622 votes (58.53%) - margin 7,122 / 18.43% - total 38,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benjamin Odell** | R | - | 22,622 | 58.53% |
| | 2. David A. Morrison | D | - | 15,500 | 40.10% |
| | 3. Robert A. Widenmann | D | - | 445 | 1.15% |
| | 4. Joseph Schmitt | OTH | - | 86 | 0.22% |

## District 18 - 1896

WINNER: John H. Ketcham (R) - 25,531 votes (60.86%) - margin 9,575 / 22.83% - total 41,949.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Ketcham** | R | - | 25,531 | 60.86% |
| | 2. Richard E. Connell | D | - | 15,956 | 38.04% |
| | 3. Henry Metcalf | D | - | 462 | 1.10% |

## District 19 - 1896

WINNER: Aaron Van Schaick Cochrane (R) - 23,509 votes (55.66%) - margin 5,774 / 13.67% - total 42,240.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Aaron Van Schaick Cochrane** | R | - | 23,509 | 55.66% |
| | 2. George G. Miller | D | - | 17,735 | 41.99% |
| | 3. Nathaniel B. Powers | OTH | - | 472 | 1.12% |
| | 4. Elmer T. Haines | D | - | 389 | 0.92% |
| | 5. Lawrence A. Boland | OTH | - | 135 | 0.32% |

## District 20 - 1896

WINNER: George N. Southwick (R) - 22,342 votes (54.74%) - margin 4,705 / 11.53% - total 40,812.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George N. Southwick** | R | - | 22,342 | 54.74% |
| | 2. Thomas F. Wilkinson | D | - | 17,637 | 43.22% |
| | 3. Simeon Holroyd | D | - | 401 | 0.98% |
| | 4. John Sanford | OTH | - | 231 | 0.57% |
| | 5. Edwin O. Smith | OTH | - | 201 | 0.49% |

## District 21 - 1896

WINNER: David F. Wilber (R) - 28,567 votes (55.69%) - margin 6,300 / 12.28% - total 51,298.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David F. Wilber** | R | - | 28,567 | 55.69% |
| | 2. John H. Bagley | D | - | 22,267 | 43.41% |
| | 3. Leslie Pell Clarke | D | - | 464 | 0.90% |

## District 22 - 1896

WINNER: Lucius Littauer (R) - 32,269 votes (93.30%) - margin 30,629 / 88.56% - total 34,585.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lucius Littauer** | R | - | 32,269 | 93.30% |
| | 2. James T. Sweetman | OTH | - | 1,640 | 4.74% |
| | 3. John C. Greene | D | - | 676 | 1.96% |

## District 23 - 1896

WINNER: Wallace T. Foote Jr. (R) - 30,475 votes (96.99%) - margin 29,981 / 95.42% - total 31,421.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Wallace T. Foote Jr.** | R | - | 30,475 | 96.99% |
| | 2. Winfield A. Huppuch | D | - | 494 | 1.57% |
| | 3. Demyre S. Fero | OTH | - | 452 | 1.44% |

## District 24 - 1896

WINNER: Charles A. Chickering (R) - 27,242 votes (61.41%) - margin 10,994 / 24.78% - total 44,360.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Chickering** | R | - | 27,242 | 61.41% |
| | 2. Oscar M. Wood | D | - | 16,248 | 36.63% |
| | 3. Frederick B. Devendorf | OTH | - | 870 | 1.96% |

## District 25 - 1896

WINNER: James S. Sherman (R) - 26,996 votes (60.84%) - margin 10,484 / 23.63% - total 44,372.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James S. Sherman** | R | - | 26,996 | 60.84% |
| | 2. Cornelius Haley | D | - | 16,512 | 37.21% |
| | 3. William D. Towsley | OTH | - | 862 | 1.94% |
| | 4. Seth G. Heacock | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## District 26 - 1896

WINNER: George W. Ray (R) - 34,686 votes (60.75%) - margin 14,303 / 25.05% - total 57,094.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Ray** | R | - | 34,686 | 60.75% |
| | 2. Alexander D. Wales | D | - | 20,383 | 35.70% |
| | 3. William C. Moulton | OTH | - | 1,512 | 2.65% |
| | 4. Charles S. Hall | D | - | 513 | 0.90% |

## District 27 - 1896

WINNER: James J. Belden (R) - 50,084 votes (97.16%) - margin 49,257 / 95.55% - total 51,549.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James J. Belden** | R | - | 50,084 | 97.16% |
| | 2. Charles H. Corregan | OTH | - | 827 | 1.60% |
| | 3. Heman D. Fulton | OTH | - | 638 | 1.24% |

## District 28 - 1896

WINNER: Sereno E. Payne (R) - 33,628 votes (62.37%) - margin 13,806 / 25.60% - total 53,920.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Sereno E. Payne** | R | - | 33,628 | 62.37% |
| | 2. Robert L. Drummond | D | - | 19,822 | 36.76% |
| | 3. Francis O. Mason | D | - | 468 | 0.87% |
| | 4. E. Folsom | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. Frank Vrooman | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 29 - 1896

WINNER: Charles W. Gillet (R) - 27,192 votes (59.69%) - margin 9,198 / 20.19% - total 45,555.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles W. Gillet** | R | - | 27,192 | 59.69% |
| | 2. Henry W. Bowes | D | - | 17,994 | 39.50% |
| | 3. Demerville Page | D | - | 369 | 0.81% |

## District 30 - 1896

WINNER: James W. Wadsworth (R) - 28,478 votes (57.32%) - margin 9,412 / 18.95% - total 49,679.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James W. Wadsworth** | R | - | 28,478 | 57.32% |
| | 2. Frank P. Hulette | D | - | 19,066 | 38.38% |
| | 3. Charles Fremont Williams | OTH | - | 1,269 | 2.55% |
| | 4. George A. Sweet | D | - | 469 | 0.94% |
| | 5. John Ideson | OTH | - | 397 | 0.80% |

### District 31 - 1896

WINNER: Henry C. Brewster (R) - 25,399 votes (56.89%) - margin 8,290 / 18.57% - total 44,650.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry C. Brewster** | R | - | 25,399 | 56.89% |
| | 2. William E. Ryan | D | - | 17,109 | 38.32% |
| | 3. Howard W. Sneck | OTH | - | 617 | 1.38% |
| | 4. William R. Hunt | OTH | - | 562 | 1.26% |
| | 5. Frank Siererman | OTH | - | 488 | 1.09% |
| | 6. William Henry Davis | D | - | 386 | 0.87% |
| | 7. William J. McPherson | OTH | - | 89 | 0.20% |

### District 32 - 1896

WINNER: Rowland B. Mahany (R) - 18,623 votes (54.71%) - margin 3,858 / 11.33% - total 34,037.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rowland B. Mahany** | R | - | 18,623 | 54.71% |
| | 2. Charles Rung | D | - | 14,765 | 43.38% |
| | 3. Mark B. Moore | D | - | 313 | 0.92% |
| | 4. Joseph Otto | OTH | - | 252 | 0.74% |
| | 5. Stephen Lockwood | OTH | - | 84 | 0.25% |

### District 33 - 1896

WINNER: De Alva S. Alexander (R) - 27,573 votes (63.00%) - margin 12,937 / 29.56% - total 43,769.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. De Alva S. Alexander** | R | - | 27,573 | 63.00% |
| | 2. Harvey W. Richardson | D | - | 14,636 | 33.44% |
| | 3. George Wing | D | - | 931 | 2.13% |
| | 4. John A. Sayles | OTH | - | 425 | 0.97% |
| | 5. August Miller | OTH | - | 204 | 0.47% |

### District 34 - 1896

WINNER: Warren B. Hooker (R) - 30,696 votes (86.00%) - margin 27,398 / 76.76% - total 35,695.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Warren B. Hooker** | R | - | 30,696 | 86.00% |
| | 2. David F. Allen | OTH | - | 3,298 | 9.24% |
| | 3. Ancel M. Taylor | OTH | - | 1,279 | 3.58% |
| | 4. Staley N. Wood | D | - | 422 | 1.18% |

## Ohio

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: William B. Shattuc (R) - 27,093 votes (60.80%) - margin 9,627 / 21.61% - total 44,559.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William B. Shattuc** | R | - | 27,093 | 60.80% |
| | 2. Thomas J. Donnelly | D | - | 17,466 | 39.20% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Jacob H. Bromwell (R) - 30,075 votes (59.03%) - margin 9,197 / 18.05% - total 50,953.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jacob H. Bromwell** | R | - | 30,075 | 59.03% |
| | 2. David S. Oliver | D | - | 20,878 | 40.98% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: John L. Brenner (D) - 27,435 votes (49.69%) - margin 101 / 0.18% - total 55,211.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John L. Brenner** | D | - | 27,435 | 49.69% |
| | 2. Robert M. Nevin | R | - | 27,334 | 49.51% |
| | 3. Joel S. Steward | OTH | - | 305 | 0.55% |
| | 4. Samuel N. Stubbs | OTH | - | 137 | 0.25% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: George A. Marshall (D) - 25,688 votes (59.53%) - margin 9,017 / 20.90% - total 43,149.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George A. Marshall** | D | - | 25,688 | 59.53% |
| | 2. John P. Maclean | R | - | 16,671 | 38.64% |
| | 3. L. M. Kraner | OTH | - | 484 | 1.12% |
| | 4. George W. Mace | OTH | - | 306 | 0.71% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: David Meekison (D) - 24,383 votes (56.05%) - margin 5,905 / 13.57% - total 43,503.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David Meekison** | D | - | 24,383 | 56.05% |
| | 2. Francis B. Dewitt | R | - | 18,478 | 42.48% |
| | 3. George N. Rice | OTH | - | 642 | 1.48% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Seth W. Brown (R) - 25,360 votes (53.90%) - margin 4,002 / 8.51% - total 47,054.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Seth W. Brown** | R | - | 25,360 | 53.90% |
| | 2. Harry W. Paxton | D | - | 21,358 | 45.39% |
| | 3. Frank S. Delo | OTH | - | 336 | 0.71% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Walter L. Weaver (R) - 22,745 votes (51.40%) - margin 1,574 / 3.56% - total 44,250.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter L. Weaver** | R | - | 22,745 | 51.40% |
| | 2. Francis M. Hunt | D | - | 21,171 | 47.84% |
| | 3. Ralph S. Thompson | OTH | - | 334 | 0.76% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Archibald Lybrand (R) - 26,211 votes (53.79%) - margin 3,692 / 7.58% - total 48,730.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Archibald Lybrand** | R | - | 26,211 | 53.79% |
| | 2. McEldin Dun | D | - | 22,519 | 46.21% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: James H. Southard (R) - 29,603 votes (53.53%) - margin 3,905 / 7.06% - total 55,301.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James H. Southard** | R | - | 29,603 | 53.53% |
| | 2. Stephen Brophy | D | - | 25,698 | 46.47% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Lucien J. Fenton (R) - 24,809 votes (57.91%) - margin 6,780 / 15.83% - total 42,840.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lucien J. Fenton** | R | - | 24,809 | 57.91% |
| | 2. T. S. Hogan | D | - | 18,029 | 42.09% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: Charles H. Grosvenor (R) - 24,333 votes (54.83%) - margin 4,483 / 10.10% - total 44,379.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles H. Grosvenor** | R | - | 24,333 | 54.83% |
| | 2. William E. Jr. Finck | D | - | 19,850 | 44.73% |
| | 3. Lawrence C. Crippen | OTH | - | 196 | 0.44% |

## District 12 - 1896

WINNER: John J. Lentz (D) - 23,673 votes (49.73%) - margin 49 / 0.10% - total 47,602.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Lentz** | D | - | 23,673 | 49.73% |
| | 2. David K. Watson | R | - | 23,624 | 49.63% |
| | 3. Jacob B. Turner | OTH | - | 187 | 0.39% |
| | 4. L. J. Finley | OTH | - | 118 | 0.25% |

## District 13 - 1896

WINNER: James A. Norton (D) - 28,878 votes (54.39%) - margin 5,372 / 10.12% - total 53,091.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Norton** | D | - | 28,878 | 54.39% |
| | 2. Stephen R. Harris | R | - | 23,506 | 44.27% |
| | 3. John H. Rhodes | OTH | - | 458 | 0.86% |
| | 4. John W. Belser | OTH | - | 249 | 0.47% |

## District 14 - 1896

WINNER: Winfield S. Kerr (R) - 26,850 votes (51.98%) - margin 2,276 / 4.41% - total 51,656.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Winfield S. Kerr** | R | - | 26,850 | 51.98% |
| | 2. John B. Coffinberry | D | - | 24,574 | 47.57% |
| | 3. Robert F. Mosher | OTH | - | 232 | 0.45% |

## District 15 - 1896

WINNER: H. Clay Van Voorhis (R) - 22,560 votes (52.57%) - margin 2,723 / 6.34% - total 42,916.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. H. Clay Van Voorhis** | R | - | 22,560 | 52.57% |
| | 2. James B. Tannehill | D | - | 19,837 | 46.22% |
| | 3. T. H. Padan | OTH | - | 354 | 0.83% |
| | 4. Illion E. Moore | OTH | - | 165 | 0.38% |

## District 16 - 1896

WINNER: Lorenzo Danford (R) - 21,690 votes (53.79%) - margin 3,055 / 7.58% - total 40,325.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lorenzo Danford** | R | - | 21,690 | 53.79% |
| | 2. Henry H. McFadden | D | - | 18,635 | 46.21% |

## District 17 - 1896

WINNER: John A. McDowell (D) - 26,109 votes (54.65%) - margin 4,940 / 10.34% - total 47,773.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John A. McDowell** | D | - | 26,109 | 54.65% |
| | 2. Addison S. McClure | R | - | 21,169 | 44.31% |
| | 3. I. N. Kieffer | OTH | - | 357 | 0.75% |
| | 4. Homer E. Cole | OTH | - | 104 | 0.22% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 34 | 0.07% |

## District 18 - 1896

WINNER: Robert W. Tayler (R) - 29,814 votes (54.15%) - margin 5,044 / 9.16% - total 55,061.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert W. Tayler** | R | - | 29,814 | 54.15% |
| | 2. Isaac R. Sherwood | D | - | 24,770 | 44.99% |
| | 3. James L. Swan | OTH | - | 476 | 0.86% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 19 - 1896

WINNER: Stephen A. Northway (R) - 31,789 votes (60.29%) - margin 11,163 / 21.17% - total 52,728.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stephen A. Northway** | R | - | 31,789 | 60.29% |
| | 2. William T. Sawyer | D | - | 20,626 | 39.12% |
| | 3. Solon C. Thayer | OTH | - | 308 | 0.58% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.01% |

## District 20 - 1896

WINNER: Clifton B. Beach (R) - 24,531 votes (52.78%) - margin 3,147 / 6.77% - total 46,481.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Clifton B. Beach** | R | - | 24,531 | 52.78% |
| | 2. A. T. Vantassel | D | - | 21,384 | 46.01% |
| | 3. J. J. Harrison | OTH | - | 253 | 0.54% |
| | 4. Paul Dinger | OTH | - | 237 | 0.51% |
| | 5. William H. Watkins | OTH | - | 76 | 0.16% |

### District 21 - 1896

WINNER: Theodore E. Burton (R) - 25,527 votes (55.25%) - margin 5,502 / 11.91% - total 46,207.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Theodore E. Burton** | R | - | 25,527 | 55.25% |
| | 2. L. A. Russell | D | - | 20,025 | 43.34% |
| | 3. Louis B. Tuckerman | OTH | - | 226 | 0.49% |
| | 4. Walter Gillet | OTH | - | 203 | 0.44% |
| | 5. E. Jay Pinney | OTH | - | 177 | 0.38% |
| | 6. Thomas P. McDonough | OTH | - | 49 | 0.11% |

## Oregon

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas H. Tongue (R) - 19,355 votes (40.39%) - margin 63 / 0.13% - total 47,917.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas H. Tongue** | R | - | 19,355 | 40.39% |
| | 2. W. S. Vanderburg | OTH | - | 19,292 | 40.26% |
| | 3. Jefferson Myers | D | - | 7,914 | 16.52% |
| | 4. N. C. Christenson | OTH | - | 1,356 | 2.83% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: William R. Ellis (R) - 12,617 votes (30.38%) - margin 378 / 0.91% - total 41,537.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William R. Ellis** | R | - | 12,617 | 30.38% |
| | 2. Martin Quinn | OTH | - | 12,239 | 29.47% |
| | 3. H. H. Northup | OTH | - | 8,807 | 21.20% |
| | 4. A. S. Bennett | D | - | 7,099 | 17.09% |
| | 5. F. McKercher | OTH | - | 775 | 1.87% |

## Pennsylvania

### At-large seat 1 - 1896

WINNER: Galusha A. Grow (R) - margin - / - - total 2,314,680.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Republican Party | R | - | 1,420,363 | 61.35% |
| | 2. Democratic Party | D | - | 832,404 | 35.95% |
| | 3. Prohibition Party | OTH | - | 36,859 | 1.58% |
| | 4. Jeffersonian | OTH | - | 14,876 | 0.63% |
| | 5. People's | OTH | - | 7,866 | 0.32% |
| | 6. William J. Breene | OTH | - | 389 | 0.00% |
| | 7. C. W. Langley | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 8. James A. Noll | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 9. Jas K. P. Hall | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 10. George W. Huff | OTH | - | 384 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Sam Bowen | OTH | - | 384 | 0.00% |
| W | **12. Galusha A. Grow** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. Pennsylvania elected two at-large representatives on one multi-member ballot. The shared party-vote aggregate is counted once in the popular-vote summary; this row identifies one of the two Republican winners.

### At-large seat 2 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel A. Davenport (R) - margin - / - - total 2,314,680.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Republican Party | R | - | 1,420,363 | 61.35% |
| | 2. Democratic Party | D | - | 832,404 | 35.95% |
| | 3. Prohibition Party | OTH | - | 36,859 | 1.58% |
| | 4. Jeffersonian | OTH | - | 14,876 | 0.63% |
| | 5. People's | OTH | - | 7,866 | 0.32% |
| | 6. William J. Breene | OTH | - | 389 | 0.00% |
| | 7. C. W. Langley | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 8. James A. Noll | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 9. Jas K. P. Hall | OTH | - | 385 | 0.00% |
| | 10. George W. Huff | OTH | - | 384 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Sam Bowen | OTH | - | 384 | 0.00% |
| W | **12. Samuel A. Davenport** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. Pennsylvania elected two at-large representatives on one multi-member ballot. The shared party-vote aggregate is counted once in the popular-vote summary; this row identifies one of the two Republican winners.

## District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Henry H. Bingham (R) - 32,466 votes (69.70%) - margin 18,504 / 39.73% - total 46,578.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry H. Bingham** | R | - | 32,466 | 69.70% |
| | 2. Horace E. James | D | - | 13,962 | 29.98% |
| | 3. J. Lewis Jenkins | OTH | - | 150 | 0.32% |

## District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Robert Adams Jr. (R) - 22,205 votes (78.04%) - margin 16,105 / 56.60% - total 28,453.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert Adams Jr.** | R | - | 22,205 | 78.04% |
| | 2. Fenton P. F. Mullins | D | - | 6,100 | 21.44% |
| | 3. Edward B. Cooper | OTH | - | 148 | 0.52% |

## District 03 - 1896

WINNER: William McAleer (D) - 12,391 votes (49.67%) - margin 2,098 / 8.94% - total 23,467.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McAleer** | D | - | 12,391 | 49.67% |
| | 2. Frederick Halterman | R | - | 10,293 | 40.72% |
| | 3. Charles Roads | OTH | - | 783 | 0.20% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: James R. Young (R) - 59,147 votes (77.59%) - margin 42,611 / 55.90% - total 76,226.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James R. Young** | R | - | 59,147 | 77.59% |
| | 2. Mark D. Cunningham | D | - | 16,536 | 21.69% |
| | 3. Alben T. Eavenson | OTH | - | 543 | 0.71% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Alfred C. Harmer (R) - 48,021 votes (76.14%) - margin 33,470 / 53.15% - total 62,976.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alfred C. Harmer** | R | - | 48,021 | 76.14% |
| | 2. Frank D. Wright | D | - | 14,551 | 23.00% |
| | 3. Samuel Christian | OTH | - | 404 | 0.54% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas S. Butler (OTH) - 15,016 votes (39.42%) - margin - / - - total 38,095.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas S. Butler** | OTH | - | 15,016 | 39.42% |
| | 2. John B. Robinson | R | - | 13,369 | 35.09% |
| | 3. William H. Berry | D | - | 9,288 | 24.38% |
| | 4. Jesse G. Yeager | OTH | - | 422 | 1.11% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Irving P. Wanger (R) - 26,725 votes (60.74%) - margin 9,985 / 22.69% - total 43,999.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Irving P. Wanger** | R | - | 26,725 | 60.74% |
| | 2. Charles S. Vandegrift | D | - | 16,740 | 38.05% |
| | 3. B. G. Parker | OTH | - | 531 | 1.21% |
| | 4. Elmer Minster | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. Samuel S. Smith | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. T. H. Hendricks | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: William S. Kirkpatrick (R) - 17,072 votes (50.49%) - margin 329 / 0.97% - total 33,815.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Kirkpatrick** | R | - | 17,072 | 50.49% |
| | 2. Laird H. Barber | D | - | 16,743 | 49.51% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Daniel Ermentrout (D) - 26,123 votes (51.11%) - margin 3,101 / 6.07% - total 51,113.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Daniel Ermentrout** | D | - | 26,123 | 51.11% |
| | 2. Oliver Williams | R | - | 23,022 | 45.04% |
| | 3. George H. Heffner | OTH | - | 1,032 | 2.02% |
| | 4. Thomas Polk Merrit | OTH | - | 528 | 1.03% |
| | 5. Isaac P. Merkel | OTH | - | 408 | 0.80% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Marriott Brosius (R) - 24,122 votes (73.32%) - margin 15,870 / 48.24% - total 32,899.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marriott Brosius** | R | - | 24,122 | 73.32% |
| | 2. Edward D. Reilly | D | - | 8,252 | 25.08% |
| | 3. W. D. Snyder | OTH | - | 525 | 1.60% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: William Connell (R) - 18,598 votes (61.59%) - margin 7,857 / 26.02% - total 30,199.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Connell** | R | - | 18,598 | 61.59% |
| | 2. Edward Merrifield | D | - | 10,741 | 35.57% |
| | 3. Harry J. Hockenbury | OTH | - | 806 | 2.67% |
| | 4. John Szlupas | OTH | - | 54 | 0.18% |

## District 12 - 1896

WINNER: Morgan B. Williams (R) - 20,920 votes (52.42%) - margin 2,944 / 7.38% - total 39,909.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Morgan B. Williams** | R | - | 20,920 | 52.42% |
| | 2. John M. Garman | D | - | 17,976 | 45.04% |
| | 3. William R. Netherton | OTH | - | 779 | 1.95% |
| | 4. D. O. Coughlin | OTH | - | 234 | 0.59% |

## District 13 - 1896

WINNER: Charles N. Brumm (R) - 16,613 votes (52.97%) - margin 2,101 / 6.70% - total 31,364.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles N. Brumm** | R | - | 16,613 | 52.97% |
| | 2. Watson F. Shepherd | D | - | 14,512 | 46.27% |
| | 3. S. G. M. Hollopeter | OTH | - | 239 | 0.76% |

## District 14 - 1896

WINNER: Marlin E. Olmsted (R) - 25,014 votes (87.62%) - margin 23,066 / 80.80% - total 28,547.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marlin E. Olmsted** | R | - | 25,014 | 87.62% |
| | 2. Abraham Mattis | OTH | - | 1,948 | 6.82% |
| | 3. Benjamin H. Engle | OTH | - | 1,101 | 3.86% |
| | 4. J. F. Klugh | D | - | 462 | 1.62% |
| | 5. E. M. Woomer | OTH | - | 10 | 0.04% |
| | 6. Jno K. Royal | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 7. C. S. Shank | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. E. E. Haner | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. George Ehrhorn | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. H. B. Ulsh | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 11. H. C. Snavely | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 12. Henry Houck | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 13. M. Holler | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 14. Nat Adams | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 15. R. E. Spayd | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 16. William M. Derr | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 15 - 1896

WINNER: James H. Codding (R) - 20,210 votes (61.61%) - margin 8,766 / 26.72% - total 32,804.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James H. Codding** | R | - | 20,210 | 61.61% |
| | 2. Chas Percival Shaw | D | - | 11,444 | 34.89% |
| | 3. Charles H. Dana | OTH | - | 1,150 | 3.51% |

## District 16 - 1896

WINNER: Horace B. Packer (R) - 21,543 votes (56.18%) - margin 6,391 / 16.66% - total 38,350.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Horace B. Packer** | R | - | 21,543 | 56.18% |
| | 2. Luther B. Seibert | D | - | 15,152 | 39.51% |
| | 3. Clevan Dinges | OTH | - | 1,654 | 4.31% |
| | 4. Elymus Hackett | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 17 - 1896

WINNER: Monroe H. Kulp (R) - 15,195 votes (50.11%) - margin 1,122 / 3.70% - total 30,320.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Monroe H. Kulp** | R | - | 15,195 | 50.11% |
| | 2. Alphonsus Walsh | D | - | 14,073 | 46.42% |
| | 3. Martin P. Lutz | OTH | - | 1,052 | 3.47% |

## District 18 - 1896

WINNER: Thaddeus M. Mahon (R) - 22,455 votes (61.22%) - margin 8,233 / 22.45% - total 36,677.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thaddeus M. Mahon** | R | - | 22,455 | 61.22% |
| | 2. Willis F. Kearns | D | - | 14,222 | 38.78% |

## District 19 - 1896

WINNER: George J. Benner (D) - 22,160 votes (49.72%) - margin 777 / 1.74% - total 44,572.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George J. Benner** | D | - | 22,160 | 49.72% |
| | 2. Frank E. Hollar | R | - | 21,383 | 47.97% |
| | 3. William H. Albright | OTH | - | 530 | 1.19% |
| | 4. Chas A. Hawkins | OTH | - | 499 | 1.12% |

## District 20 - 1896

WINNER: Josiah D. Hicks (R) - 21,841 votes (43.78%) - margin - / - - total 45,624.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Josiah D. Hicks** | R | - | 21,841 | 43.78% |
| | 2. Robert C. McNamara | D | - | 19,164 | 37.91% |
| | 3. John W. Bracken | OTH | - | 2,648 | 1.71% |
| | 4. Clement Pietsch | OTH | - | 1,971 | 0.23% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 21 - 1896

WINNER: Edward E. Robbins (R) - 32,149 votes (59.93%) - margin 12,685 / 23.65% - total 53,644.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward E. Robbins** | R | - | 32,149 | 59.93% |
| | 2. Samuel S. Blyholder | D | - | 19,464 | 36.28% |
| | 3. John B. Bair | OTH | - | 1,063 | 1.98% |
| | 4. Saint Clair Thompson | OTH | - | 968 | 1.80% |

## District 22 - 1896

WINNER: John Dalzell (R) - 28,860 votes (69.02%) - margin 16,072 / 38.44% - total 41,814.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Dalzell** | R | - | 28,860 | 69.02% |
| | 2. John F. Miller | D | - | 12,788 | 30.58% |
| | 3. Edwin Z. Smith | OTH | - | 166 | 0.40% |

### District 23 - 1896

WINNER: William A. Stone (R) - 21,379 votes (77.16%) - margin 15,188 / 54.81% - total 27,709.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Stone** | R | - | 21,379 | 77.16% |
| | 2. Morrison Foster | D | - | 6,191 | 22.34% |
| | 3. Judson J. Brooks | OTH | - | 139 | 0.50% |

### District 24 - 1896

WINNER: Ernest F. Acheson (R) - 36,554 votes (57.12%) - margin 10,016 / 15.65% - total 63,996.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ernest F. Acheson** | R | - | 36,554 | 57.12% |
| | 2. John Purman | D | - | 26,538 | 41.47% |
| | 3. Butler C. McGrew | OTH | - | 903 | 1.41% |
| | 4. H. F. Byron | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 25 - 1896

WINNER: James J. Davidson (R) - 26,529 votes (59.47%) - margin 9,479 / 21.25% - total 44,613.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James J. Davidson** | R | - | 26,529 | 59.47% |
| | 2. John G. McConahy | D | - | 17,050 | 38.22% |
| | 3. Ralph P. Allen | OTH | - | 1,034 | 2.32% |

### District 26 - 1896

WINNER: John C. Sturtevant (R) - 18,840 votes (50.40%) - margin 726 / 1.94% - total 37,385.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John C. Sturtevant** | R | - | 18,840 | 50.40% |
| | 2. Joseph C. Sibley | D | - | 18,114 | 48.45% |
| | 3. Benjamin Mason | OTH | - | 431 | 1.15% |

### District 27 - 1896

WINNER: Charles W. Stone (R) - 15,777 votes (58.29%) - margin 5,617 / 20.75% - total 27,068.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles W. Stone** | R | - | 15,777 | 58.29% |
| | 2. William J. Breene | D | - | 10,160 | 37.54% |
| | 3. John E. Gill | OTH | - | 1,131 | 4.18% |

### District 28 - 1896

WINNER: William C. Arnold (R) - 19,296 votes (50.22%) - margin 1,206 / 3.14% - total 38,422.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Arnold** | R | - | 19,296 | 50.22% |
| | 2. Jackson L. Spangler | D | - | 18,090 | 47.08% |
| | 3. James T. Brennan | OTH | - | 1,036 | 2.69% |

## Rhode Island

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Melville Bull (R) - 17,378 votes (63.73%) - margin 8,836 / 32.40% - total 27,268.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Melville Bull** | R | - | 17,378 | 63.73% |
| | 2. Brown | D | - | 8,542 | 31.33% |
| | 3. Williams | OTH | - | 684 | 2.51% |
| | 4. Ballard | OTH | - | 664 | 2.44% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Adin B. Capron (R) - 16,612 votes (63.50%) - margin 8,524 / 32.58% - total 26,161.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Adin B. Capron** | R | - | 16,612 | 63.50% |
| | 2. Garvin | D | - | 8,088 | 30.92% |
| | 3. Metcalf | OTH | - | 1,207 | 4.61% |
| | 4. Jefferson | OTH | - | 254 | 0.97% |

## South Carolina

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: William Elliott (D) - 4,648 votes (63.68%) - margin 2,170 / 29.73% - total 7,299.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Elliott** | D | - | 4,648 | 63.68% |
| | 2. G. W. Murray | R | - | 2,478 | 33.95% |
| | 3. W. C. Cohen | R | - | 173 | 2.37% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: W. Jasper Talbert (D) - 7,999 votes (92.42%) - margin 7,364 / 85.08% - total 8,655.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. W. Jasper Talbert** | D | - | 7,999 | 92.42% |
| | 2. B. P. Chatfield | R | - | 635 | 7.34% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 21 | 0.24% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Asbury Latimer (D) - 9,746 votes (91.97%) - margin 9,087 / 85.75% - total 10,597.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Asbury Latimer** | D | - | 9,746 | 91.97% |
| | 2. Anson C. Merrick | R | - | 659 | 6.22% |
| | 3. Clarence Gray | R | - | 192 | 1.81% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Stanyarne Wilson (D) - 11,230 votes (92.20%) - margin 10,723 / 88.04% - total 12,180.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stanyarne Wilson** | D | - | 11,230 | 92.20% |
| | 2. P. S. Suber | R | - | 507 | 4.16% |
| | 3. D. F. Bounds | R | - | 443 | 3.64% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas J. Strait (D) - 8,511 votes (91.04%) - margin 7,673 / 82.07% - total 9,349.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas J. Strait** | D | - | 8,511 | 91.04% |
| | 2. John F. Jones | R | - | 838 | 8.96% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: John L. McLaurin (D) - 9,731 votes (87.74%) - margin 8,853 / 79.82% - total 11,091.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John L. McLaurin** | D | - | 9,731 | 87.74% |
| | 2. J. E. Wilson | R | - | 878 | 7.92% |
| | 3. George Henry McKee | R | - | 482 | 4.35% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: J. William Stokes (D) - 8,065 votes (85.53%) - margin 6,723 / 71.30% - total 9,429.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. J. William Stokes** | D | - | 8,065 | 85.53% |
| | 2. T. B. Johnson | R | - | 1,342 | 14.23% |
| | 3. D. A. Perrin | OTH | - | 22 | 0.23% |

## South Dakota

### At-large seat 1 - 1896

WINNER: John E. Kelley (OTH) - 41,122 votes (24.88%) - margin - / - - total 165,262.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Robert Jackson Gamble | R | - | 40,943 | 24.77% |
| | 2. Freeman Knowles | OTH | - | 41,216 | 24.94% |
| W | **3. John E. Kelley** | OTH | - | 41,122 | 24.88% |
| | 4. Coe I. Crawford | R | - | 40,575 | 24.55% |
| | 5. K. Lewis | OTH | - | 723 | 0.44% |
| | 6. M. H. Alexander | OTH | - | 683 | 0.41% |

NOTE. South Dakota elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

### At-large seat 2 - 1896

WINNER: Freeman Knowles (OTH) - 41,216 votes (24.94%) - margin - / - - total 165,262.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Coe I. Crawford | R | - | 40,575 | 24.55% |
| W | **2. Freeman Knowles** | OTH | - | 41,216 | 24.94% |
| | 3. John F. Kelley | OTH | - | 41,122 | 24.88% |
| | 4. Robert Jackson Gamble | R | - | 40,943 | 24.77% |
| | 5. K. Lewis | OTH | - | 723 | 0.44% |
| | 6. M. H. Alexander | OTH | - | 683 | 0.41% |

NOTE. South Dakota elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

## Tennessee

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Walter P. Brownlow (R) - 25,075 votes (62.36%) - margin 11,119 / 27.65% - total 40,213.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter P. Brownlow** | R | - | 25,075 | 62.36% |
| | 2. L. L. Lawrence | D | - | 13,956 | 34.71% |
| | 3. W. C. Nelson | R | - | 950 | 2.36% |
| | 4. R. S. Shevers | OTH | - | 232 | 0.58% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Henry R. Gibson (R) - 28,112 votes (74.33%) - margin 18,664 / 49.35% - total 37,823.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry R. Gibson** | R | - | 28,112 | 74.33% |
| | 2. W. L. Ledgerwood | D | - | 9,448 | 24.98% |
| | 3. W. C. Murphy | OTH | - | 234 | 0.62% |
| | 4. Oliver | OTH | - | 29 | 0.08% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: John A. Moon (D) - margin - / - - total 37,574.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. John A. Moan | D | - | 19,498 | 51.89% |
| | 2. W. J. Clift | R | - | 17,716 | 47.15% |
| | 3. J. M. Hopkins | OTH | - | 227 | 0.60% |
| | 4. W. J. Farris | OTH | - | 133 | 0.35% |
| W | **5. John A. Moon** | D | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Benton McMillin (D) - 18,070 votes (59.56%) - margin 5,801 / 19.12% - total 30,339.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benton McMillin** | D | - | 18,070 | 59.56% |
| | 2. C. H. Whitney | R | - | 12,269 | 40.44% |

### District 05 - 1896

WINNER: James D. Richardson (D) - 16,089 votes (58.56%) - margin 7,089 / 25.80% - total 27,475.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James D. Richardson** | D | - | 16,089 | 58.56% |
| | 2. Syd Houston | R | - | 9,000 | 32.76% |
| | 3. W. W. Erwin | OTH | - | 2,386 | 8.68% |

### District 06 - 1896

WINNER: John W. Gaines (D) - 17,646 votes (57.48%) - margin 5,511 / 17.95% - total 30,701.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Gaines** | D | - | 17,646 | 57.48% |
| | 2. J. C. McReynold | R | - | 12,135 | 39.53% |
| | 3. G. A. Gawan | OTH | - | 825 | 2.69% |
| | 4. Brooks | OTH | - | 95 | 0.31% |

### District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Nicholas N. Cox (D) - 15,434 votes (55.17%) - margin 4,690 / 16.76% - total 27,975.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nicholas N. Cox** | D | - | 15,434 | 55.17% |
| | 2. A. M. Jr. Hughes | R | - | 10,744 | 38.41% |
| | 3. J. K. P. Blackburn | OTH | - | 1,796 | 6.42% |
| | 4. R. S. Cheers | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Thetus W. Sims (D) - 16,568 votes (53.44%) - margin 3,349 / 10.80% - total 31,003.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thetus W. Sims** | D | - | 16,568 | 53.44% |
| | 2. J. E. McCall | R | - | 13,219 | 42.64% |
| | 3. J. S. Leach | OTH | - | 1,128 | 3.64% |
| | 4. W. L. Nowell | OTH | - | 82 | 0.26% |
| | 5. James Herry | OTH | - | 6 | 0.02% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Rice A. Pierce (D) - 19,138 votes (64.11%) - margin 8,424 / 28.22% - total 29,852.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rice A. Pierce** | D | - | 19,138 | 64.11% |
| | 2. J. H. McDowell | OTH | - | 10,714 | 35.89% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Edward W. Carmack (D) - 10,924 votes (48.76%) - margin 368 / 1.64% - total 22,406.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward W. Carmack** | D | - | 10,924 | 48.76% |
| | 2. Josiah Patterson | D | - | 10,556 | 47.11% |
| | 3. G. B. West | OTH | - | 926 | 4.13% |

## Texas

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Thomas H. Ball (D) - 19,161 votes (55.53%) - margin 3,972 / 11.51% - total 34,509.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas H. Ball** | D | - | 19,161 | 55.53% |
| | 2. Joe Eagle | OTH | - | 15,189 | 44.02% |
| | 3. A. C. Tompkins | R | - | 153 | 0.44% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.02% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel B. Cooper (D) - 25,158 votes (56.95%) - margin 12,336 / 27.93% - total 44,174.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel B. Cooper** | D | - | 25,158 | 56.95% |
| | 2. B. A. Calhoun | OTH | - | 12,822 | 29.03% |
| | 3. J. M. Claiborne | R | - | 6,188 | 14.01% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.01% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Reese C. De Graffenreid (D) - 21,208 votes (56.46%) - margin 4,857 / 12.93% - total 37,561.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Reese C. De Graffenreid** | D | - | 21,208 | 56.46% |
| | 2. W. E. Farmer | OTH | - | 16,351 | 43.53% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: John W. Cranford (D) - 20,187 votes (54.03%) - margin 6,484 / 17.35% - total 37,361.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Cranford** | D | - | 20,187 | 54.03% |
| | 2. J. H. Davis | OTH | - | 13,703 | 36.68% |
| | 3. M. W. Johnson | R | - | 3,468 | 9.28% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph W. Bailey (D) - 28,416 votes (61.23%) - margin 15,174 / 32.70% - total 46,405.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph W. Bailey** | D | - | 28,416 | 61.23% |
| | 2. W. D. Gordon | R | - | 13,242 | 28.54% |
| | 3. R. C. Foster | OTH | - | 4,747 | 10.23% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Robert E. Burke (D) - 33,144 votes (56.78%) - margin 7,914 / 13.56% - total 58,375.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert E. Burke** | D | - | 33,144 | 56.78% |
| | 2. Barnett Gibbs | OTH | - | 25,230 | 43.22% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Robert L. Henry (D) - 26,151 votes (55.15%) - margin 14,519 / 30.62% - total 47,417.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert L. Henry** | D | - | 26,151 | 55.15% |
| | 2. T. A. Pope | R | - | 11,632 | 24.53% |
| | 3. W. F. Douthitt | OTH | - | 9,634 | 20.32% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: S. W. T. Lanham (D) - 20,935 votes (53.41%) - margin 3,425 / 8.74% - total 39,197.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. S. W. T. Lanham** | D | - | 20,935 | 53.41% |
| | 2. C. H. Jenkins | OTH | - | 17,510 | 44.67% |
| | 3. J. P. Smith | OTH | - | 747 | 1.91% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.01% |

## District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph D. Sayers (D) - 20,381 votes (51.44%) - margin 8,886 / 22.43% - total 39,619.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph D. Sayers** | D | - | 20,381 | 51.44% |
| | 2. W. K. Makemson | R | - | 11,495 | 29.01% |
| | 3. Reddin Andrews | OTH | - | 6,787 | 17.13% |
| | 4. J. T. Harris | R | - | 955 | 2.41% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Robert B. Hawley (R) - 17,936 votes (45.73%) - margin 2,179 / 5.56% - total 39,225.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert B. Hawley** | R | - | 17,936 | 45.73% |
| | 2. J. H. Shelburne | D | - | 15,757 | 40.17% |
| | 3. Noah Allen | OTH | - | 5,476 | 13.96% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 56 | 0.14% |

## District 11 - 1896

WINNER: Rudolph Kleberg (D) - 19,059 votes (45.60%) - margin 610 / 1.46% - total 41,792.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rudolph Kleberg** | D | - | 19,059 | 45.60% |
| | 2. H. Gras | R | - | 18,449 | 44.15% |
| | 3. J. M. Smith | OTH | - | 4,074 | 9.75% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 210 | 0.50% |

## District 12 - 1896

WINNER: James Luther Slayden (D) - 14,744 votes (46.03%) - margin 1,186 / 3.70% - total 32,034.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Luther Slayden** | D | - | 14,744 | 46.03% |
| | 2. G. H. Noonan | R | - | 13,558 | 42.32% |
| | 3. Taylor McRae | OTH | - | 3,730 | 11.64% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 13 - 1896

WINNER: John H. Stephens (D) - 22,988 votes (61.04%) - margin 8,769 / 23.28% - total 37,660.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Stephens** | D | - | 22,988 | 61.04% |
| | 2. H. L. Bentley | R | - | 14,219 | 37.76% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 453 | 1.20% |

## Utah

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: William H. King (D) - 47,456 votes (61.20%) - margin 19,643 / 25.33% - total 77,548.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. King** | D | - | 47,456 | 61.20% |
| | 2. Holbrook | R | - | 27,813 | 35.87% |
| | 3. Foster | OTH | - | 2,279 | 2.94% |

## Virginia

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: William A. Jones (D) - 15,525 votes (58.41%) - margin 4,773 / 17.96% - total 26,577.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Jones** | D | - | 15,525 | 58.41% |
| | 2. Walter B. Tyler | R | - | 10,752 | 40.46% |
| | 3. Edward J. Winder | OTH | - | 216 | 0.81% |
| | 4. Shazier Samuel D | OTH | - | 82 | 0.31% |
| | 5. A. B. Chandler | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. Hogue | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Richard A. Wise (R) - 13,390 votes (42.78%) - margin - / - - total 31,298.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. William Albin Young | D | - | 15,789 | 50.45% |
| W | **2. Richard A. Wise** | R | - | 13,390 | 42.78% |
| | 3. W. M. Whaley | D | - | 1,895 | 6.06% |
| | 4. A. B. Griffin | OTH | - | 224 | 0.72% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: John Lamb (D) - 16,634 votes (55.55%) - margin 3,918 / 13.08% - total 29,947.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Lamb** | D | - | 16,634 | 55.55% |
| | 2. L. L. Lewis | R | - | 12,716 | 42.46% |
| | 3. Elisha L. Lewis | R | - | 516 | 1.72% |
| | 4. James O. Alwood | OTH | - | 81 | 0.27% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Robert Taylor Thorp (R) - margin - / - - total 23,683.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Sydney Parham Epes | D | - | 12,894 | 54.44% |
| | 2. Robert T. Thorp | R | - | 10,273 | 43.38% |
| | 3. J. L. Thorp | R | - | 491 | 2.07% |
| | 4. Alexander Hamilton | OTH | - | 5 | 0.02% |
| | 5. * C. Venable | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 6. R. M. Sully | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 7. * Arvin | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. A. B. Wood | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. C. Moutville | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. Charles Bland | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Custer R. Phalop | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 12. E. A. Peebles | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 13. F. D. Thailman | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 14. G. A. Minetree | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 15. George Mason | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 16. J. C. McDonald | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 17. J. M. Burke | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 18. John Bailey | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 19. M. Jones | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 20. R. A. Efes | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 21. R. V. Gill | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 22. S. P. Mitchell | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| W | **23. Robert Taylor Thorp** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Claude A. Swanson (D) - 14,333 votes (50.98%) - margin 551 / 1.96% - total 28,115.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Claude A. Swanson** | D | - | 14,333 | 50.98% |
| | 2. John R. Brown | R | - | 13,782 | 49.02% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: Peter J. Otey (D) - 17,187 votes (57.00%) - margin 5,505 / 18.26% - total 30,154.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Peter J. Otey** | D | - | 17,187 | 57.00% |
| | 2. Duval Radford | D | - | 11,682 | 38.74% |
| | 3. John Hampton Hoge | R | - | 832 | 2.76% |
| | 4. James Johnston | OTH | - | 453 | 1.50% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: James Hay (D) - 17,447 votes (55.81%) - margin 4,197 / 13.43% - total 31,261.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Hay** | D | - | 17,447 | 55.81% |
| | 2. Robert J. Walker | R | - | 13,250 | 42.39% |
| | 3. J. Samuel Harrisberger | D | - | 358 | 1.15% |
| | 4. John F. Forsyth | OTH | - | 195 | 0.62% |
| | 5. J. F. Torseth | OTH | - | 10 | 0.03% |
| | 6. Samuel Hausberger | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: John Franklin Rixey (D) - 17,030 votes (56.10%) - margin 3,916 / 12.90% - total 30,357.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Franklin Rixey** | D | - | 17,030 | 56.10% |
| | 2. Patrick H. McCaull | R | - | 13,114 | 43.20% |
| | 3. Joseph H. Pancoast | OTH | - | 140 | 0.46% |
| | 4. W. C. C. Coleman | OTH | - | 47 | 0.16% |
| | 5. James Seldon Cowdon | OTH | - | 24 | 0.08% |
| | 6. C. N. Lee | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 7. T. N. Blackford | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: James A. Walker (R) - 20,024 votes (52.74%) - margin 2,080 / 5.48% - total 37,968.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Walker** | R | - | 20,024 | 52.74% |
| | 2. Samuel Walker Williams | D | - | 17,944 | 47.26% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: Jacob Yost (R) - 16,195 votes (50.06%) - margin 148 / 0.46% - total 32,352.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jacob Yost** | R | - | 16,195 | 50.06% |
| | 2. H. D. Flood | D | - | 16,047 | 49.60% |
| | 3. C. F. Worrack | OTH | - | 89 | 0.28% |
| | 4. C. P. Wanack | OTH | - | 12 | 0.04% |
| | 5. H. Saintgeorge Tucker | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |
| | 6. W. Worrack | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |
| | 7. J. J. Benngardner | OTH | - | 2 | 0.00% |
| | 8. M. W. Paxton | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Vermont

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: H. Henry Powers (R) - 26,145 votes (76.41%) - margin 18,452 / 53.92% - total 34,218.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. H. Henry Powers** | R | - | 26,145 | 76.41% |
| | 2. Peter F. McManus | D | - | 7,693 | 22.48% |
| | 3. Andrew L. Bowen | OTH | - | 363 | 1.06% |
| | 4. Henry Powell | OTH | - | 12 | 0.04% |
| | 5. Write-ins | OTH | - | 5 | 0.02% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: William W. Grout (R) - 26,319 votes (80.42%) - margin 20,127 / 61.50% - total 32,727.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William W. Grout** | R | - | 26,319 | 80.42% |
| | 2. Henry E. Fitzgerald | D | - | 6,192 | 18.92% |
| | 3. Thomas J. Aldrich | OTH | - | 209 | 0.64% |
| | 4. Write-ins | OTH | - | 7 | 0.02% |

## Washington

### At-large seat 1 - 1896

WINNER: J. Hamilton Lewis (D) - 51,554 votes (28.50%) - margin - / - - total 180,899.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. J. Hamilton Lewis** | D | - | 51,554 | 28.50% |
| | 2. William Carey Jones | OTH | - | 51,158 | 28.28% |
| | 3. W. H. Doolittle | R | - | 38,196 | 21.11% |
| | 4. S. C. Hyde | R | - | 37,939 | 20.97% |
| | 5. C. A. Salyer | OTH | - | 1,011 | 0.56% |
| | 6. Martin Olsen | OTH | - | 887 | 0.49% |
| | 7. C. E. Mix | OTH | - | 154 | 0.09% |

NOTE. Washington elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

### At-large seat 2 - 1896

WINNER: William C. Jones (OTH) - 51,158 votes (28.28%) - margin - / - - total 180,899.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Jones** | OTH | - | 51,158 | 28.28% |
| | 2. James Hamilton Lewis | OTH | - | 51,554 | 28.50% |
| | 3. W. H. Doolittle | R | - | 38,196 | 21.11% |
| | 4. S. C. Hyde | R | - | 37,939 | 20.97% |
| | 5. C. A. Salyer | OTH | - | 1,011 | 0.56% |
| | 6. Martin Olsen | OTH | - | 887 | 0.49% |
| | 7. C. E. Mix | OTH | - | 154 | 0.09% |

NOTE. Washington elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

# Wisconsin

## District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Henry Allen Cooper (R) - 28,235 votes (64.11%) - margin 13,512 / 30.68% - total 44,042.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry Allen Cooper** | R | - | 28,235 | 64.11% |
| | 2. Jeremiah L. Mahoney | D | - | 14,723 | 33.43% |
| | 3. George W. White | OTH | - | 1,084 | 2.46% |

## District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Edward Sauerhering (R) - 24,011 votes (56.47%) - margin 6,531 / 15.36% - total 42,516.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward Sauerhering** | R | - | 24,011 | 56.47% |
| | 2. William H. Rogers | D | - | 17,480 | 41.11% |
| | 3. Jesse Meyers | OTH | - | 1,025 | 2.41% |

## District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Joseph W. Babcock (R) - 26,691 votes (63.76%) - margin 11,523 / 27.53% - total 41,859.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph W. Babcock** | R | - | 26,691 | 63.76% |
| | 2. Alfred J. Davis | D | - | 15,168 | 36.24% |

## District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Theobald Otjen (R) - 25,896 votes (54.22%) - margin 4,467 / 9.35% - total 47,758.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Theobald Otjen** | R | - | 25,896 | 54.22% |
| | 2. Robert Schilling | D | - | 21,429 | 44.87% |
| | 3. Robert May | OTH | - | 433 | 0.91% |

## District 05 - 1896

WINNER: Samuel S. Barney (R) - 26,613 votes (60.95%) - margin 10,121 / 23.18% - total 43,662.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel S. Barney** | R | - | 26,613 | 60.95% |
| | 2. George W. Winans | D | - | 16,492 | 37.77% |
| | 3. Henry Mensing | OTH | - | 557 | 1.28% |

## District 06 - 1896

WINNER: James H. Davidson (R) - 26,649 votes (57.66%) - margin 7,705 / 16.67% - total 46,220.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James H. Davidson** | R | - | 26,649 | 57.66% |
| | 2. William F. Gruenewald | D | - | 18,944 | 40.99% |
| | 3. James E. Thompson | OTH | - | 626 | 1.35% |
| | 4. F. F. Mayhan | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 07 - 1896

WINNER: Michael Griffin (R) - 24,073 votes (65.80%) - margin 12,355 / 33.77% - total 36,583.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Michael Griffin** | R | - | 24,073 | 65.80% |
| | 2. Caleb M. Hilliard | D | - | 11,718 | 32.03% |
| | 3. James H. Moseley | OTH | - | 791 | 2.16% |
| | 4. J. D. Eldridge | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1896

WINNER: Edward S. Minor (R) - 26,471 votes (60.30%) - margin 9,626 / 21.93% - total 43,900.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward S. Minor** | R | - | 26,471 | 60.30% |
| | 2. George W. Cate | D | - | 16,845 | 38.37% |
| | 3. John W. Evans | OTH | - | 580 | 1.32% |
| | 4. Nelson H. Kendall | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

### District 09 - 1896

WINNER: Alexander Stewart (R) - 30,438 votes (63.22%) - margin 12,733 / 26.45% - total 48,143.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alexander Stewart** | R | - | 30,438 | 63.22% |
| | 2. William W. Okeefe | D | - | 17,705 | 36.78% |

### District 10 - 1896

WINNER: John J. Jenkins (R) - 28,149 votes (65.47%) - margin 13,326 / 30.99% - total 42,998.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Jenkins** | R | - | 28,149 | 65.47% |
| | 2. Frederick H. Remington | D | - | 14,823 | 34.47% |
| | 3. Peter A. Oscar | OTH | - | 21 | 0.05% |
| | 4. William Johnson | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |
| | 5. Andrew Julian | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. M. M. Sanderson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## West Virginia

### District 01 - 1896

WINNER: Blackburn B. Dovener (R) - 25,232 votes (53.48%) - margin 3,545 / 7.51% - total 47,182.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Blackburn B. Dovener** | R | - | 25,232 | 53.48% |
| | 2. W. W. Arnett | D | - | 21,687 | 45.97% |
| | 3. George W. Grimes | OTH | - | 195 | 0.41% |
| | 4. Thomas M. Stone | OTH | - | 66 | 0.14% |
| | 5. Nelson Hubbard | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. Thomas Obrien | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1896

WINNER: Alston G. Dayton (R) - 25,500 votes (52.31%) - margin 2,251 / 4.62% - total 48,750.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alston G. Dayton** | R | - | 25,500 | 52.31% |
| | 2. William G. Brown | D | - | 23,249 | 47.69% |
| | 3. William L. Wilson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1896

WINNER: Charles Dorr (R) - 29,277 votes (52.93%) - margin 3,248 / 5.87% - total 55,308.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles Dorr** | R | - | 29,277 | 52.93% |
| | 2. E. W. Wilson | D | - | 26,029 | 47.06% |
| | 3. G. W. Atkinson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 4. J. H. Gaines | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 04 - 1896

WINNER: Warren Miller (R) - 24,942 votes (51.18%) - margin 1,168 / 2.40% - total 48,737.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Warren Miller** | R | - | 24,942 | 51.18% |
| | 2. Walter Pendleton | D | - | 23,774 | 48.78% |
| | 3. Warren Harp | OTH | - | 21 | 0.04% |

## Wyoming

### At-large - 1896

WINNER: John E. Osborne (D) - 10,310 votes (49.14%) - margin 266 / 1.27% - total 20,982.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John E. Osborne** | D | - | 10,310 | 49.14% |
| | 2. F. W. Mondell | R | - | 10,044 | 47.87% |
| | 3. William Brown | OTH | - | 628 | 2.99% |

# 1898 U.S. House

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 161 | 187 | 9 | 42.65% | 49.58% | -6.92 D | 60 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

The official 56th House division was R 187 - D 161 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 2 - Independent Populist 1 - Silver 1. Republicans retained control despite losing 19 seats from their 1896 result. The Senate opened R 53 - D 26 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 3 - Silver 2 with one vacancy.

## Alabama

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Taylor (D) - 5,886 votes (84.67%) - margin 4,825 / 69.40% - total 6,952. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,914.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Taylor** | D | - | 5,886 | 84.67% |
| | 2. Johnson | R | - | 1,061 | 15.26% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.07% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Jesse F. Stallings (D) - 9,145 votes (83.33%) - margin 7,525 / 68.57% - total 10,974. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,946.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jesse F. Stallings** | D | - | 9,145 | 83.33% |
| | 2. Simmons | R | - | 1,620 | 14.76% |
| | 3. Giddens | OTH | - | 209 | 1.91% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Henry D. Clayton Jr. (D) - 8,287 votes (96.61%) - margin 8,025 / 93.55% - total 8,578. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,606.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry D. Clayton Jr.** | D | - | 8,287 | 96.61% |
| | 2. Fitzpatrick | R | - | 262 | 3.05% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 29 | 0.34% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: William F. Aldrich (R) - 5,685 votes (45.12%) - margin - / - - total 12,600. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,720.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Gaston Ahi Robbins | D | - | 6,915 | 54.88% |
| W | **2. William F. Aldrich** | R | - | 5,685 | 45.12% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Willis Brewer (D) - 8,842 votes (77.75%) - margin 6,338 / 55.73% - total 11,372. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,957.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Willis Brewer** | D | - | 8,842 | 77.75% |
| | 2. Smith | R | - | 2,504 | 22.02% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 26 | 0.23% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: John H. Bankhead (D) - 7,009 votes (69.78%) - margin 4,067 / 40.49% - total 10,045. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,387.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Bankhead** | D | - | 7,009 | 69.78% |
| | 2. Daniel N. Cooper | R | - | 2,942 | 29.29% |
| | 3. Turner | R | - | 94 | 0.94% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: John L. Burnett (D) - 6,949 votes (44.41%) - margin - / - - total 15,646. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,587.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Oliver Day Street | R | - | 8,624 | 55.12% |
| W | **2. John L. Burnett** | D | - | 6,949 | 44.41% |
| | 3. Alto Vela Lee | OTH | - | 72 | 0.46% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph Wheeler (D) - 6,368 votes (99.88%) - margin 6,360 / 99.75% - total 6,376. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -21,230.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph Wheeler** | D | - | 6,368 | 99.88% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.13% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Oscar Underwood (D) - 7,155 votes (83.03%) - margin 5,853 / 67.92% - total 8,617. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,816.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Oscar Underwood** | D | - | 7,155 | 83.03% |
| | 2. J. T. McEniry | R | - | 1,302 | 15.11% |
| | 3. Schwarz | R | - | 160 | 1.86% |

## Arkansas

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Philip D. McCulloch Jr. (D) - 4,103 votes (99.25%) - margin 4,072 / 98.50% - total 4,134. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -22,463.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Philip D. McCulloch Jr.** | D | - | 4,103 | 99.25% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 31 | 0.75% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: John S. Little (D) - 3,615 votes (99.78%) - margin 3,607 / 99.56% - total 3,623. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -21,959.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Little** | D | - | 3,615 | 99.78% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.22% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas C. McRae (D) - 4,066 votes (99.98%) - margin 4,065 / 99.95% - total 4,067. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -23,527.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas C. McRae** | D | - | 4,066 | 99.98% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.03% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: William L. Terry (D) - 3,665 votes (99.00%) - margin 3,628 / 98.00% - total 3,702. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -19,145.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Terry** | D | - | 3,665 | 99.00% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 37 | 1.00% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Hugh A. Dinsmore (D) - 6,633 votes (71.03%) - margin 3,927 / 42.05% - total 9,339. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -17,314.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Hugh A. Dinsmore** | D | - | 6,633 | 71.03% |
| | 2. J. T. Hopper | R | - | 2,706 | 28.98% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Stephen Brundidge Jr. (D) - 2,732 votes (99.85%) - margin 2,728 / 99.71% - total 2,736. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -19,380.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stephen Brundidge Jr.** | D | - | 2,732 | 99.85% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.15% |

## California

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John All Barham (R) - 19,598 votes (51.77%) - margin 1,354 / 3.58% - total 37,854. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +1,953.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John All Barham** | R | - | 19,598 | 51.77% |
| | 2. Emmet Seawell | D | - | 18,244 | 48.20% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 12 | 0.03% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Marion De Vries (D) - 25,196 votes (55.24%) - margin 4,796 / 10.51% - total 45,612. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +1,589.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marion De Vries** | D | - | 25,196 | 55.24% |
| | 2. Frank D. Ryan | R | - | 20,400 | 44.73% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 16 | 0.04% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Victor H. Metcalf (R) - 20,592 votes (57.27%) - margin 6,541 / 18.19% - total 35,954. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -657.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Victor H. Metcalf** | R | - | 20,592 | 57.27% |
| | 2. John Aubrey Jones | D | - | 14,051 | 39.08% |
| | 3. Thomas F. Burns | OTH | - | 1,309 | 3.64% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Julius Kahn (R) - 13,695 votes (50.02%) - margin 1,611 / 5.88% - total 27,380. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,901.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Julius Kahn** | R | - | 13,695 | 50.02% |
| | 2. James H. Barry | D | - | 12,084 | 44.13% |
| | 3. W. J. Martin | OTH | - | 1,006 | 3.67% |
| | 4. Joseph P. Kelly | OTH | - | 594 | 2.17% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Eugene F. Loud (R) - 20,254 votes (51.75%) - margin 2,902 / 7.41% - total 39,141. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -691.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Eugene F. Loud** | R | - | 20,254 | 51.75% |
| | 2. William Craig | D | - | 17,352 | 44.33% |
| | 3. E. T. Kingsley | OTH | - | 1,532 | 3.91% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Russell J. Waters (R) - 24,050 votes (52.64%) - margin 3,551 / 7.77% - total 45,692. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,703.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Russell J. Waters** | R | - | 24,050 | 52.64% |
| | 2. Charles A. Barlow | D | - | 20,499 | 44.86% |
| | 3. Jas T. Vanransselaer | OTH | - | 1,132 | 2.48% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 11 | 0.02% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: James C. Needham (R) - 20,793 votes (50.09%) - margin 113 / 0.27% - total 41,508. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +444.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James C. Needham** | R | - | 20,793 | 50.09% |
| | 2. Curtis H. Castle | D | - | 20,680 | 49.82% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 35 | 0.08% |

## Colorado

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John F. Shafroth (OTH) - 43,111 votes (67.61%) - margin 24,531 / 38.47% - total 63,768. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,125.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Shafroth** | OTH | - | 43,111 | 67.61% |
| | 2. Charles Hartsell | R | - | 18,580 | 29.14% |
| | 3. Dayton Gilbert | OTH | - | 1,410 | 2.21% |
| | 4. Nathan L. Griest | OTH | - | 667 | 1.05% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: John C. Bell (OTH) - 52,372 votes (64.88%) - margin 24,789 / 30.71% - total 80,717. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -18,776.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John C. Bell** | OTH | - | 52,372 | 64.88% |
| | 2. B. Clark Wheeler | R | - | 27,583 | 34.17% |
| | 3. John J. Markham | OTH | - | 762 | 0.94% |

# Connecticut

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: E. Stevens Henry (R) - 18,818 votes (55.55%) - margin 5,298 / 15.64% - total 33,879. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,218.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. E. Stevens Henry** | R | - | 18,818 | 55.55% |
| | 2. Vance | D | - | 13,520 | 39.91% |
| | 3. Joseph Powell | OTH | - | 1,128 | 3.33% |
| | 4. Hohenthal | OTH | - | 413 | 1.22% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Nehemiah D. Sperry (R) - 27,004 votes (51.86%) - margin 3,448 / 6.62% - total 52,068. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,562.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nehemiah D. Sperry** | R | - | 27,004 | 51.86% |
| | 2. Webb | D | - | 23,556 | 45.24% |
| | 3. George Mansfield | OTH | - | 1,128 | 2.17% |
| | 4. Kerr | OTH | - | 380 | 0.73% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Charles A. Russell (R) - 12,218 votes (58.05%) - margin 3,711 / 17.63% - total 21,048. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,794.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Russell** | R | - | 12,218 | 58.05% |
| | 2. Thayer | D | - | 8,507 | 40.42% |
| | 3. Crane | OTH | - | 315 | 1.50% |
| | 4. Write-ins | OTH | - | 8 | 0.04% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Ebenezer J. Hill (R) - 23,707 votes (56.11%) - margin 5,953 / 14.09% - total 42,250. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,965.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ebenezer J. Hill** | R | - | 23,707 | 56.11% |
| | 2. Lyman | D | - | 17,754 | 42.02% |
| | 3. Samuel Murgatroyd | OTH | - | 488 | 1.16% |
| | 4. Beach | OTH | - | 301 | 0.71% |

# Delaware

## At-large - 1898

WINNER: John H. Hoffecker (R) - 17,566 votes (53.11%) - margin 2,513 / 7.60% - total 33,073. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,972.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Hoffecker** | R | - | 17,566 | 53.11% |
| | 2. Levin Irving Handy | D | - | 15,053 | 45.51% |
| | 3. Lewis W. Brosius | OTH | - | 454 | 1.37% |

# Florida

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Stephen M. Sparkman (D) - margin - / - - total 16,923. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,205.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Robert Wyche Davis | D | - | 12,150 | 71.80% |
| | 2. H. L. Anderson | R | - | 4,773 | 28.20% |
| W | **3. Stephen M. Sparkman** | D | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Robert Wyche Davis (D) - margin - / - - total 16,052. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,162.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Stephen Milancthon Sparkman | D | - | 13,506 | 84.14% |
| | 2. E. R. Gunby | R | - | 2,543 | 15.84% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.02% |
| W | **4. Robert Wyche Davis** | D | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

# Georgia

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Rufus E. Lester (D) - 5,344 votes (85.96%) - margin 4,471 / 71.92% - total 6,217. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,767.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rufus E. Lester** | D | - | 5,344 | 85.96% |
| | 2. John E. Myrick | R | - | 873 | 14.04% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: James M. Griggs (D) - 8,298 votes (79.99%) - margin 6,227 / 60.03% - total 10,374. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,993.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James M. Griggs** | D | - | 8,298 | 79.99% |
| | 2. J. H. Smith | R | - | 2,071 | 19.96% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.05% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Elijah B. Lewis (D) - 3,539 votes (96.17%) - margin 3,398 / 92.34% - total 3,680. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,875.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Elijah B. Lewis** | D | - | 3,539 | 96.17% |
| | 2. F. W. Gano | R | - | 141 | 3.83% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: William C. Adamson (D) - 3,218 votes (99.14%) - margin 3,199 / 98.55% - total 3,246. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,829.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Adamson** | D | - | 3,218 | 99.14% |
| | 2. M. L. Covington | R | - | 19 | 0.59% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.28% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Leonidas F. Livingston (D) - 3,027 votes (97.55%) - margin 2,963 / 95.49% - total 3,103. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,870.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Leonidas F. Livingston** | D | - | 3,027 | 97.55% |
| | 2. A. R. Bryan | R | - | 64 | 2.06% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 12 | 0.39% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Charles L. Bartlett (D) - 3,008 votes (99.90%) - margin 3,005 / 99.80% - total 3,011. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,921.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles L. Bartlett** | D | - | 3,008 | 99.90% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.10% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: John W. Maddox (D) - 5,296 votes (80.67%) - margin 4,044 / 61.60% - total 6,565. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,497.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Maddox** | D | - | 5,296 | 80.67% |
| | 2. A. B. Austin | OTH | - | 1,252 | 19.07% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 17 | 0.26% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: William M. Howard (D) - 4,379 votes (83.54%) - margin 3,518 / 67.11% - total 5,242. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,509.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William M. Howard** | D | - | 4,379 | 83.54% |
| | 2. John A. Neese | OTH | - | 861 | 16.43% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.04% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Farish Tate (D) - 9,277 votes (72.29%) - margin 5,720 / 44.57% - total 12,834. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,550.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Farish Tate** | D | - | 9,277 | 72.29% |
| | 2. J. P. Brooke | OTH | - | 3,557 | 27.72% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: William H. Fleming (D) - 2,290 votes (97.61%) - margin 2,256 / 96.16% - total 2,346. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,878.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Fleming** | D | - | 2,290 | 97.61% |
| | 2. Thomas E. Watson | OTH | - | 34 | 1.45% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 22 | 0.94% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: William G. Brantley (D) - 9,256 votes (69.24%) - margin 5,144 / 38.48% - total 13,368. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,792.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William G. Brantley** | D | - | 9,256 | 69.24% |
| | 2. J. M. Wilkinson | R | - | 4,112 | 30.76% |

## Iowa

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas Hedge (R) - 17,817 votes (54.25%) - margin 3,249 / 9.89% - total 32,841. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,037.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Hedge** | R | - | 17,817 | 54.25% |
| | 2. D. J. Oconnell | D | - | 14,568 | 44.36% |
| | 3. J. W. Glasgow | OTH | - | 456 | 1.39% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph R. Lane (R) - 18,790 votes (50.58%) - margin 1,282 / 3.45% - total 37,147. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,807.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph R. Lane** | R | - | 18,790 | 50.58% |
| | 2. John J. Ney | D | - | 17,508 | 47.13% |
| | 3. J. B. Welzenbach | OTH | - | 396 | 1.07% |
| | 4. Eli Elliott | OTH | - | 260 | 0.70% |
| | 5. Allan W. Ricker | OTH | - | 193 | 0.52% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: David B. Henderson (R) - 22,512 votes (59.11%) - margin 7,019 / 18.43% - total 38,083. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,804.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David B. Henderson** | R | - | 22,512 | 59.11% |
| | 2. John H. Howell | D | - | 15,493 | 40.68% |
| | 3. Ephramin J. Dean | OTH | - | 78 | 0.21% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Gilbert N. Haugen (R) - 21,468 votes (59.80%) - margin 7,619 / 21.22% - total 35,900. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,819.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Gilbert N. Haugen** | R | - | 21,468 | 59.80% |
| | 2. T. T. Blaise | D | - | 13,849 | 38.58% |
| | 3. P. Wooding | OTH | - | 462 | 1.29% |
| | 4. Thomas Trasy | OTH | - | 117 | 0.33% |
| | 5. P. Woodman | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Robert G. Cousins (R) - 21,335 votes (55.94%) - margin 5,365 / 14.07% - total 38,142. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,120.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert G. Cousins** | R | - | 21,335 | 55.94% |
| | 2. L. J. Capt Rowell | D | - | 15,970 | 41.87% |
| | 3. J. G. Vanness | OTH | - | 719 | 1.89% |
| | 4. J. W. Whitmer | OTH | - | 76 | 0.20% |
| | 5. L. S. Wood | OTH | - | 42 | 0.11% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: John F. Lacey (R) - 19,738 votes (50.85%) - margin 1,471 / 3.79% - total 38,817. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,191.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Lacey** | R | - | 19,738 | 50.85% |
| | 2. James B. Weaver | D | - | 18,267 | 47.06% |
| | 3. R. L. Turner | OTH | - | 518 | 1.33% |
| | 4. L. M. Morris | OTH | - | 294 | 0.76% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: John A. T. Hull (R) - 19,913 votes (59.32%) - margin 7,652 / 22.80% - total 33,567. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,364.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John A. T. Hull** | R | - | 19,913 | 59.32% |
| | 2. Charles O. Holly | D | - | 12,261 | 36.53% |
| | 3. Thomas G. Orwig | OTH | - | 892 | 2.66% |
| | 4. Charles M. James | OTH | - | 501 | 1.49% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: William P. Hepburn (R) - 22,327 votes (53.10%) - margin 3,824 / 9.09% - total 42,049. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,691.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William P. Hepburn** | R | - | 22,327 | 53.10% |
| | 2. George L. Finn | D | - | 18,503 | 44.00% |
| | 3. C. L. Parsons | OTH | - | 817 | 1.94% |
| | 4. D. C. Cowles | OTH | - | 402 | 0.96% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Smith McPherson (R) - 21,976 votes (54.76%) - margin 4,492 / 11.19% - total 40,134. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,429.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Smith McPherson** | R | - | 21,976 | 54.76% |
| | 2. J. A. Lyons | D | - | 17,484 | 43.56% |
| | 3. Stephen M. Blackburn | OTH | - | 378 | 0.94% |
| | 4. Luke McDowell | OTH | - | 296 | 0.74% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Jonathan P. Dolliver (R) - 25,180 votes (57.59%) - margin 7,403 / 16.93% - total 43,725. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,701.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jonathan P. Dolliver** | R | - | 25,180 | 57.59% |
| | 2. Edwin Anderson | D | - | 17,777 | 40.66% |
| | 3. P. J. Shaw | OTH | - | 613 | 1.40% |
| | 4. A. Norelius | OTH | - | 155 | 0.35% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Lot Thomas (R) - 22,400 votes (56.58%) - margin 6,283 / 15.87% - total 39,593. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,181.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lot Thomas** | R | - | 22,400 | 56.58% |
| | 2. Arthur S. Garretson | D | - | 16,117 | 40.71% |
| | 3. J. M. Hoffman | OTH | - | 723 | 1.83% |
| | 4. J. O. McElroy | OTH | - | 353 | 0.89% |

## Idaho

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: Edgar Wilson (OTH) - 17,694 votes (45.26%) - margin - / - - total 39,092. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +10,817.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edgar Wilson** | OTH | - | 17,694 | 45.26% |
| | 2. Weldon B. Heyburn | R | - | 13,056 | 33.40% |
| | 3. James Gunn | OTH | - | 7,428 | 19.00% |
| | 4. William J. Boone | OTH | - | 914 | 2.34% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## Illinois

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: James Robert Mann (R) - 37,506 votes (63.23%) - margin 17,082 / 28.80% - total 59,316. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,941.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Robert Mann** | R | - | 37,506 | 63.23% |
| | 2. Rollin B. Organ | D | - | 20,424 | 34.43% |
| | 3. B. Berlyn | OTH | - | 568 | 0.96% |
| | 4. Theodore L. Neff | OTH | - | 414 | 0.70% |
| | 5. James Hogan | OTH | - | 404 | 0.68% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: William Lorimer (R) - 27,151 votes (52.07%) - margin 3,797 / 7.28% - total 52,139. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,370.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Lorimer** | R | - | 27,151 | 52.07% |
| | 2. C. Porter Johnson | D | - | 23,354 | 44.79% |
| | 3. Charles O. Sherman | OTH | - | 700 | 1.34% |
| | 4. Herman Glaser | OTH | - | 421 | 0.81% |
| | 5. Thomas M. Compropst | OTH | - | 407 | 0.78% |
| | 6. Michael Haley | OTH | - | 106 | 0.20% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: George Peter Foster (D) - 18,463 votes (53.31%) - margin 2,804 / 8.10% - total 34,635. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,492.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Peter Foster** | D | - | 18,463 | 53.31% |
| | 2. Hugh R. Belknap | R | - | 15,659 | 45.21% |
| | 3. H. C. Dreisvogt | OTH | - | 242 | 0.70% |
| | 4. James E. McGrath | OTH | - | 206 | 0.60% |
| | 5. William F. Kellett | OTH | - | 65 | 0.19% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas Cusack (D) - 18,876 votes (52.61%) - margin 2,220 / 6.19% - total 35,881. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -8,096.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Cusack** | D | - | 18,876 | 52.61% |
| | 2. Daniel W. Mills | R | - | 16,656 | 46.42% |
| | 3. John T. Buchanan | OTH | - | 219 | 0.61% |
| | 4. Thomas H. Gault | OTH | - | 130 | 0.36% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Edward Thomas Noonan (D) - 19,186 votes (53.27%) - margin 3,168 / 8.80% - total 36,015. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,316.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward Thomas Noonan** | D | - | 19,186 | 53.27% |
| | 2. George E. White | R | - | 16,018 | 44.48% |
| | 3. William League | OTH | - | 334 | 0.93% |
| | 4. J. Collins | OTH | - | 301 | 0.84% |
| | 5. Thomas L. Haines | OTH | - | 176 | 0.49% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Henry Sherman Boutell (R) - 18,283 votes (50.72%) - margin 1,116 / 3.10% - total 36,045. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,628.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry Sherman Boutell** | R | - | 18,283 | 50.72% |
| | 2. Emil Hoechster | D | - | 17,167 | 47.63% |
| | 3. George Henderson | OTH | - | 284 | 0.79% |
| | 4. Roy M. Goodwin | OTH | - | 225 | 0.62% |
| | 5. John G. Battershill | OTH | - | 86 | 0.24% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: George E. Foss (R) - 30,903 votes (60.79%) - margin 12,331 / 24.26% - total 50,838. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,947.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George E. Foss** | R | - | 30,903 | 60.79% |
| | 2. Frank O. Rogers | D | - | 18,572 | 36.53% |
| | 3. Chals Schmitt | OTH | - | 566 | 1.11% |
| | 4. Henry H. Hardinge | OTH | - | 403 | 0.79% |
| | 5. Visscher V. Barnes | OTH | - | 394 | 0.78% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Albert J. Hopkins (R) - 19,592 votes (68.18%) - margin 11,592 / 40.34% - total 28,734. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -17,018.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert J. Hopkins** | R | - | 19,592 | 68.18% |
| | 2. John W. Leonard | D | - | 8,000 | 27.84% |
| | 3. Sheldon W. Johnson | OTH | - | 1,142 | 3.97% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Robert R. Hitt (R) - 22,165 votes (64.91%) - margin 11,145 / 32.64% - total 34,149. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,907.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert R. Hitt** | R | - | 22,165 | 64.91% |
| | 2. William H. Wagner | D | - | 11,020 | 32.27% |
| | 3. John E. Countryman | OTH | - | 963 | 2.82% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Prince (R) - 24,469 votes (66.10%) - margin 12,427 / 33.57% - total 37,020. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,118.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Prince** | R | - | 24,469 | 66.10% |
| | 2. Francis E. Andrews | D | - | 12,042 | 32.53% |
| | 3. Eugenio K. Hayes | OTH | - | 509 | 1.38% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Walter Reeves (R) - 20,060 votes (53.45%) - margin 3,496 / 9.32% - total 37,530. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,306.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter Reeves** | R | - | 20,060 | 53.45% |
| | 2. Maurice T. Moloney | D | - | 16,564 | 44.14% |
| | 3. John W. Hosier | OTH | - | 629 | 1.68% |
| | 4. Archibald Storrie | OTH | - | 277 | 0.74% |

## District 12 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph Gurney Cannon (R) - 21,484 votes (59.11%) - margin 7,306 / 20.10% - total 36,344. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,314.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph Gurney Cannon** | R | - | 21,484 | 59.11% |
| | 2. John M. Thompson | D | - | 14,178 | 39.01% |
| | 3. Samuel S. Jones | OTH | - | 682 | 1.88% |

## District 13 - 1898

WINNER: Vespasian Warner (R) - 20,635 votes (56.57%) - margin 5,658 / 15.51% - total 36,475. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,493.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Vespasian Warner** | R | - | 20,635 | 56.57% |
| | 2. Jerome G. Quisenbery | D | - | 14,977 | 41.06% |
| | 3. James H. Shaw | OTH | - | 863 | 2.37% |

## District 14 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph V. Graff (R) - 21,417 votes (51.55%) - margin 1,986 / 4.78% - total 41,544. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,876.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph V. Graff** | R | - | 21,417 | 51.55% |
| | 2. Charles N. Barnes | D | - | 19,431 | 46.77% |
| | 3. Stephen Martin | OTH | - | 696 | 1.68% |

## District 15 - 1898

WINNER: Benjamin F. Marsh (R) - 21,143 votes (49.15%) - margin 242 / 0.56% - total 43,022. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,497.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benjamin F. Marsh** | R | - | 21,143 | 49.15% |
| | 2. Joseph A. Roy | D | - | 20,901 | 48.58% |
| | 3. James U. Underhill | OTH | - | 566 | 1.32% |
| | 4. Martin W. Greer | OTH | - | 411 | 0.96% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 16 - 1898

WINNER: William E. Williams (D) - 21,682 votes (54.60%) - margin 4,661 / 11.74% - total 39,708. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,842.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William E. Williams** | D | - | 21,682 | 54.60% |
| | 2. James H. Danskin | R | - | 17,021 | 42.86% |
| | 3. A. C. Wood | OTH | - | 514 | 1.29% |
| | 4. Howard O. Bliss | OTH | - | 491 | 1.24% |

### District 17 - 1898

WINNER: Ben F. Caldwell (D) - 23,293 votes (51.86%) - margin 2,240 / 4.99% - total 44,919. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,309.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ben F. Caldwell** | D | - | 23,293 | 51.86% |
| | 2. Isaac R. Mills | R | - | 21,053 | 46.87% |
| | 3. David L. Bunn | OTH | - | 573 | 1.28% |

### District 18 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas M. Jett (D) - 18,829 votes (49.48%) - margin 720 / 1.89% - total 38,054. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,374.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas M. Jett** | D | - | 18,829 | 49.48% |
| | 2. Benjamin F. Johnston | R | - | 18,109 | 47.59% |
| | 3. John T. Killiam | OTH | - | 501 | 1.32% |
| | 4. Ferdinand Morse | OTH | - | 477 | 1.25% |
| | 5. William W. Cox | OTH | - | 138 | 0.36% |

### District 19 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph B. Crowley (D) - 21,520 votes (50.51%) - margin 1,514 / 3.55% - total 42,606. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,281.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph B. Crowley** | D | - | 21,520 | 50.51% |
| | 2. William W. Jacobs | R | - | 20,006 | 46.96% |
| | 3. Dixon T. Harbison | OTH | - | 597 | 1.40% |
| | 4. William Smith | OTH | - | 483 | 1.13% |

### District 20 - 1898

WINNER: James R. Williams (D) - 18,321 votes (51.51%) - margin 2,014 / 5.66% - total 35,567. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,354.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James R. Williams** | D | - | 18,321 | 51.51% |
| | 2. Theodore G. Risley | R | - | 16,307 | 45.85% |
| | 3. Wiley N. Green | OTH | - | 552 | 1.55% |
| | 4. William Bedall | OTH | - | 387 | 1.09% |

### District 21 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Rodenberg (R) - 20,461 votes (49.12%) - margin 505 / 1.21% - total 41,656. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -5,104.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Rodenberg** | R | - | 20,461 | 49.12% |
| | 2. Frederick J. Kern | D | - | 19,956 | 47.91% |
| | 3. William F. Quellmalz | OTH | - | 466 | 1.12% |
| | 4. John T. Nixon | OTH | - | 433 | 1.04% |
| | 5. Gustav Surber | OTH | - | 340 | 0.82% |

### District 22 - 1898

WINNER: George Washington Smith (R) - 17,200 votes (54.52%) - margin 3,069 / 9.73% - total 31,550. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,327.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Washington Smith** | R | - | 17,200 | 54.52% |
| | 2. A. B. Garrett | D | - | 14,131 | 44.79% |
| | 3. Andrew J. Jr. Dougherty | OTH | - | 219 | 0.69% |

## Indiana

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: James A. Hemenway (R) - 20,383 votes (50.71%) - margin 1,046 / 2.60% - total 40,195. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,781.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Hemenway** | R | - | 20,383 | 50.71% |
| | 2. Thomas Duncan | D | - | 19,337 | 48.11% |
| | 3. Josephus Lee | OTH | - | 475 | 1.18% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Robert W. Miers (D) - 20,245 votes (50.32%) - margin 1,589 / 3.95% - total 40,234. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,907.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert W. Miers** | D | - | 20,245 | 50.32% |
| | 2. William R. Gardiner | R | - | 18,656 | 46.37% |
| | 3. William J. Trout | OTH | - | 1,333 | 3.31% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: William T. Zenor (D) - 21,111 votes (55.18%) - margin 4,320 / 11.29% - total 38,258. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,326.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William T. Zenor** | D | - | 21,111 | 55.18% |
| | 2. Isaac F. Whiteside | R | - | 16,791 | 43.89% |
| | 3. George T. Mayfield | OTH | - | 356 | 0.93% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Francis M. Griffith (D) - 21,751 votes (52.16%) - margin 2,018 / 4.84% - total 41,697. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,774.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Francis M. Griffith** | D | - | 21,751 | 52.16% |
| | 2. Charles W. Lee | R | - | 19,733 | 47.33% |
| | 3. Jasper N. Hughes | OTH | - | 213 | 0.51% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Faris (R) - 22,557 votes (49.36%) - margin 252 / 0.55% - total 45,704. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,511.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Faris** | R | - | 22,557 | 49.36% |
| | 2. Samuel R. Hamill | D | - | 22,305 | 48.80% |
| | 3. William E. Carpenter | OTH | - | 647 | 1.42% |
| | 4. Alexander Farrow | OTH | - | 195 | 0.43% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: James E. Watson (R) - 21,048 votes (52.60%) - margin 2,204 / 5.51% - total 40,013. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,937.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James E. Watson** | R | - | 21,048 | 52.60% |
| | 2. Charles A. Robinson | D | - | 18,844 | 47.10% |
| | 3. Samuel Walker | OTH | - | 121 | 0.30% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Jesse Overstreet (R) - 25,868 votes (51.80%) - margin 2,599 / 5.20% - total 49,941. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,078.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jesse Overstreet** | R | - | 25,868 | 51.80% |
| | 2. Leon O. Bailey | D | - | 23,269 | 46.59% |
| | 3. William B. Campbell | OTH | - | 567 | 1.14% |
| | 4. Henry Kuerst | OTH | - | 235 | 0.47% |
| | 5. William B. Smith | OTH | - | 2 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Cromer (R) - 25,388 votes (50.06%) - margin 1,367 / 2.70% - total 50,714. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,744.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Cromer** | R | - | 25,388 | 50.06% |
| | 2. Orlando J. Lotz | D | - | 24,021 | 47.37% |
| | 3. George W. Martin | OTH | - | 908 | 1.79% |
| | 4. James A. Thompson | OTH | - | 397 | 0.78% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Charles B. Landis (R) - 22,447 votes (50.16%) - margin 1,090 / 2.44% - total 44,752. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,231.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles B. Landis** | R | - | 22,447 | 50.16% |
| | 2. Joseph B. Cheadle | D | - | 21,357 | 47.72% |
| | 3. George M. Thompson | OTH | - | 712 | 1.59% |
| | 4. Frank H. King | OTH | - | 236 | 0.53% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Edgar D. Crumpacker (R) - 24,656 votes (54.96%) - margin 4,450 / 9.92% - total 44,862. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,517.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edgar D. Crumpacker** | R | - | 24,656 | 54.96% |
| | 2. John Ross | D | - | 20,206 | 45.04% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: George Washington Steele (R) - 24,367 votes (52.68%) - margin 4,086 / 8.83% - total 46,255. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,831.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Washington Steele** | R | - | 24,367 | 52.68% |
| | 2. George W. Michael | D | - | 20,281 | 43.85% |
| | 3. David A. McDowell | OTH | - | 1,171 | 2.53% |
| | 4. Edward L. Wilson | OTH | - | 436 | 0.94% |

### District 12 - 1898

WINNER: James M. Robinson (D) - 19,484 votes (51.31%) - margin 1,440 / 3.79% - total 37,976. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,972.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James M. Robinson** | D | - | 19,484 | 51.31% |
| | 2. Christian B. Stevens | R | - | 18,044 | 47.51% |
| | 3. Henry C. Schrader | OTH | - | 448 | 1.18% |

### District 13 - 1898

WINNER: Abraham L. Brick (R) - 23,368 votes (51.43%) - margin 2,482 / 5.46% - total 45,441. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,001.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Abraham L. Brick** | R | - | 23,368 | 51.43% |
| | 2. Medary M. Hathaway | D | - | 20,886 | 45.96% |
| | 3. Thomas E. Webb | OTH | - | 970 | 2.14% |
| | 4. John R. Kormer | OTH | - | 217 | 0.48% |

## Kansas

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: Charles Frederick Scott (R) - margin - / - - total 281,144. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -46,924.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Willis Joshua Bailey | R | - | 147,691 | 52.53% |
| | 2. J. D. Botkin | D | - | 130,801 | 46.52% |
| | 3. M. Williams | OTH | - | 2,021 | 0.72% |
| | 4. F. E. Miller | OTH | - | 630 | 0.22% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| W | **6. Charles Frederick Scott** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Charles Curtis (R) - 23,899 votes (59.62%) - margin 7,712 / 19.24% - total 40,086. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,561.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles Curtis** | R | - | 23,899 | 59.62% |
| | 2. W. W. Price | D | - | 16,187 | 40.38% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Justin De Witt Bowersock (R) - 21,029 votes (52.50%) - margin 2,005 / 5.01% - total 40,053. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -12,173.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Justin De Witt Bowersock** | R | - | 21,029 | 52.50% |
| | 2. M. S. Peters | D | - | 19,024 | 47.50% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Edwin R. Ridgely (OTH) - 21,739 votes (51.36%) - margin 1,150 / 2.72% - total 42,328. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,555.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edwin R. Ridgely** | OTH | - | 21,739 | 51.36% |
| | 2. S. S. Kirkpatrick | R | - | 20,589 | 48.64% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: James Monroe Miller (R) - 20,312 votes (53.85%) - margin 2,902 / 7.69% - total 37,723. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,810.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Monroe Miller** | R | - | 20,312 | 53.85% |
| | 2. Henderson S. Martin | D | - | 17,410 | 46.15% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Calderhead (R) - 18,991 votes (53.50%) - margin 2,483 / 6.99% - total 35,500. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,336.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Calderhead** | R | - | 18,991 | 53.50% |
| | 2. W. D. Vincent | D | - | 16,508 | 46.50% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Reeder (R) - 16,833 votes (49.66%) - margin 2,101 / 6.20% - total 33,899. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,011.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Reeder** | R | - | 16,833 | 49.66% |
| | 2. Nelson B. McCormick | OTH | - | 14,732 | 43.46% |
| | 3. William G. Hoffer | D | - | 2,334 | 6.89% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Chester I. Long (R) - 26,622 votes (51.73%) - margin 1,788 / 3.47% - total 51,463. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -5,297.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Chester I. Long** | R | - | 26,622 | 51.73% |
| | 2. Jerry Simpson | D | - | 24,834 | 48.26% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 7 | 0.01% |

## Kentucky

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Charles K. Wheeler (D) - 10,584 votes (67.72%) - margin 5,544 / 35.48% - total 15,624. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -24,017.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles K. Wheeler** | D | - | 10,584 | 67.72% |
| | 2. G. W. Reeves | R | - | 5,040 | 32.23% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Henry D. Allen (D) - 8,939 votes (57.26%) - margin 4,476 / 28.67% - total 15,612. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -25,698.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry D. Allen** | D | - | 8,939 | 57.26% |
| | 2. W. T. Fowler | R | - | 4,463 | 28.59% |
| | 3. G. W. Jolly | OTH | - | 1,641 | 10.51% |
| | 4. Sam James | OTH | - | 569 | 3.65% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John S. Rhea (D) - 14,771 votes (54.88%) - margin 3,023 / 11.23% - total 26,914. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,784.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Rhea** | D | - | 14,771 | 54.88% |
| | 2. M. P. Creel | R | - | 11,748 | 43.65% |
| | 3. J. S. Dorsey | OTH | - | 394 | 1.46% |
| | 4. Speed Guffy | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: David Highbaugh Smith (D) - 16,696 votes (55.26%) - margin 3,870 / 12.81% - total 30,212. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,901.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David Highbaugh Smith** | D | - | 16,696 | 55.26% |
| | 2. Chas Bl'ford | R | - | 12,826 | 42.45% |
| | 3. R. H. Mullin | OTH | - | 689 | 2.28% |
| | 4. J. B. Phl'ps | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Oscar Turner (D) - 14,770 votes (49.59%) - margin 568 / 1.91% - total 29,787. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -16,781.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Oscar Turner** | D | - | 14,770 | 49.59% |
| | 2. Walter Evans | R | - | 14,202 | 47.68% |
| | 3. J. H. H'br'k | OTH | - | 421 | 1.41% |
| | 4. Albert Sch'tz | OTH | - | 394 | 1.32% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Albert S. Berry (D) - 13,130 votes (59.43%) - margin 4,168 / 18.87% - total 22,093. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,205.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert S. Berry** | D | - | 13,130 | 59.43% |
| | 2. W. M. Don'son | R | - | 8,962 | 40.57% |
| | 3. Sam H'h'son | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Evan E. Settle (D) - 12,905 votes (67.65%) - margin 6,735 / 35.31% - total 19,075. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,770.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Evan E. Settle** | D | - | 12,905 | 67.65% |
| | 2. T. J. Hardin | R | - | 6,170 | 32.34% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: George G. Gilbert (D) - 13,047 votes (50.79%) - margin 841 / 3.27% - total 25,688. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -8,051.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George G. Gilbert** | D | - | 13,047 | 50.79% |
| | 2. G. M. Dav'son | R | - | 12,206 | 47.52% |
| | 3. W. H. Ziegler | OTH | - | 435 | 1.69% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel J. Pugh (R) - total -. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel J. Pugh** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The structured state table did not publish candidate vote counts for this contest; the certified winner and reported shares are retained without estimating votes. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas Y. Fitzpatrick (D) - 13,456 votes (54.13%) - margin 2,054 / 8.26% - total 24,860. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,789.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Y. Fitzpatrick** | D | - | 13,456 | 54.13% |
| | 2. W. J. Seitz | R | - | 11,402 | 45.87% |
| | 3. D. W. Witt | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Vincent Boreing (R) - 15,706 votes (51.54%) - margin 4,382 / 14.38% - total 30,471. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,375.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Vincent Boreing** | R | - | 15,706 | 51.54% |
| | 2. J. D. White | OTH | - | 11,324 | 37.16% |
| | 3. H. H. Tye | D | - | 3,319 | 10.89% |
| | 4. A. J. B'm'n | OTH | - | 122 | 0.40% |

## Louisiana

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Adolph Meyer (D) - 5,422 votes (85.82%) - margin 4,526 / 71.64% - total 6,318. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,960.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Adolph Meyer** | D | - | 5,422 | 85.82% |
| | 2. C. W. Keeting | R | - | 896 | 14.18% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Robert C. Davey (D) - 6,802 votes (86.58%) - margin 5,748 / 73.17% - total 7,856. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,041.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert C. Davey** | D | - | 6,802 | 86.58% |
| | 2. Frank N. Wicker | R | - | 1,054 | 13.42% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Robert F. Broussard (D) - 4,928 votes (84.94%) - margin 4,054 / 69.87% - total 5,802. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,362.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert F. Broussard** | D | - | 4,928 | 84.94% |
| | 2. Charles Fontelleu | R | - | 874 | 15.06% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Phanor Breazeale (D) - 4,524 votes (75.30%) - margin 3,048 / 50.73% - total 6,008. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,141.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Phanor Breazeale** | D | - | 4,524 | 75.30% |
| | 2. Hardy L. Brian | OTH | - | 1,476 | 24.57% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 8 | 0.13% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel T. Baird (D) - 3,558 votes (74.02%) - margin 2,462 / 51.22% - total 4,807. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,560.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel T. Baird** | D | - | 3,558 | 74.02% |
| | 2. J. G. Taliaferro | R | - | 1,096 | 22.80% |
| | 3. H. B. Taliaferro | OTH | - | 151 | 3.14% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.04% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel M. Robertson (D) - 2,494 votes (99.60%) - margin 2,484 / 99.20% - total 2,504. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,978.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel M. Robertson** | D | - | 2,494 | 99.60% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 10 | 0.40% |

## Massachusetts

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: George P. Lawrence (R) - 14,315 votes (58.01%) - margin 5,555 / 22.51% - total 24,677. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,976.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George P. Lawrence** | R | - | 14,315 | 58.01% |
| | 2. Charles P. Davis | D | - | 8,760 | 35.50% |
| | 3. Edward A. Buckland | OTH | - | 1,602 | 6.49% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Frederick H. Gillett (R) - 13,327 votes (60.33%) - margin 5,273 / 23.87% - total 22,091. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,481.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frederick H. Gillett** | R | - | 13,327 | 60.33% |
| | 2. Robert E. Bisbee | D | - | 8,054 | 36.46% |
| | 3. George H. Wrenn | OTH | - | 707 | 3.20% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John R. Thayer (D) - 11,167 votes (50.35%) - margin 159 / 0.72% - total 22,179. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -4,008.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John R. Thayer** | D | - | 11,167 | 50.35% |
| | 2. Joseph H. Walker | R | - | 11,008 | 49.63% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.02% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Weymouth (R) - 14,411 votes (62.93%) - margin 5,926 / 25.88% - total 22,902. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,046.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Weymouth** | R | - | 14,411 | 62.93% |
| | 2. I. Porter Morse | D | - | 8,485 | 37.05% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.03% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: William S. Knox (R) - 14,737 votes (51.79%) - margin 1,021 / 3.59% - total 28,454. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -915.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Knox** | R | - | 14,737 | 51.79% |
| | 2. Joseph J. Flynn | D | - | 13,716 | 48.20% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: William H. Moody (R) - 13,494 votes (64.50%) - margin 7,459 / 35.65% - total 20,921. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,494.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Moody** | R | - | 13,494 | 64.50% |
| | 2. Eben Moody Boynton | D | - | 6,035 | 28.85% |
| | 3. Albert L. Gillen | D | - | 1,390 | 6.64% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Ernest W. Roberts (R) - 16,559 votes (55.70%) - margin 4,171 / 14.03% - total 29,728. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ernest W. Roberts** | R | - | 16,559 | 55.70% |
| | 2. Walter L. Ramsdell | D | - | 12,388 | 41.67% |
| | 3. Joseph F. Malloney | OTH | - | 781 | 2.63% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel W. McCall (R) - 14,935 votes (69.86%) - margin 9,089 / 42.52% - total 21,377. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,280.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel W. McCall** | R | - | 14,935 | 69.86% |
| | 2. George A. Perkins | D | - | 5,846 | 27.35% |
| | 3. William E. Stacey | OTH | - | 593 | 2.77% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: John F. Fitzgerald (D) - 10,303 votes (48.68%) - margin 4,853 / 22.93% - total 21,166. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,375.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John F. Fitzgerald** | D | - | 10,303 | 48.68% |
| | 2. Franz H. Krebs | R | - | 5,450 | 25.75% |
| | 3. James A. Gallivan | OTH | - | 5,000 | 23.62% |
| | 4. Florentine K. Bradman | R | - | 412 | 1.95% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Henry F. Naphen (D) - 17,149 votes (55.20%) - margin 3,240 / 10.43% - total 31,069. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,954.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry F. Naphen** | D | - | 17,149 | 55.20% |
| | 2. Samuel J. Barrows | R | - | 13,909 | 44.77% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 11 | 0.04% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Charles F. Sprague (R) - 17,001 votes (61.33%) - margin 6,292 / 22.70% - total 27,723. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,441.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Sprague** | R | - | 17,001 | 61.33% |
| | 2. William H. Baker | D | - | 10,709 | 38.63% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 13 | 0.05% |

### District 12 - 1898

WINNER: William C. Lovering (R) - 13,653 votes (65.93%) - margin 7,443 / 35.94% - total 20,710. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,760.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William C. Lovering** | R | - | 13,653 | 65.93% |
| | 2. Philip E. Brady | D | - | 6,210 | 29.99% |
| | 3. Jeremiah Ofinelly | OTH | - | 847 | 4.09% |

### District 13 - 1898

WINNER: William S. Greene (R) - 13,463 votes (68.62%) - margin 8,595 / 43.81% - total 19,620. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,059.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Greene** | R | - | 13,463 | 68.62% |
| | 2. Charles T. Luce | D | - | 4,868 | 24.81% |
| | 3. Thomas Stevenson | OTH | - | 1,287 | 6.56% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## Maryland

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John Walter Smith (D) - 16,748 votes (47.90%) - margin 925 / 2.65% - total 34,968. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,114.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Walter Smith** | D | - | 16,748 | 47.90% |
| | 2. W. F. Jackson | R | - | 15,823 | 45.25% |
| | 3. J. Swann | OTH | - | 1,823 | 5.21% |
| | 4. William Willis | D | - | 574 | 1.64% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: William Benjamin Baker (R) - 20,806 votes (48.37%) - margin 370 / 0.86% - total 43,015. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,189.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Benjamin Baker** | R | - | 20,806 | 48.37% |
| | 2. Richard Tippett | D | - | 20,436 | 47.51% |
| | 3. H. Hollingsworth | OTH | - | 1,772 | 4.12% |
| | 4. J. Wilson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Frank C. Wachter (R) - 17,508 votes (49.12%) - margin 122 / 0.34% - total 35,646. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,020.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank C. Wachter** | R | - | 17,508 | 49.12% |
| | 2. J. Schwatka | D | - | 17,386 | 48.77% |
| | 3. John Hicks | OTH | - | 718 | 2.01% |
| | 4. William Whipkey | OTH | - | 32 | 0.09% |
| | 5. Charles Bockman | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. William Airey | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: James William Denny (D) - 17,261 votes (48.83%) - margin 597 / 1.69% - total 35,349. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -6,649.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James William Denny** | D | - | 17,261 | 48.83% |
| | 2. William McIntire | R | - | 16,664 | 47.14% |
| | 3. Thomas Creney | OTH | - | 1,134 | 3.21% |
| | 4. T. Meyer | OTH | - | 289 | 0.82% |
| | 5. M. Dewall | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Sydney E. Mudd I (R) - margin - / - - total 33,114. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,773.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Sydney Emanuel Mudd | R | - | 17,248 | 52.09% |
| | 2. J. S. Cummings | D | - | 14,672 | 44.31% |
| | 3. J. Wetherald | OTH | - | 913 | 2.76% |
| | 4. C. Parker | R | - | 277 | 0.84% |
| | 5. Charles Taylor | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |
| W | **6. Sydney E. Mudd I** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: George A. Pearre (R) - 18,878 votes (54.84%) - margin 4,506 / 13.09% - total 34,421. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,633.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George A. Pearre** | R | - | 18,878 | 54.84% |
| | 2. T. A. Poffenberger | D | - | 14,372 | 41.75% |
| | 3. J. T. Baker | OTH | - | 1,167 | 3.39% |
| | 4. David Luke | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 5. Edward Stake | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. Michael Finn | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Maine

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas Brackett Reed (R) - 14,598 votes (59.82%) - margin 5,526 / 22.64% - total 24,405. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,495.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Brackett Reed** | R | - | 14,598 | 59.82% |
| | 2. L. F. McKinney | D | - | 9,072 | 37.17% |
| | 3. D. P. Parker | OTH | - | 673 | 2.76% |
| | 4. W. E. Moulton | OTH | - | 53 | 0.22% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 9 | 0.04% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Nelson Dingley Jr. (R) - 15,149 votes (63.70%) - margin 7,023 / 29.53% - total 23,781. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,614.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nelson Dingley Jr.** | R | - | 15,149 | 63.70% |
| | 2. John Scott | D | - | 8,126 | 34.17% |
| | 3. A. J. Wheeler | OTH | - | 394 | 1.66% |
| | 4. F. W. S. Blanchard | D | - | 89 | 0.37% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 23 | 0.10% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Edwin C. Burleigh (R) - 12,854 votes (64.28%) - margin 6,220 / 31.11% - total 19,996. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edwin C. Burleigh** | R | - | 12,854 | 64.28% |
| | 2. F. W. Plaisted | D | - | 6,634 | 33.18% |
| | 3. O. S. Pillsbury | OTH | - | 503 | 2.52% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.03% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Charles A. Boutelle (R) - 12,380 votes (66.51%) - margin 6,846 / 36.78% - total 18,614. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,686.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Boutelle** | R | - | 12,380 | 66.51% |
| | 2. A. J. Chase | D | - | 5,534 | 29.73% |
| | 3. George M. Park | OTH | - | 451 | 2.42% |
| | 4. W. D. Littlefield | OTH | - | 245 | 1.32% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.02% |

## Michigan

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John Blaisdell Corliss (R) - 16,659 votes (51.21%) - margin 1,258 / 3.87% - total 32,530. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,782.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Blaisdell Corliss** | R | - | 16,659 | 51.21% |
| | 2. James H. Pound | D | - | 15,401 | 47.34% |
| | 3. Charles Erb | OTH | - | 470 | 1.45% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Henry C. Smith (R) - 21,912 votes (51.18%) - margin 1,913 / 4.47% - total 42,816. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,729.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry C. Smith** | R | - | 21,912 | 51.18% |
| | 2. Orrin R. Pierce | D | - | 19,999 | 46.71% |
| | 3. Porter Beal | OTH | - | 779 | 1.82% |
| | 4. Byron E. Niles | OTH | - | 126 | 0.29% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Washington Gardner (R) - 21,182 votes (51.60%) - margin 1,318 / 3.21% - total 41,047. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -8,480.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Washington Gardner** | R | - | 21,182 | 51.60% |
| | 2. Albert M. Todd | D | - | 19,864 | 48.39% |
| | 3. William Parmenter | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Edward L. Hamilton (R) - 21,740 votes (54.79%) - margin 4,594 / 11.58% - total 39,682. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,830.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward L. Hamilton** | R | - | 21,740 | 54.79% |
| | 2. Roman I. Jarvis | D | - | 17,146 | 43.21% |
| | 3. George F. Cornings | OTH | - | 474 | 1.19% |
| | 4. George F. Cunningham | OTH | - | 321 | 0.81% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: William Alden Smith (R) - 22,021 votes (56.80%) - margin 5,957 / 15.37% - total 38,768. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,210.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Alden Smith** | R | - | 22,021 | 56.80% |
| | 2. George R. Perry | D | - | 16,064 | 41.44% |
| | 3. Charles Oldfield | OTH | - | 586 | 1.51% |
| | 4. Thomas J. Haynes | OTH | - | 97 | 0.25% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel W. Smith (R) - 22,981 votes (55.77%) - margin 5,810 / 14.10% - total 41,209. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,155.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel W. Smith** | R | - | 22,981 | 55.77% |
| | 2. Charles Fishbeck | D | - | 17,171 | 41.67% |
| | 3. Myron Voorhels | OTH | - | 892 | 2.17% |
| | 4. James M. Houghton | OTH | - | 164 | 0.40% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Edgar Weeks (R) - 18,623 votes (58.58%) - margin 5,735 / 18.04% - total 31,790. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,238.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edgar Weeks** | R | - | 18,623 | 58.58% |
| | 2. Fred E. Burton | D | - | 12,888 | 40.54% |
| | 3. James Henderson | OTH | - | 279 | 0.88% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph W. Fordney (R) - 16,798 votes (52.68%) - margin 1,709 / 5.36% - total 31,887. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,263.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph W. Fordney** | R | - | 16,798 | 52.68% |
| | 2. Ferdinand Brucker | D | - | 15,089 | 47.32% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Roswell P. Bishop (R) - 15,687 votes (61.25%) - margin 6,396 / 24.97% - total 25,610. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,440.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Roswell P. Bishop** | R | - | 15,687 | 61.25% |
| | 2. Chauncey J. Chaddock | D | - | 9,291 | 36.28% |
| | 3. George M. Sprout | OTH | - | 505 | 1.97% |
| | 4. Norman B. Farnsworth | OTH | - | 127 | 0.50% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Rousseau O. Crump (R) - 16,482 votes (55.26%) - margin 3,252 / 10.90% - total 29,829. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,242.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rousseau O. Crump** | R | - | 16,482 | 55.26% |
| | 2. Robert J. Kelly | D | - | 13,230 | 44.35% |
| | 3. James J. Miller | OTH | - | 117 | 0.39% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: William S. Mesick (R) - 18,545 votes (59.91%) - margin 6,746 / 21.79% - total 30,954. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,466.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Mesick** | R | - | 18,545 | 59.91% |
| | 2. Alva W. Nichols | D | - | 11,799 | 38.12% |
| | 3. Harvey M. Lowell | OTH | - | 610 | 1.97% |

### District 12 - 1898

WINNER: Carlos D. Shelden (R) - 19,895 votes (66.90%) - margin 10,974 / 36.90% - total 29,737. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,354.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Carlos D. Shelden** | R | - | 19,895 | 66.90% |
| | 2. Solomon S. Curry | D | - | 8,921 | 30.00% |
| | 3. Harvey Burright Hatch | OTH | - | 825 | 2.77% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 96 | 0.32% |

## Minnesota

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: James A. Tawney (R) - 18,939 votes (59.26%) - margin 7,008 / 21.93% - total 31,958. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,027.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Tawney** | R | - | 18,939 | 59.26% |
| | 2. White | OTH | - | 11,931 | 37.33% |
| | 3. Wedge | OTH | - | 1,088 | 3.40% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: James McCleary (R) - 21,296 votes (57.03%) - margin 6,512 / 17.44% - total 37,345. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,313.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James McCleary** | R | - | 21,296 | 57.03% |
| | 2. Evans | OTH | - | 14,784 | 39.59% |
| | 3. Grout | OTH | - | 1,265 | 3.39% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Joel Heatwole (R) - 19,271 votes (56.85%) - margin 6,088 / 17.96% - total 33,900. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,916.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joel Heatwole** | R | - | 19,271 | 56.85% |
| | 2. Hinds | D | - | 13,183 | 38.89% |
| | 3. Lowe | OTH | - | 1,446 | 4.26% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Frederick Stevens (R) - 15,952 votes (54.10%) - margin 4,350 / 14.75% - total 29,488. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,457.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frederick Stevens** | R | - | 15,952 | 54.10% |
| | 2. Willis | D | - | 11,602 | 39.35% |
| | 3. Carling | OTH | - | 779 | 2.64% |
| | 4. Beardsley | OTH | - | 694 | 2.35% |
| | 5. Bray | OTH | - | 461 | 1.56% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Loren Fletcher (R) - 18,736 votes (55.39%) - margin 5,840 / 17.26% - total 33,828. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,201.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Loren Fletcher** | R | - | 18,736 | 55.39% |
| | 2. Caton | OTH | - | 12,896 | 38.12% |
| | 3. Hirshfield | OTH | - | 912 | 2.70% |
| | 4. Way | OTH | - | 885 | 2.62% |
| | 5. Blackburn | OTH | - | 399 | 1.18% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Page Morris (R) - 22,194 votes (50.06%) - margin 463 / 1.04% - total 44,337. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -15,578.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Page Morris** | R | - | 22,194 | 50.06% |
| | 2. Towne | OTH | - | 21,731 | 49.01% |
| | 3. Kriz | OTH | - | 412 | 0.93% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Frank Eddy (R) - 20,409 votes (52.58%) - margin 3,694 / 9.52% - total 38,817. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,291.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank Eddy** | R | - | 20,409 | 52.58% |
| | 2. Ringdal | OTH | - | 16,715 | 43.06% |
| | 3. Lobeck | OTH | - | 1,693 | 4.36% |

# Missouri

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: James T. Lloyd (D) - 20,068 votes (55.34%) - margin 4,608 / 12.71% - total 36,266. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,845.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James T. Lloyd** | D | - | 20,068 | 55.34% |
| | 2. Seaber | R | - | 15,460 | 42.63% |
| | 3. London | OTH | - | 738 | 2.04% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: William W. Rucker (D) - 20,768 votes (56.29%) - margin 5,141 / 13.93% - total 36,894. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,548.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William W. Rucker** | D | - | 20,768 | 56.29% |
| | 2. Irwin | R | - | 15,627 | 42.36% |
| | 3. Tudor | OTH | - | 499 | 1.35% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John Dougherty (D) - 19,560 votes (53.14%) - margin 3,120 / 8.48% - total 36,809. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,939.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Dougherty** | D | - | 19,560 | 53.14% |
| | 2. Goodrich | R | - | 16,440 | 44.66% |
| | 3. Towns | OTH | - | 809 | 2.20% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Charles F. Cochran (D) - 18,294 votes (52.94%) - margin 2,033 / 5.88% - total 34,555. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,783.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Cochran** | D | - | 18,294 | 52.94% |
| | 2. Brewster | R | - | 16,261 | 47.06% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: William S. Cowherd (D) - 20,487 votes (53.56%) - margin 3,343 / 8.74% - total 38,253. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,019.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William S. Cowherd** | D | - | 20,487 | 53.56% |
| | 2. Welborn | R | - | 17,144 | 44.82% |
| | 3. Wilson | OTH | - | 317 | 0.83% |
| | 4. Stripe | OTH | - | 305 | 0.80% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: David A. De Armond (D) - 16,645 votes (51.95%) - margin 3,050 / 9.52% - total 32,040. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,041.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David A. De Armond** | D | - | 16,645 | 51.95% |
| | 2. Jurden | R | - | 13,595 | 42.43% |
| | 3. Books | OTH | - | 1,510 | 4.71% |
| | 4. Stevenson | OTH | - | 290 | 0.91% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: James Cooney (D) - 22,586 votes (55.23%) - margin 4,944 / 12.09% - total 40,894. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,208.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Cooney** | D | - | 22,586 | 55.23% |
| | 2. Robertson | R | - | 17,642 | 43.14% |
| | 3. Mitchell | OTH | - | 666 | 1.63% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Richard P. Bland (D) - 21,674 votes (53.15%) - margin 2,843 / 6.97% - total 40,776. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,053.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard P. Bland** | D | - | 21,674 | 53.15% |
| | 2. Vosholl | R | - | 18,831 | 46.18% |
| | 3. Hale | OTH | - | 271 | 0.67% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Champ Clark (D) - 17,463 votes (54.48%) - margin 3,014 / 9.40% - total 32,056. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,642.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Champ Clark** | D | - | 17,463 | 54.48% |
| | 2. Shackelford | R | - | 14,449 | 45.07% |
| | 3. Bell | OTH | - | 144 | 0.45% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Richard Bartholdt (R) - 11,727 votes (58.41%) - margin 3,727 / 18.56% - total 20,077. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,801.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard Bartholdt** | R | - | 11,727 | 58.41% |
| | 2. Gill | D | - | 8,000 | 39.85% |
| | 3. Keefer | D | - | 229 | 1.14% |
| | 4. Ernst | OTH | - | 121 | 0.60% |

### District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Charles F. Joy (R) - 21,315 votes (52.26%) - margin 2,658 / 6.52% - total 40,785. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,375.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles F. Joy** | R | - | 21,315 | 52.26% |
| | 2. Noonan | D | - | 18,657 | 45.75% |
| | 3. John Rabe | OTH | - | 520 | 1.28% |
| | 4. Gebelein | D | - | 149 | 0.37% |
| | 5. Schweite | OTH | - | 144 | 0.35% |

### District 12 - 1898

WINNER: Charles E. Pearce (R) - 15,300 votes (52.60%) - margin 2,311 / 7.94% - total 29,088. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,036.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles E. Pearce** | R | - | 15,300 | 52.60% |
| | 2. Kern | D | - | 12,989 | 44.65% |
| | 3. Scott | R | - | 638 | 2.19% |
| | 4. Tomsen | D | - | 100 | 0.34% |
| | 5. Frye | OTH | - | 61 | 0.21% |

### District 13 - 1898

WINNER: Edward Robb (D) - 20,601 votes (52.00%) - margin 2,287 / 5.77% - total 39,617. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,346.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward Robb** | D | - | 20,601 | 52.00% |
| | 2. Reppy | R | - | 18,314 | 46.23% |
| | 3. Dines | OTH | - | 702 | 1.77% |

### District 14 - 1898

WINNER: Willard Duncan Vandiver (D) - 21,771 votes (51.29%) - margin 3,121 / 7.35% - total 42,446. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,163.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Willard Duncan Vandiver** | D | - | 21,771 | 51.29% |
| | 2. Miley | R | - | 18,650 | 43.94% |
| | 3. Eskew | OTH | - | 2,025 | 4.77% |

### District 15 - 1898

WINNER: Maecenas E. Benton (D) - 20,202 votes (54.42%) - margin 3,284 / 8.85% - total 37,120. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,239.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Maecenas E. Benton** | D | - | 20,202 | 54.42% |
| | 2. Williams | R | - | 16,918 | 45.58% |

## Mississippi

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John M. Allen (D) - total -. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John M. Allen** | D | - | - | - |

NOTE. The structured state table did not publish candidate vote counts for this contest; the certified winner and reported shares are retained without estimating votes. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas Spight (D) - 2,949 votes (92.88%) - margin 2,782 / 87.62% - total 3,175. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,709.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas Spight** | D | - | 2,949 | 92.88% |
| | 2. C. M. Haynie | OTH | - | 167 | 5.26% |
| | 3. S. M. Howry | R | - | 58 | 1.83% |
| | 4. B. T. Hall | OTH | - | 1 | 0.03% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas C. Catchings (D) - 2,068 votes (85.07%) - margin 1,705 / 70.14% - total 2,431. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,619.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas C. Catchings** | D | - | 2,068 | 85.07% |
| | 2. C. T. Jones | R | - | 363 | 14.93% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Andrew F. Fox (D) - 3,431 votes (77.08%) - margin 2,411 / 54.17% - total 4,451. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,466.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Andrew F. Fox** | D | - | 3,431 | 77.08% |
| | 2. Raleigh Brewer | OTH | - | 1,020 | 22.92% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: John S. Williams (D) - 4,941 votes (97.02%) - margin 4,799 / 94.23% - total 5,093. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,988.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Williams** | D | - | 4,941 | 97.02% |
| | 2. S. R. S. Pitts | R | - | 142 | 2.79% |
| | 3. J. L. Caldwell | OTH | - | 10 | 0.20% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Frank A. McLain (D) - 3,276 votes (53.74%) - margin 1,886 / 30.94% - total 6,096. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,360.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank A. McLain** | D | - | 3,276 | 53.74% |
| | 2. M. M. Evans | OTH | - | 1,390 | 22.80% |
| | 3. N. C. Hathorn | OTH | - | 998 | 16.37% |
| | 4. H. C. Turley | R | - | 427 | 7.01% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.08% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Patrick Henry (D) - 3,278 votes (90.95%) - margin 3,107 / 86.21% - total 3,604. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,043.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Patrick Henry** | D | - | 3,278 | 90.95% |
| | 2. T. B. Yellowly | OTH | - | 171 | 4.75% |
| | 3. E. F. Brennan | R | - | 155 | 4.30% |

## Montana

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: Albert J. Campbell (D) - 23,351 votes (46.90%) - margin 8,522 / 17.12% - total 49,787. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +6,363.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert J. Campbell** | D | - | 23,351 | 46.90% |
| | 2. Thomas C. Marshall | R | - | 14,829 | 29.78% |
| | 3. Thomas S. Hogan | OTH | - | 11,607 | 23.31% |

## North Carolina

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: John H. Small (D) - 19,732 votes (51.80%) - margin 1,469 / 3.86% - total 38,092. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +2,519.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Small** | D | - | 19,732 | 51.80% |
| | 2. Harry Skinner | R | - | 18,263 | 47.94% |
| | 3. Joshua L. Whedbee | OTH | - | 97 | 0.26% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: George Henry White (R) - 17,560 votes (49.50%) - margin 2,204 / 6.21% - total 35,472. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,976.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George Henry White** | R | - | 17,560 | 49.50% |
| | 2. William E. Fountain | D | - | 15,356 | 43.29% |
| | 3. James B. Lloyd | OTH | - | 2,447 | 6.90% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 109 | 0.31% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Charles R. Thomas (D) - 16,008 votes (50.30%) - margin 189 / 0.59% - total 31,827. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +1,302.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles R. Thomas** | D | - | 16,008 | 50.30% |
| | 2. John E. Fowler | R | - | 15,819 | 49.70% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: John W. Atwater (OTH) - 19,416 votes (51.10%) - margin 839 / 2.21% - total 37,993. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +294.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Atwater** | OTH | - | 19,416 | 51.10% |
| | 2. Joseph J. Jenkins | OTH | - | 18,577 | 48.90% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: William Walton Kitchin (D) - 20,869 votes (52.86%) - margin 2,262 / 5.73% - total 39,476. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +1,247.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Walton Kitchin** | D | - | 20,869 | 52.86% |
| | 2. Spencer B. Adams | R | - | 18,607 | 47.14% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: John D. Bellamy (D) - 23,213 votes (57.21%) - margin 5,854 / 14.43% - total 40,572. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +1,286.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John D. Bellamy** | D | - | 23,213 | 57.21% |
| | 2. Oliver H. Dockery | R | - | 17,359 | 42.79% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Theodore F. Kluttz (D) - 20,733 votes (58.48%) - margin 6,082 / 17.15% - total 35,454. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout +3,496.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Theodore F. Kluttz** | D | - | 20,733 | 58.48% |
| | 2. Morrison H. Caldwell | OTH | - | 14,651 | 41.32% |
| | 3. Thomas P. Johnson | OTH | - | 70 | 0.20% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Romulus Z. Linney (R) - 17,414 votes (51.66%) - margin 1,277 / 3.79% - total 33,709. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,783.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Romulus Z. Linney** | R | - | 17,414 | 51.66% |
| | 2. Edward F. Lovell | D | - | 16,137 | 47.87% |
| | 3. John M. Botter | R | - | 158 | 0.47% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Richmond Pearson (R) - 19,461 votes (49.81%) - margin - / - - total 39,067. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -642.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. William Thomas Crawford | D | - | 19,606 | 50.19% |
| W | **2. Richmond Pearson** | R | - | 19,461 | 49.81% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## North Dakota

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: Burleigh F. Spalding (R) - 27,776 votes (60.89%) - margin 9,932 / 21.77% - total 45,620. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,134.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Burleigh F. Spalding** | R | - | 27,776 | 60.89% |
| | 2. Creel | OTH | - | 17,844 | 39.11% |

## Nebraska

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Elmer Burkett (R) - 16,960 votes (53.88%) - margin 2,494 / 7.92% - total 31,476. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,664.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Elmer Burkett** | R | - | 16,960 | 53.88% |
| | 2. James Manahan | D | - | 14,466 | 45.96% |
| | 3. Fred Herman | OTH | - | 50 | 0.16% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: David H. Mercer (R) - 11,951 votes (52.02%) - margin 928 / 4.04% - total 22,974. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,434.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David H. Mercer** | R | - | 11,951 | 52.02% |
| | 2. G. M. Hitchcock | D | - | 11,023 | 47.98% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John S. Robinson (D) - 18,722 votes (51.93%) - margin 1,389 / 3.85% - total 36,055. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -6,840.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John S. Robinson** | D | - | 18,722 | 51.93% |
| | 2. W. F. Norris | R | - | 17,333 | 48.07% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: William L. Stark (OTH) - 18,904 votes (50.71%) - margin - / - - total 37,281. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,314.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Stark** | OTH | - | 18,904 | 50.71% |
| | 2. E. H. Hinshaw | R | - | 18,377 | 49.29% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Roderick D. Sutherland (OTH) - 16,354 votes (51.36%) - margin - / - - total 31,841. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,884.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Roderick D. Sutherland** | OTH | - | 16,354 | 51.36% |
| | 2. C. E. Adams | R | - | 15,487 | 48.64% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: William L. Greene (OTH) - 15,415 votes (53.50%) - margin - / - - total 28,816. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,958.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William L. Greene** | OTH | - | 15,415 | 53.50% |
| | 2. Norris Brown | R | - | 13,401 | 46.51% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## New Hampshire

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Cyrus A. Sulloway (R) - 21,373 votes (52.16%) - margin 2,855 / 6.97% - total 40,976. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +211.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Cyrus A. Sulloway** | R | - | 21,373 | 52.16% |
| | 2. Edgar J. Knowlton | D | - | 18,518 | 45.19% |
| | 3. Isaac B. Vail | OTH | - | 550 | 1.34% |
| | 4. Louis Arnstein | OTH | - | 309 | 0.75% |
| | 5. C. H. Mellen | D | - | 191 | 0.47% |
| | 6. Others | OTH | - | 35 | 0.09% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Frank G. Clarke (R) - 22,395 votes (55.47%) - margin 5,129 / 12.70% - total 40,373. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,119.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank G. Clarke** | R | - | 22,395 | 55.47% |
| | 2. Warren F. Daniell | D | - | 17,266 | 42.77% |
| | 3. John C. Berry | OTH | - | 580 | 1.44% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 76 | 0.19% |
| | 5. Elias M. Blodgett | OTH | - | 56 | 0.14% |

## New Jersey

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Henry C. Loudenslager (R) - 23,864 votes (54.25%) - margin 5,762 / 13.10% - total 43,989. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,454.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry C. Loudenslager** | R | - | 23,864 | 54.25% |
| | 2. Samuel Iredell | D | - | 18,102 | 41.15% |
| | 3. George J. Haven | OTH | - | 1,859 | 4.23% |
| | 4. Frank F. Mills | OTH | - | 164 | 0.37% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: John J. Gardner (R) - 24,035 votes (56.09%) - margin 6,668 / 15.56% - total 42,849. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,765.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Gardner** | R | - | 24,035 | 56.09% |
| | 2. John F. Hall | D | - | 17,367 | 40.53% |
| | 3. Joseph J. Currie | OTH | - | 1,294 | 3.02% |
| | 4. John P. Weigel | OTH | - | 153 | 0.36% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Benjamin F. Howell (R) - 19,412 votes (49.84%) - margin 729 / 1.87% - total 38,948. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,092.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Benjamin F. Howell** | R | - | 19,412 | 49.84% |
| | 2. Patrick Convery | D | - | 18,683 | 47.97% |
| | 3. Orpheus B. Bird | OTH | - | 670 | 1.72% |
| | 4. Ferdinand W. Williams | OTH | - | 183 | 0.47% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Joshua S. Salmon (D) - 17,866 votes (51.47%) - margin 2,659 / 7.66% - total 34,714. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -4,351.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joshua S. Salmon** | D | - | 17,866 | 51.47% |
| | 2. John I. Blair Reiley | R | - | 15,207 | 43.81% |
| | 3. Franklin P. Lefferts | OTH | - | 1,571 | 4.53% |
| | 4. Frank Campbell | OTH | - | 70 | 0.20% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: James F. Stewart (R) - 18,367 votes (50.55%) - margin 2,025 / 5.57% - total 36,333. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,510.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James F. Stewart** | R | - | 18,367 | 50.55% |
| | 2. Francis J. Marley | D | - | 16,342 | 44.98% |
| | 3. Louis A. Magnat | OTH | - | 1,270 | 3.50% |
| | 4. Charles H. Stocking | OTH | - | 354 | 0.97% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Richard W. Parker (R) - 23,843 votes (52.49%) - margin 3,693 / 8.13% - total 45,423. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,929.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Richard W. Parker** | R | - | 23,843 | 52.49% |
| | 2. Henry G. Atwater | D | - | 20,150 | 44.36% |
| | 3. Henry Carless | OTH | - | 1,035 | 2.28% |
| | 4. Daniel B. Raub | OTH | - | 395 | 0.87% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: William D. Daly (D) - 30,270 votes (57.75%) - margin 10,108 / 19.29% - total 52,413. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -6,582.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William D. Daly** | D | - | 30,270 | 57.75% |
| | 2. Zebina K. Pangborn | R | - | 20,162 | 38.47% |
| | 3. George P. Herrschaft | OTH | - | 1,723 | 3.29% |
| | 4. Joel W. Brown | OTH | - | 258 | 0.49% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Charles N. Fowler (R) - 20,230 votes (54.08%) - margin 4,352 / 11.63% - total 37,409. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,309.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles N. Fowler** | R | - | 20,230 | 54.08% |
| | 2. Edward H. Snyder | D | - | 15,878 | 42.44% |
| | 3. William J. Campbell | OTH | - | 740 | 1.98% |
| | 4. Joseph C. Davis | OTH | - | 561 | 1.50% |

# Nevada

## At-large - 1898

WINNER: Francis G. Newlands (OTH) - 5,766 votes (64.95%) - margin - / - - total 8,877. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -819.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Francis G. Newlands** | OTH | - | 5,766 | 64.95% |
| | 2. Thomas Wren | OTH | - | 3,111 | 35.05% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

# New York

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Townsend Scudder (D) - 22,893 votes (49.75%) - margin 410 / 0.89% - total 46,017. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout +274.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Townsend Scudder** | D | - | 22,893 | 49.75% |
| | 2. Joseph M. Belford | R | - | 22,483 | 48.86% |
| | 3. Henry M. Randall | OTH | - | 557 | 1.21% |
| | 4. Charles W. McCullough | OTH | - | 84 | 0.18% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: John J. Fitzgerald (D) - 18,431 votes (55.55%) - margin 4,108 / 12.38% - total 33,179. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,806.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Fitzgerald** | D | - | 18,431 | 55.55% |
| | 2. Denis M. Hurley | R | - | 14,323 | 43.17% |
| | 3. Peter Larsen | OTH | - | 246 | 0.74% |
| | 4. William W. Passage | OTH | - | 109 | 0.33% |
| | 5. Thomas W. F. Scanlon | OTH | - | 70 | 0.21% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Edmund H. Driggs (D) - 20,995 votes (50.74%) - margin 1,123 / 2.71% - total 41,380. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -888.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edmund H. Driggs** | D | - | 20,995 | 50.74% |
| | 2. William A. Prendergast | R | - | 19,872 | 48.02% |
| | 3. Jacob Ganss | OTH | - | 376 | 0.91% |
| | 4. Asa F. Smith | OTH | - | 137 | 0.33% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Bertram T. Clayton (D) - 24,581 votes (52.82%) - margin 3,688 / 7.93% - total 46,536. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout +573.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Bertram T. Clayton** | D | - | 24,581 | 52.82% |
| | 2. Israel T. Fischer | R | - | 20,893 | 44.90% |
| | 3. Joseph B. Cooper | OTH | - | 880 | 1.89% |
| | 4. Benjamin Larzelere | OTH | - | 136 | 0.29% |
| | 5. Henry A. Goulden | D | - | 46 | 0.10% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Frank E. Wilson (D) - 19,579 votes (51.39%) - margin 2,910 / 7.64% - total 38,101. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,250.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank E. Wilson** | D | - | 19,579 | 51.39% |
| | 2. Charles E. Bennett | R | - | 16,669 | 43.75% |
| | 3. Gustav Rosenblath | OTH | - | 1,782 | 4.68% |
| | 4. Horatio Berry | OTH | - | 71 | 0.19% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Mitchell May (D) - 16,215 votes (55.36%) - margin 4,316 / 14.74% - total 29,289. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,922.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Mitchell May** | D | - | 16,215 | 55.36% |
| | 2. Henry C. Fischer | R | - | 11,899 | 40.63% |
| | 3. William Spoerner | OTH | - | 1,029 | 3.51% |
| | 4. Isaac Carhart | OTH | - | 75 | 0.26% |
| | 5. Frederick W. Jobelman | D | - | 71 | 0.24% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Nicholas Muller (D) - 14,122 votes (66.53%) - margin 7,483 / 35.25% - total 21,226. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nicholas Muller** | D | - | 14,122 | 66.53% |
| | 2. Charles Wilmot Townsend | R | - | 6,639 | 31.28% |
| | 3. Julius Loos | OTH | - | 308 | 1.45% |
| | 4. William P. F. Ferguson | OTH | - | 157 | 0.74% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Daniel J. Riordan (D) - 10,716 votes (58.63%) - margin 3,369 / 18.43% - total 18,278. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,653.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Daniel J. Riordan** | D | - | 10,716 | 58.63% |
| | 2. John Murray Mitchell | R | - | 7,347 | 40.20% |
| | 3. John Nagel | OTH | - | 160 | 0.88% |
| | 4. M. W. Palmer | OTH | - | 33 | 0.18% |
| | 5. Elias Schwartz | D | - | 22 | 0.12% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas J. Bradley (D) - 11,694 votes (56.84%) - margin 5,247 / 25.50% - total 20,574. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,204.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas J. Bradley** | D | - | 11,694 | 56.84% |
| | 2. John Stiebling | R | - | 6,447 | 31.34% |
| | 3. Lucien Sanial | OTH | - | 2,396 | 11.65% |
| | 4. George Edward Mayer | OTH | - | 37 | 0.18% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Amos J. Cummings (D) - 18,859 votes (62.82%) - margin 8,239 / 27.44% - total 30,023. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,730.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Amos J. Cummings** | D | - | 18,859 | 62.82% |
| | 2. Elijah M. Fisher | R | - | 10,620 | 35.37% |
| | 3. Thomas Ceely | OTH | - | 457 | 1.52% |
| | 4. George Gethin | OTH | - | 87 | 0.29% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: William Sulzer (D) - 14,364 votes (62.78%) - margin 8,186 / 35.78% - total 22,879. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,110.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Sulzer** | D | - | 14,364 | 62.78% |
| | 2. William Volkel | R | - | 6,178 | 27.00% |
| | 3. Howard Balkam | OTH | - | 2,310 | 10.10% |
| | 4. George N. Mayer | OTH | - | 27 | 0.12% |

## District 12 - 1898

WINNER: George B. McClellan Jr. (D) - 15,108 votes (64.51%) - margin 7,398 / 31.59% - total 23,419. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,743.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George B. McClellan Jr.** | D | - | 15,108 | 64.51% |
| | 2. Howard Conkling | R | - | 7,710 | 32.92% |
| | 3. Dow Hosman | OTH | - | 509 | 2.17% |
| | 4. Robert Kopp | D | - | 57 | 0.24% |
| | 5. Welcome E. Sheldon | OTH | - | 35 | 0.15% |

## District 13 - 1898

WINNER: Jefferson Monroe Levy (D) - 17,985 votes (59.79%) - margin 6,592 / 21.92% - total 30,079. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,039.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jefferson Monroe Levy** | D | - | 17,985 | 59.79% |
| | 2. James W. Perry | R | - | 11,393 | 37.88% |
| | 3. John J. Flick | OTH | - | 643 | 2.14% |
| | 4. John McKee | OTH | - | 58 | 0.19% |

## District 14 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Chanler (D) - 31,604 votes (54.28%) - margin 6,395 / 10.98% - total 58,224. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout +8,010.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Chanler** | D | - | 31,604 | 54.28% |
| | 2. Lemuel E. Quigg | R | - | 25,209 | 43.30% |
| | 3. Emile Neepel | OTH | - | 1,307 | 2.24% |
| | 4. Albert Wadhams | OTH | - | 104 | 0.18% |

## District 15 - 1898

WINNER: Jacob Ruppert (D) - 31,292 votes (57.79%) - margin 10,444 / 19.29% - total 54,145. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -127.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jacob Ruppert** | D | - | 31,292 | 57.79% |
| | 2. Philip B. Low | R | - | 20,848 | 38.50% |
| | 3. William F. Ehret | OTH | - | 1,922 | 3.55% |
| | 4. Jeremiah T. Brooks | OTH | - | 83 | 0.15% |

## District 16 - 1898

WINNER: John Q. Underhill (D) - 32,578 votes (54.58%) - margin 6,448 / 10.80% - total 59,690. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout +1,298.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Q. Underhill** | D | - | 32,578 | 54.58% |
| | 2. James Irving Burns | R | - | 26,130 | 43.78% |
| | 3. John J. Kinneally | OTH | - | 647 | 1.08% |
| | 4. Collin F. Jewell | OTH | - | 302 | 0.51% |
| | 5. William A. Cox | D | - | 33 | 0.06% |

## District 17 - 1898

WINNER: Arthur S. Tompkins (R) - 19,195 votes (54.15%) - margin 3,631 / 10.24% - total 35,451. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,202.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Arthur S. Tompkins** | R | - | 19,195 | 54.15% |
| | 2. Samuel D. Roberson | D | - | 15,564 | 43.90% |
| | 3. James C. Rider | OTH | - | 549 | 1.55% |
| | 4. Samuel P. Felter | OTH | - | 143 | 0.40% |

## District 18 - 1898

WINNER: John H. Ketcham (R) - 23,276 votes (55.07%) - margin 4,928 / 11.66% - total 42,265. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +316.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Ketcham** | R | - | 23,276 | 55.07% |
| | 2. Thomas E. Benedict | D | - | 18,348 | 43.41% |
| | 3. Lester Howard | OTH | - | 641 | 1.52% |

## District 19 - 1898

WINNER: Aaron Van Schaick Cochrane (R) - 19,593 votes (49.12%) - margin 28 / 0.07% - total 39,885. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,355.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Aaron Van Schaick Cochrane** | R | - | 19,593 | 49.12% |
| | 2. John Henry Livingston | D | - | 19,565 | 49.05% |
| | 3. Adam Y. Myers | OTH | - | 517 | 1.30% |
| | 4. Lawrence A. Boland | OTH | - | 210 | 0.53% |

## District 20 - 1898

WINNER: Martin H. Glynn (D) - 20,026 votes (50.12%) - margin 551 / 1.38% - total 39,955. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -857.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Martin H. Glynn** | D | - | 20,026 | 50.12% |
| | 2. George N. Southwick | R | - | 19,475 | 48.74% |
| | 3. Jacob E. Alexander | OTH | - | 265 | 0.66% |
| | 4. Ovier H. Blodgett | OTH | - | 189 | 0.47% |

## District 21 - 1898

WINNER: John Knox Stewart (R) - 25,561 votes (50.94%) - margin 2,214 / 4.41% - total 50,174. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,124.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Knox Stewart** | R | - | 25,561 | 50.94% |
| | 2. Stephen L. Mayham | D | - | 23,347 | 46.53% |
| | 3. Smith C. Niles | OTH | - | 904 | 1.80% |
| | 4. Arthur Playford | OTH | - | 362 | 0.72% |

## District 22 - 1898

WINNER: Lucius Littauer (R) - 27,083 votes (61.27%) - margin 11,635 / 26.32% - total 44,204. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +9,619.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lucius Littauer** | R | - | 27,083 | 61.27% |
| | 2. Dennis B. Lucy | D | - | 15,448 | 34.95% |
| | 3. Warren E. Whitney | OTH | - | 1,342 | 3.04% |
| | 4. Miles E. Wilcox | OTH | - | 331 | 0.75% |

## District 23 - 1898

WINNER: Louis W. Emerson (R) - 25,662 votes (96.28%) - margin 24,669 / 92.55% - total 26,655. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,766.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Louis W. Emerson** | R | - | 25,662 | 96.28% |
| | 2. Jonathan E. Hoag | D | - | 993 | 3.72% |

## District 24 - 1898

WINNER: Charles A. Chickering (R) - 23,991 votes (58.88%) - margin 8,267 / 20.29% - total 40,749. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,611.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles A. Chickering** | R | - | 23,991 | 58.88% |
| | 2. Eber T. Strickland | D | - | 15,724 | 38.59% |
| | 3. Eugene M. Crabb | OTH | - | 1,034 | 2.54% |

## District 25 - 1898

WINNER: James S. Sherman (R) - 22,368 votes (52.77%) - margin 3,208 / 7.57% - total 42,386. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -1,986.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James S. Sherman** | R | - | 22,368 | 52.77% |
| | 2. Walter Ballou | D | - | 19,160 | 45.20% |
| | 3. T. Clinton Brockway | OTH | - | 858 | 2.02% |

## District 26 - 1898

WINNER: George W. Ray (R) - 30,007 votes (58.60%) - margin 10,808 / 21.11% - total 51,207. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,887.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. George W. Ray** | R | - | 30,007 | 58.60% |
| | 2. Edward E. Pease | D | - | 19,199 | 37.49% |
| | 3. Isaac C. Andrews | OTH | - | 2,001 | 3.91% |

## District 27 - 1898

WINNER: Michael E. Driscoll (R) - 26,025 votes (56.53%) - margin 11,818 / 25.67% - total 46,040. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,509.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Michael E. Driscoll** | R | - | 26,025 | 56.53% |
| | 2. George H. Gilbert | D | - | 14,207 | 30.86% |
| | 3. Thomas Crimmins | OTH | - | 2,443 | 5.31% |
| | 4. John McCarthy | OTH | - | 2,433 | 5.29% |
| | 5. Charles M. Tower | OTH | - | 932 | 2.02% |

## District 28 - 1898

WINNER: Sereno E. Payne (R) - 29,536 votes (59.38%) - margin 10,705 / 21.52% - total 49,742. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,178.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Sereno E. Payne** | R | - | 29,536 | 59.38% |
| | 2. John H. Young | D | - | 18,831 | 37.86% |
| | 3. John W. Barrus | OTH | - | 1,375 | 2.76% |

## District 29 - 1898

WINNER: Charles W. Gillet (R) - 22,348 votes (52.71%) - margin 4,037 / 9.52% - total 42,396. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,159.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles W. Gillet** | R | - | 22,348 | 52.71% |
| | 2. Albert L. Childs | D | - | 18,311 | 43.19% |
| | 3. Casper G. Decker | OTH | - | 1,737 | 4.10% |

### District 30 - 1898

WINNER: James W. Wadsworth (R) - 25,799 votes (55.80%) - margin 6,888 / 14.90% - total 46,233. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,446.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James W. Wadsworth** | R | - | 25,799 | 55.80% |
| | 2. James T. Gordon | D | - | 18,911 | 40.90% |
| | 3. Alvah Carpenter | OTH | - | 1,523 | 3.29% |

### District 31 - 1898

WINNER: James M. E. O'Grady (R) - 20,717 votes (51.78%) - margin 3,490 / 8.72% - total 40,009. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,641.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James M. E. O'Grady** | R | - | 20,717 | 51.78% |
| | 2. John R. Fanning | D | - | 17,227 | 43.06% |
| | 3. Frank F. Silverman | OTH | - | 1,165 | 2.91% |
| | 4. Benson H. Roberts | OTH | - | 900 | 2.25% |

### District 32 - 1898

WINNER: William H. Ryan (D) - 15,546 votes (49.54%) - margin 688 / 2.19% - total 31,379. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -2,658.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Ryan** | D | - | 15,546 | 49.54% |
| | 2. Rowland B. Mahany | R | - | 14,858 | 47.35% |
| | 3. August Miller | OTH | - | 848 | 2.70% |
| | 4. Stephen Lockwood | OTH | - | 127 | 0.41% |

### District 33 - 1898

WINNER: De Alva S. Alexander (R) - 22,924 votes (55.78%) - margin 5,691 / 13.85% - total 41,101. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,668.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. De Alva S. Alexander** | R | - | 22,924 | 55.78% |
| | 2. Harvey W. Richardson | D | - | 17,233 | 41.93% |
| | 3. Boris Reinstein | OTH | - | 493 | 1.20% |
| | 4. Joseph W. Grosvenor | OTH | - | 451 | 1.10% |

### District 34 - 1898

WINNER: Warren B. Hooker (R) - 25,856 votes (62.79%) - margin 12,190 / 29.60% - total 41,176. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +5,481.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Warren B. Hooker** | R | - | 25,856 | 62.79% |
| | 2. William J. Sanbury | D | - | 13,666 | 33.19% |
| | 3. Andrew Yates Freeman | OTH | - | 1,654 | 4.02% |

## Ohio

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: William B. Shattuc (R) - 20,132 votes (58.51%) - margin 6,152 / 17.88% - total 34,407. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,152.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William B. Shattuc** | R | - | 20,132 | 58.51% |
| | 2. John F. Follett | D | - | 13,980 | 40.63% |
| | 3. Will T. Cressler | OTH | - | 295 | 0.86% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Jacob H. Bromwell (R) - 22,506 votes (58.04%) - margin 6,508 / 16.78% - total 38,780. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,173.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Jacob H. Bromwell** | R | - | 22,506 | 58.04% |
| | 2. Charles L. Swain | D | - | 15,998 | 41.25% |
| | 3. John D. Stuckey | OTH | - | 276 | 0.71% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John Lewis Brenner (D) - 21,449 votes (50.14%) - margin 122 / 0.29% - total 42,776. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,435.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Lewis Brenner** | D | - | 21,449 | 50.14% |
| | 2. William J. White | R | - | 21,327 | 49.86% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Robert B. Gordon (D) - 18,020 votes (57.65%) - margin 5,744 / 18.38% - total 31,258. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,891.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert B. Gordon** | D | - | 18,020 | 57.65% |
| | 2. Philip Sheets | R | - | 12,276 | 39.27% |
| | 3. William H. Murphy | OTH | - | 962 | 3.08% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: David Meekison (D) - 19,264 votes (54.13%) - margin 3,652 / 10.26% - total 35,586. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,917.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David Meekison** | D | - | 19,264 | 54.13% |
| | 2. Alfred N. Wilcox | R | - | 15,612 | 43.87% |
| | 3. Fred D. Dunakin | OTH | - | 710 | 2.00% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Seth W. Brown (R) - 19,896 votes (53.97%) - margin 3,690 / 10.01% - total 36,865. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,189.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Seth W. Brown** | R | - | 19,896 | 53.97% |
| | 2. Lewis H. Whiteman | D | - | 16,206 | 43.96% |
| | 3. Perry McLaughlin | OTH | - | 761 | 2.06% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Walter L. Weaver (R) - 17,565 votes (49.52%) - margin 406 / 1.14% - total 35,470. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,780.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter L. Weaver** | R | - | 17,565 | 49.52% |
| | 2. John L. Zimmerman | D | - | 17,159 | 48.38% |
| | 3. Rei Rathbun | OTH | - | 745 | 2.10% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Archibald Lybrand (R) - 21,560 votes (51.56%) - margin 2,404 / 5.75% - total 41,815. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,915.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Archibald Lybrand** | R | - | 21,560 | 51.56% |
| | 2. Harvey Walter Doty | D | - | 19,156 | 45.81% |
| | 3. Spencer Garwood | OTH | - | 1,099 | 2.63% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: James H. Southard (R) - 21,913 votes (54.77%) - margin 3,832 / 9.58% - total 40,010. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -15,291.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James H. Southard** | R | - | 21,913 | 54.77% |
| | 2. Samuel E. Niece | D | - | 18,081 | 45.19% |
| | 3. David Miley | OTH | - | 16 | 0.04% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Stephen Morgan (R) - 19,297 votes (58.36%) - margin 5,528 / 16.72% - total 33,066. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,774.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stephen Morgan** | R | - | 19,297 | 58.36% |
| | 2. Alva Crabtree | D | - | 13,769 | 41.64% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Charles H. Grosvenor (R) - 19,806 votes (54.63%) - margin 3,372 / 9.30% - total 36,257. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,122.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles H. Grosvenor** | R | - | 19,806 | 54.63% |
| | 2. Charles E. Peoples | D | - | 16,434 | 45.33% |
| | 3. G. W. Dollison | OTH | - | 17 | 0.05% |

## District 12 - 1898

WINNER: John J. Lentz (D) - 21,232 votes (50.21%) - margin 702 / 1.66% - total 42,289. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,313.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Lentz** | D | - | 21,232 | 50.21% |
| | 2. Edward N. Huggins | R | - | 20,530 | 48.55% |
| | 3. William W. Johnson | OTH | - | 293 | 0.69% |
| | 4. Alexander M. Smith | OTH | - | 233 | 0.55% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 13 - 1898

WINNER: James A. Norton (D) - 21,410 votes (54.14%) - margin 3,804 / 9.62% - total 39,543. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,548.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James A. Norton** | D | - | 21,410 | 54.14% |
| | 2. Henry L. Wenner | R | - | 17,606 | 44.52% |
| | 3. Orrin J. Fry | OTH | - | 484 | 1.22% |
| | 4. Walter S. Payne | OTH | - | 43 | 0.11% |

## District 14 - 1898

WINNER: Winfield S. Kerr (R) - 22,464 votes (54.00%) - margin 3,330 / 8.01% - total 41,598. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,058.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Winfield S. Kerr** | R | - | 22,464 | 54.00% |
| | 2. Thomas A. Gruber | D | - | 19,134 | 46.00% |

## District 15 - 1898

WINNER: H. Clay Van Voorhis (R) - 19,404 votes (54.03%) - margin 2,895 / 8.06% - total 35,914. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,002.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. H. Clay Van Voorhis** | R | - | 19,404 | 54.03% |
| | 2. Henry R. Stanbery | D | - | 16,509 | 45.97% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 16 - 1898

WINNER: Lorenzo Danford (R) - 16,263 votes (54.87%) - margin 2,886 / 9.74% - total 29,640. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,685.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Lorenzo Danford** | R | - | 16,263 | 54.87% |
| | 2. Elliott D. Moore | D | - | 13,377 | 45.13% |

## District 17 - 1898

WINNER: John A. McDowell (D) - 19,989 votes (55.52%) - margin 3,973 / 11.03% - total 36,006. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,767.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John A. McDowell** | D | - | 19,989 | 55.52% |
| | 2. George E. Broome | R | - | 16,016 | 44.48% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 18 - 1898

WINNER: Robert Walker Tayler (R) - 22,635 votes (51.77%) - margin 3,060 / 7.00% - total 43,722. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,339.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert Walker Tayler** | R | - | 22,635 | 51.77% |
| | 2. Charles C. Weybrecht | D | - | 19,575 | 44.77% |
| | 3. Samuel Borton | OTH | - | 686 | 1.57% |
| | 4. George C. Harvey | OTH | - | 614 | 1.40% |
| | 5. Lambelis B. Logan | OTH | - | 212 | 0.49% |

## District 19 - 1898

WINNER: Charles W. F. Dick (R) - total -. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles W. F. Dick** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. The structured state table omitted this contest. The final winner is supplied by the annual House crosscheck; unavailable candidate votes are not estimated.

### District 20 - 1898

WINNER: Fremont O. Phillips (R) - 16,894 votes (56.54%) - margin 4,902 / 16.41% - total 29,879. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,602.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Fremont O. Phillips** | R | - | 16,894 | 56.54% |
| | 2. William J. Hart | D | - | 11,992 | 40.14% |
| | 3. Robert Barthels | OTH | - | 975 | 3.26% |
| | 4. M. O. Morton | OTH | - | 17 | 0.06% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 21 - 1898

WINNER: Theodore E. Burton (R) - 17,599 votes (59.16%) - margin 6,776 / 22.78% - total 29,746. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,461.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Theodore E. Burton** | R | - | 17,599 | 59.16% |
| | 2. L. A. Russell | D | - | 10,823 | 36.39% |
| | 3. John J. Koller | OTH | - | 1,324 | 4.45% |

## Oregon

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas H. Tongue (R) - 21,324 votes (48.96%) - margin 2,037 / 4.68% - total 43,557. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,360.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas H. Tongue** | R | - | 21,324 | 48.96% |
| | 2. R. M. Veatch | OTH | - | 19,287 | 44.28% |
| | 3. J. L. Hill | OTH | - | 1,833 | 4.21% |
| | 4. L. H. Pedersen | OTH | - | 1,113 | 2.56% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Malcolm A. Moody (R) - 21,291 votes (54.15%) - margin 6,657 / 16.93% - total 39,318. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,219.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Malcolm A. Moody** | R | - | 21,291 | 54.15% |
| | 2. C. M. Donaldson | OTH | - | 14,634 | 37.22% |
| | 3. H. E. Courtney | OTH | - | 2,273 | 5.78% |
| | 4. G. W. Ingalls | OTH | - | 1,120 | 2.85% |

## Pennsylvania

### At-large seat 1 - 1898

WINNER: Galusha A. Grow (R) - margin - / - - total 1,872,025. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -442,655.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Republican Party | R | - | 1,053,673 | 56.29% |
| | 2. Democratic Party | D | - | 707,711 | 37.80% |
| | 3. Prohibition Party | OTH | - | 96,143 | 5.14% |
| | 4. Socialist Labor Party of America | OTH | - | 8,795 | 0.47% |
| | 5. People's | OTH | - | 3,996 | 0.21% |
| | 6. Liberal | OTH | - | 1,680 | 0.09% |
| | 7. Thomas B. Hershberger | OTH | - | 8 | 0.00% |
| | 8. A. B. Miller | OTH | - | 7 | 0.00% |
| | 9. John A. Wells | OTH | - | 4 | 0.00% |
| | 10. Jerry M. Weiler | OTH | - | 3 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Galusha A. Crow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 12. Garret Moulder | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 13. Lewis B. Weeks | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 14. Silas C. Swallow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 15. W. B. Bricker | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| W | **16. Galusha A. Grow** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. Pennsylvania elected two at-large representatives on one multi-member ballot. The shared party-vote aggregate is counted once in the popular-vote summary; this row identifies one of the two Republican winners.

## At-large seat 2 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel A. Davenport (R) - margin - / - - total 1,872,025. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -442,655.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. Republican Party | R | - | 1,053,673 | 56.29% |
| | 2. Democratic Party | D | - | 707,711 | 37.80% |
| | 3. Prohibition Party | OTH | - | 96,143 | 5.14% |
| | 4. Socialist Labor Party of America | OTH | - | 8,795 | 0.47% |
| | 5. People's | OTH | - | 3,996 | 0.21% |
| | 6. Liberal | OTH | - | 1,680 | 0.09% |
| | 7. Thomas B. Hershberger | OTH | - | 8 | 0.00% |
| | 8. A. B. Miller | OTH | - | 7 | 0.00% |
| | 9. John A. Wells | OTH | - | 4 | 0.00% |
| | 10. Jerry M. Weiler | OTH | - | 3 | 0.00% |
| | 11. Galusha A. Crow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 12. Garret Moulder | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 13. Lewis B. Weeks | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 14. Silas C. Swallow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 15. W. B. Bricker | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| W | **16. Samuel A. Davenport** | R | - | - | - |

NOTE. Pennsylvania elected two at-large representatives on one multi-member ballot. The shared party-vote aggregate is counted once in the popular-vote summary; this row identifies one of the two Republican winners.

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Henry H. Bingham (R) - 25,882 votes (72.05%) - margin 17,451 / 48.99% - total 35,622. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,956.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry H. Bingham** | R | - | 25,882 | 72.05% |
| | 2. Michael Francis Doyle | D | - | 8,431 | 23.06% |
| | 3. Joseph B. Holtz | OTH | - | 1,309 | 3.06% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Robert Adams Jr. (R) - 19,547 votes (83.55%) - margin 15,697 / 67.09% - total 23,397. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,056.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert Adams Jr.** | R | - | 19,547 | 83.55% |
| | 2. Herman V. Hetzel | D | - | 3,850 | 16.46% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: William McAleer (D) - 18,321 votes (98.29%) - margin - / - - total 18,640. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,827.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William McAleer** | D | - | 18,321 | 98.29% |
| | 2. Edward M. Marsh | OTH | - | 316 | 1.70% |
| | 3. J. Jones | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 4. W. Jr. Dolan | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: James R. Young (R) - 41,627 votes (72.71%) - margin 29,377 / 51.31% - total 57,249. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -18,977.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James R. Young** | R | - | 41,627 | 72.71% |
| | 2. Gideon Sibley | D | - | 12,250 | 21.40% |
| | 3. Clinton C. Hancock | OTH | - | 3,372 | 5.89% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Alfred C. Harmer (R) - 39,240 votes (79.79%) - margin 29,298 / 59.57% - total 49,182. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,794.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alfred C. Harmer** | R | - | 39,240 | 79.79% |
| | 2. Frank D. Wright | D | - | 9,942 | 20.22% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas S. Butler (R) - 15,169 votes (53.73%) - margin - / - - total 28,233. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,862.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas S. Butler** | R | - | 15,169 | 53.73% |
| | 2. John B. Robinson | OTH | - | 6,537 | 23.15% |
| | 3. William H. Berry | D | - | 6,514 | 23.07% |
| | 4. R. G. Ogden | OTH | - | 10 | 0.04% |
| | 5. Granville B. Tyson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. John Standring | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 7. Robert E. Patterson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

NOTE. The final or certified winner crosscheck controls over a conflicting or incomplete structured return; no victory margin is asserted from that conflict.

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Irving P. Wanger (R) - 21,567 votes (53.07%) - margin 3,695 / 9.09% - total 40,636. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,363.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Irving P. Wanger** | R | - | 21,567 | 53.07% |
| | 2. Clinton Rorer | D | - | 17,872 | 43.98% |
| | 3. Howard Leopold | OTH | - | 1,195 | 2.94% |
| | 4. John Sterens | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. Stewart D. Harstine | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Laird Howard Barber (D) - 16,408 votes (54.79%) - margin 2,885 / 9.64% - total 29,931. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,884.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Laird Howard Barber** | D | - | 16,408 | 54.79% |
| | 2. William S. Kirkpatrick | R | - | 13,523 | 45.16% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Daniel Ermentrout (D) - 24,137 votes (57.28%) - margin 7,524 / 17.86% - total 42,139. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,974.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Daniel Ermentrout** | D | - | 24,137 | 57.28% |
| | 2. Jeremiah S. Parvin | R | - | 16,613 | 39.42% |
| | 3. Wesley W. Bowman | OTH | - | 934 | 2.22% |
| | 4. Isaac P. Merkel | OTH | - | 453 | 1.08% |
| | 5. Fred Menninger | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. Wesley Harper | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Marriott Brosius (R) - 17,482 votes (67.85%) - margin 10,399 / 40.36% - total 25,767. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,132.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marriott Brosius** | R | - | 17,482 | 67.85% |
| | 2. A. J. Steinman | D | - | 7,083 | 27.49% |
| | 3. William L. Jackson | OTH | - | 1,202 | 4.67% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: William Connell (R) - 11,404 votes (46.06%) - margin 1,543 / 6.23% - total 24,758. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,441.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Connell** | R | - | 11,404 | 46.06% |
| | 2. M. F. Sando | D | - | 9,861 | 39.83% |
| | 3. Freeman Leach | OTH | - | 3,164 | 12.78% |
| | 4. John Burschel | OTH | - | 329 | 1.33% |

## District 12 - 1898

WINNER: Stanley W. Davenport (D) - 17,220 votes (49.93%) - margin 1,448 / 4.20% - total 34,490. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -5,419.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stanley W. Davenport** | D | - | 17,220 | 49.93% |
| | 2. Morgan B. Williams | R | - | 15,772 | 45.73% |
| | 3. James D. Hunter | OTH | - | 1,498 | 4.34% |

## District 13 - 1898

WINNER: James W. Ryan (D) - 15,042 votes (54.19%) - margin 2,500 / 9.01% - total 27,760. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,604.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James W. Ryan** | D | - | 15,042 | 54.19% |
| | 2. Charles N. Brumm | R | - | 12,542 | 45.18% |
| | 3. Pierce Walker | OTH | - | 176 | 0.63% |

## District 14 - 1898

WINNER: Marlin E. Olmsted (R) - 19,352 votes (60.77%) - margin 9,426 / 29.60% - total 31,843. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout +3,296.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Marlin E. Olmsted** | R | - | 19,352 | 60.77% |
| | 2. Wilson W. Gray | D | - | 9,926 | 31.17% |
| | 3. Lee L. Grumbine | OTH | - | 2,564 | 8.05% |
| | 4. Jerry N. Weiler | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 15 - 1898

WINNER: Charles Frederick Wright (R) - 14,542 votes (55.31%) - margin 5,211 / 19.82% - total 26,292. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,512.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles Frederick Wright** | R | - | 14,542 | 55.31% |
| | 2. Archibald B. Gammell | D | - | 9,331 | 35.49% |
| | 3. Chauncey S. Russell | OTH | - | 2,416 | 9.19% |
| | 4. J. H. Brittin | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |

## District 16 - 1898

WINNER: Horace B. Packer (R) - 15,839 votes (49.38%) - margin 2,981 / 9.29% - total 32,075. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,275.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Horace B. Packer** | R | - | 15,839 | 49.38% |
| | 2. Jonathan F. Strieby | D | - | 12,858 | 40.09% |
| | 3. Lewis P. Thurston | OTH | - | 3,378 | 10.53% |

## District 17 - 1898

WINNER: Rufus K. Polk (D) - 14,792 votes (51.82%) - margin 2,305 / 8.08% - total 28,544. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,776.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rufus K. Polk** | D | - | 14,792 | 51.82% |
| | 2. William Hartman Woodin | R | - | 12,487 | 43.75% |
| | 3. John M. Caldwell | OTH | - | 1,265 | 4.43% |

## District 18 - 1898

WINNER: Thaddeus M. Mahon (R) - 17,722 votes (57.83%) - margin 4,801 / 15.67% - total 30,644. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,033.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thaddeus M. Mahon** | R | - | 17,722 | 57.83% |
| | 2. Robert McMeen | D | - | 12,921 | 42.17% |
| | 3. Bill Cunningham | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 19 - 1898

WINNER: Edward D. Ziegler (D) - 20,126 votes (51.41%) - margin 1,110 / 2.84% - total 39,147. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,425.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward D. Ziegler** | D | - | 20,126 | 51.41% |
| | 2. Robert J. Lewis | R | - | 19,016 | 48.58% |
| | 3. A. Foster Mullin | OTH | - | 5 | 0.01% |

## District 20 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph E. Thropp (R) - 19,358 votes (48.94%) - margin 1,500 / 3.79% - total 39,551. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,073.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph E. Thropp** | R | - | 19,358 | 48.94% |
| | 2. James M. Walters | D | - | 17,858 | 45.15% |
| | 3. John J. Irwin | OTH | - | 2,091 | 5.29% |
| | 4. John McMahon | OTH | - | 244 | 0.62% |

### District 21 - 1898

WINNER: Summers M. Jack (R) - 23,277 votes (55.65%) - margin 7,086 / 16.94% - total 41,828. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,816.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Summers M. Jack** | R | - | 23,277 | 55.65% |
| | 2. Jacob R. Spiegel | D | - | 16,191 | 38.71% |
| | 3. Thomas J. Baldridge | OTH | - | 2,360 | 5.64% |

### District 22 - 1898

WINNER: John Dalzell (R) - 25,724 votes (66.55%) - margin 14,645 / 37.93% - total 38,609. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,205.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Dalzell** | R | - | 25,724 | 66.55% |
| | 2. George W. Acklin | D | - | 11,079 | 28.62% |
| | 3. Homer L. Castle | OTH | - | 1,249 | 3.16% |
| | 4. Valentine Remmel | OTH | - | 557 | 1.37% |

### District 23 - 1898

WINNER: William H. Graham (R) - 14,017 votes (68.12%) - margin 8,400 / 40.85% - total 20,565. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,144.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William H. Graham** | R | - | 14,017 | 68.12% |
| | 2. John H. Stevenson | D | - | 5,617 | 27.27% |
| | 3. F. C. Brittain | OTH | - | 700 | 3.36% |
| | 4. Enos Schwartz | OTH | - | 231 | 1.08% |

### District 24 - 1898

WINNER: Ernest F. Acheson (R) - 25,524 votes (54.52%) - margin 4,234 / 9.04% - total 46,816. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -17,180.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Ernest F. Acheson** | R | - | 25,524 | 54.52% |
| | 2. Mark M. Cochran | D | - | 21,290 | 45.48% |
| | 3. Thomas B. Harshberger | OTH | - | 2 | 0.00% |

### District 25 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph B. Showalter (R) - 18,220 votes (51.33%) - margin 2,949 / 8.31% - total 35,497. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,116.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph B. Showalter** | R | - | 18,220 | 51.33% |
| | 2. M. L. Lockwood | D | - | 15,271 | 43.02% |
| | 3. John A. Bailey | OTH | - | 2,006 | 5.65% |

### District 26 - 1898

WINNER: Athelston Gaston (D) - 13,516 votes (47.75%) - margin 16 / 0.06% - total 28,308. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,077.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Athelston Gaston** | D | - | 13,516 | 47.75% |
| | 2. George H. Higgins | R | - | 13,500 | 47.69% |
| | 3. Francis A. Loveland | OTH | - | 1,291 | 4.56% |
| | 4. Charles Faber | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 27 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph C. Sibley (D) - 14,138 votes (52.12%) - margin 2,381 / 8.78% - total 27,128. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout +60.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph C. Sibley** | D | - | 14,138 | 52.12% |
| | 2. Charles W. Stone | R | - | 11,757 | 43.34% |
| | 3. William W. Hague | OTH | - | 1,233 | 4.55% |

### District 28 - 1898

WINNER: James Knox Polk Hall (D) - 17,550 votes (52.14%) - margin 3,341 / 9.93% - total 33,658. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -4,764.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Knox Polk Hall** | D | - | 17,550 | 52.14% |
| | 2. William C. Arnold | R | - | 14,209 | 42.22% |
| | 3. George W. Rheem | OTH | - | 1,898 | 5.64% |
| | 4. A. J. Davis | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## Rhode Island

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Melville Bull (R) - 12,081 votes (60.35%) - margin 5,689 / 28.42% - total 20,018. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,250.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Melville Bull** | R | - | 12,081 | 60.35% |
| | 2. Hogan | D | - | 6,392 | 31.93% |
| | 3. Theinert | OTH | - | 1,081 | 5.40% |
| | 4. Tilley | OTH | - | 464 | 2.32% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Adin B. Capron (R) - 9,095 votes (52.00%) - margin 2,660 / 15.21% - total 17,490. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,671.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Adin B. Capron** | R | - | 9,095 | 52.00% |
| | 2. Garvin | D | - | 6,435 | 36.79% |
| | 3. Dana | OTH | - | 1,473 | 8.42% |
| | 4. Smith | OTH | - | 487 | 2.78% |

## South Carolina

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: William Elliott (D) - 3,030 votes (66.45%) - margin 1,501 / 32.92% - total 4,560. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,739.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William Elliott** | D | - | 3,030 | 66.45% |
| | 2. G. W. Murray | R | - | 1,529 | 33.53% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.02% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: W. Jasper Talbert (D) - 4,013 votes (96.96%) - margin 3,891 / 94.01% - total 4,139. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,516.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. W. Jasper Talbert** | D | - | 4,013 | 96.96% |
| | 2. B. P. Chatfield | R | - | 122 | 2.95% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.10% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Asbury Latimer (D) - 4,029 votes (92.09%) - margin 3,697 / 84.50% - total 4,375. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,222.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Asbury Latimer** | D | - | 4,029 | 92.09% |
| | 2. R. R. Tolbert | R | - | 332 | 7.59% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 14 | 0.32% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Stanyarne Wilson (D) - 4,467 votes (96.44%) - margin 4,302 / 92.88% - total 4,632. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,548.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Stanyarne Wilson** | D | - | 4,467 | 96.44% |
| | 2. P. S. Suber | R | - | 165 | 3.56% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: David E. Finley (D) - 4,230 votes (99.98%) - margin 4,229 / 99.95% - total 4,231. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,118.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David E. Finley** | D | - | 4,230 | 99.98% |
| | 2. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.02% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: James Norton (D) - 4,765 votes (96.93%) - margin 4,614 / 93.86% - total 4,916. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,175.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Norton** | D | - | 4,765 | 96.93% |
| | 2. J. H. Evans | R | - | 151 | 3.07% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: J. William Stokes (D) - 4,433 votes (89.77%) - margin 3,928 / 79.55% - total 4,938. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,491.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. J. William Stokes** | D | - | 4,433 | 89.77% |
| | 2. James Weston | R | - | 505 | 10.23% |

# South Dakota

## At-large seat 1 - 1898

WINNER: Robert J. Gamble (R) - 38,780 votes (27.43%) - margin - / - - total 141,360. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -23,902.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert J. Gamble** | R | - | 38,780 | 27.43% |
| | 2. Charles Henry Burke | R | - | 36,295 | 25.68% |
| | 3. J. E. Kelley | OTH | - | 32,314 | 22.86% |
| | 4. Freeman Knowles | OTH | - | 32,240 | 22.81% |
| | 5. A. Jamieson | OTH | - | 882 | 0.62% |
| | 6. M. D. Alexander | OTH | - | 849 | 0.60% |

NOTE. South Dakota elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

## At-large seat 2 - 1898

WINNER: Charles H. Burke (R) - 36,295 votes (25.68%) - margin - / - - total 141,360. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -23,902.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles H. Burke** | R | - | 36,295 | 25.68% |
| | 2. Robert Jackson Gamble | R | - | 38,780 | 27.43% |
| | 3. J. E. Kelley | OTH | - | 32,314 | 22.86% |
| | 4. Freeman Knowles | OTH | - | 32,240 | 22.81% |
| | 5. A. Jamieson | OTH | - | 882 | 0.62% |
| | 6. M. D. Alexander | OTH | - | 849 | 0.60% |

NOTE. South Dakota elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

# Tennessee

## District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Walter P. Brownlow (R) - 14,616 votes (54.96%) - margin 2,884 / 10.84% - total 26,593. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,620.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Walter P. Brownlow** | R | - | 14,616 | 54.96% |
| | 2. Gouchenaur | D | - | 11,732 | 44.12% |
| | 3. Crumley | OTH | - | 245 | 0.92% |

## District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Henry R. Gibson (R) - 13,848 votes (66.33%) - margin 6,944 / 33.26% - total 20,876. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,947.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry R. Gibson** | R | - | 13,848 | 66.33% |
| | 2. Davis | D | - | 6,904 | 33.07% |
| | 3. Jones | OTH | - | 124 | 0.59% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John A. Moon (D) - 13,347 votes (58.87%) - margin 4,138 / 18.25% - total 22,672. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,902.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John A. Moon** | D | - | 13,347 | 58.87% |
| | 2. Cate | R | - | 9,209 | 40.62% |
| | 3. Whitmore | OTH | - | 116 | 0.51% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Charles E. Snodgrass (D) - 13,413 votes (62.29%) - margin 5,291 / 24.57% - total 21,535. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,804.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Charles E. Snodgrass** | D | - | 13,413 | 62.29% |
| | 2. Morgan | R | - | 8,122 | 37.71% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: James D. Richardson (D) - 11,087 votes (69.79%) - margin 6,287 / 39.57% - total 15,887. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,588.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James D. Richardson** | D | - | 11,087 | 69.79% |
| | 2. Elliott | R | - | 4,800 | 30.21% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: John W. Gaines (D) - 11,539 votes (78.77%) - margin 9,451 / 64.52% - total 14,649. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -16,052.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John W. Gaines** | D | - | 11,539 | 78.77% |
| | 2. Napier | R | - | 2,088 | 14.25% |
| | 3. Gill | OTH | - | 1,021 | 6.97% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Nicholas N. Cox (D) - 9,590 votes (70.28%) - margin 5,535 / 40.56% - total 13,646. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,329.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Nicholas N. Cox** | D | - | 9,590 | 70.28% |
| | 2. Cunningham | R | - | 4,055 | 29.72% |
| | 3. W. J. Whitthorne | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Thetus W. Sims (D) - 10,747 votes (60.31%) - margin 4,198 / 23.56% - total 17,820. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,183.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thetus W. Sims** | D | - | 10,747 | 60.31% |
| | 2. Hinkle | R | - | 6,549 | 36.75% |
| | 3. T. J. Brooks | OTH | - | 524 | 2.94% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Rice A. Pierce (D) - 9,860 votes (76.80%) - margin 7,132 / 55.55% - total 12,839. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -17,013.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rice A. Pierce** | D | - | 9,860 | 76.80% |
| | 2. Reville | R | - | 2,728 | 21.25% |
| | 3. Talley | OTH | - | 246 | 1.92% |
| | 4. McKnight | OTH | - | 5 | 0.04% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Edward W. Carmack (D) - 8,419 votes (81.79%) - margin 6,546 / 63.60% - total 10,293. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,113.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward W. Carmack** | D | - | 8,419 | 81.79% |
| | 2. Vernon | R | - | 1,873 | 18.20% |
| | 3. W. P. Boswell | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

## Texas

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Thomas H. Ball (D) - 18,544 votes (67.20%) - margin 13,268 / 48.08% - total 27,594. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,915.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Thomas H. Ball** | D | - | 18,544 | 67.20% |
| | 2. O. A. Blackwell | R | - | 5,276 | 19.12% |
| | 3. Joe Eagle | OTH | - | 3,764 | 13.64% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 10 | 0.04% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel B. Cooper (D) - 22,086 votes (68.90%) - margin 14,233 / 44.40% - total 32,056. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,118.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel B. Cooper** | D | - | 22,086 | 68.90% |
| | 2. T. J. Russell | OTH | - | 7,853 | 24.50% |
| | 3. J. A. McAyeal | R | - | 2,021 | 6.31% |
| | 4. R. B. Nearley | OTH | - | 96 | 0.30% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Reese C. De Graffenreid (D) - 17,996 votes (66.25%) - margin 8,827 / 32.49% - total 27,165. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,396.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Reese C. De Graffenreid** | D | - | 17,996 | 66.25% |
| | 2. H. D. Wood | OTH | - | 9,169 | 33.75% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: John L. Sheppard (D) - 18,190 votes (63.60%) - margin 7,781 / 27.21% - total 28,600. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,761.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John L. Sheppard** | D | - | 18,190 | 63.60% |
| | 2. J. L. Whittle | OTH | - | 10,409 | 36.39% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph W. Bailey (D) - 16,978 votes (74.05%) - margin 12,633 / 55.10% - total 22,929. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -23,476.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph W. Bailey** | D | - | 16,978 | 74.05% |
| | 2. W. S. Holt | OTH | - | 4,345 | 18.95% |
| | 3. A. W. Acheson | R | - | 1,487 | 6.49% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 119 | 0.52% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Robert E. Burke (D) - 25,116 votes (65.80%) - margin 15,439 / 40.45% - total 38,172. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -20,203.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert E. Burke** | D | - | 25,116 | 65.80% |
| | 2. T. B. Goren | OTH | - | 9,677 | 25.35% |
| | 3. A. J. Houston | R | - | 3,375 | 8.84% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: Robert L. Henry (D) - 22,203 votes (68.68%) - margin 14,276 / 44.16% - total 32,329. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -15,088.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert L. Henry** | D | - | 22,203 | 68.68% |
| | 2. A. W. Cunningham | OTH | - | 7,927 | 24.52% |
| | 3. Russell Kingsbury | R | - | 2,197 | 6.80% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: S. W. T. Lanham (D) - 18,580 votes (58.14%) - margin 7,442 / 23.29% - total 31,957. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -7,240.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. S. W. T. Lanham** | D | - | 18,580 | 58.14% |
| | 2. W. J. Shands | OTH | - | 11,138 | 34.85% |
| | 3. Arthur Springer | R | - | 2,239 | 7.01% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Albert S. Burleson (D) - 20,378 votes (61.73%) - margin 7,750 / 23.48% - total 33,010. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,609.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Albert S. Burleson** | D | - | 20,378 | 61.73% |
| | 2. G. W. Jones | OTH | - | 12,628 | 38.26% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

## District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Robert B. Hawley (R) - 17,759 votes (47.98%) - margin 1,297 / 3.50% - total 37,015. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,210.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Robert B. Hawley** | R | - | 17,759 | 47.98% |
| | 2. W. S. Robson | D | - | 16,462 | 44.47% |
| | 3. J. W. Baird | OTH | - | 2,604 | 7.03% |
| | 4. Frank Gary | OTH | - | 186 | 0.50% |
| | 5. Others | OTH | - | 4 | 0.01% |

## District 11 - 1898

WINNER: Rudolph Kleberg (D) - 18,319 votes (55.49%) - margin 3,632 / 11.00% - total 33,012. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,780.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Rudolph Kleberg** | D | - | 18,319 | 55.49% |
| | 2. B. L. Crouch | R | - | 14,687 | 44.49% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 6 | 0.02% |

### District 12 - 1898

WINNER: James Luther Slayden (D) - 16,363 votes (56.11%) - margin 5,891 / 20.20% - total 29,165. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,869.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Luther Slayden** | D | - | 16,363 | 56.11% |
| | 2. G. H. Nooran | R | - | 10,472 | 35.91% |
| | 3. A. B. Surber | OTH | - | 2,114 | 7.25% |
| | 4. Others | OTH | - | 216 | 0.74% |

### District 13 - 1898

WINNER: John H. Stephens (D) - 25,000 votes (73.53%) - margin 16,005 / 47.07% - total 34,000. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -3,660.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John H. Stephens** | D | - | 25,000 | 73.53% |
| | 2. J. J. Eager | OTH | - | 8,995 | 26.46% |
| | 3. Others | OTH | - | 5 | 0.02% |

## Utah

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: B. H. Roberts (D) - 35,646 votes (54.63%) - margin 6,043 / 9.26% - total 65,249. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,299.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. B. H. Roberts** | D | - | 35,646 | 54.63% |
| | 2. Eldridge | R | - | 29,603 | 45.37% |

## Virginia

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Jones (D) - 8,934 votes (66.50%) - margin 4,664 / 34.72% - total 13,434. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -13,143.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Jones** | D | - | 8,934 | 66.50% |
| | 2. Joseph A. Bristow | R | - | 4,270 | 31.79% |
| | 3. H. L. Crockett | OTH | - | 230 | 1.71% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: William A. Young (D) - 12,183 votes (55.80%) - margin 5,979 / 27.39% - total 21,832. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -9,466.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William A. Young** | D | - | 12,183 | 55.80% |
| | 2. Richard A. Wise | R | - | 6,204 | 28.42% |
| | 3. William S. Holland | R | - | 3,445 | 15.78% |

NOTE. The election-day return is retained for the 56th House party-division ledger. Richard A. Wise later successfully contested the seat; the post-election contest does not replace the ballot result here.

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: John Lamb (D) - 7,058 votes (69.14%) - margin 4,006 / 39.24% - total 10,208. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -19,739.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Lamb** | D | - | 7,058 | 69.14% |
| | 2. Otis H. Russell | R | - | 3,052 | 29.90% |
| | 3. J. J. Quantz | OTH | - | 60 | 0.59% |
| | 4. Allie Dillard | OTH | - | 38 | 0.37% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Sydney P. Epes (D) - 8,633 votes (57.49%) - margin 2,489 / 16.58% - total 15,016. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -8,667.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Sydney P. Epes** | D | - | 8,633 | 57.49% |
| | 2. Robert T. Thorp | R | - | 6,144 | 40.92% |
| | 3. Thomas L. Jones | R | - | 194 | 1.29% |
| | 4. J. H. Beran | OTH | - | 42 | 0.28% |
| | 5. B. W. Wyche | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |
| | 6. R. T. Shorp | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |
| | 7. T. R. Chambligo | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Claude A. Swanson (D) - 13,459 votes (57.02%) - margin 3,601 / 15.26% - total 23,604. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -4,511.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Claude A. Swanson** | D | - | 13,459 | 57.02% |
| | 2. E. Parr | R | - | 9,858 | 41.76% |
| | 3. R. A. Bennett | OTH | - | 193 | 0.82% |
| | 4. R. O. Martin | OTH | - | 49 | 0.21% |
| | 5. C. T. Seay | OTH | - | 43 | 0.18% |
| | 6. J. T. Bennett | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 06 - 1898

WINNER: Peter J. Otey (D) - 10,759 votes (66.93%) - margin 5,914 / 36.79% - total 16,076. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,078.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Peter J. Otey** | D | - | 10,759 | 66.93% |
| | 2. Daniel Butler | R | - | 4,845 | 30.14% |
| | 3. Ira W. Kimmel | OTH | - | 391 | 2.43% |
| | 4. D. G. Revere | OTH | - | 80 | 0.50% |
| | 5. C. W. Burwell | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

### District 07 - 1898

WINNER: James Hay (D) - 12,772 votes (100.00%) - total 12,772. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -18,489.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James Hay** | D | - | 12,772 | 100.00% |

### District 08 - 1898

WINNER: John Franklin Rixey (D) - 6,469 votes (88.57%) - margin 5,853 / 80.13% - total 7,304. PRIOR 1896: D winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -23,053.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John Franklin Rixey** | D | - | 6,469 | 88.57% |
| | 2. Edward Hughes | OTH | - | 616 | 8.43% |
| | 3. J. P. E. Johnson | OTH | - | 136 | 1.86% |
| | 4. John Underwood | OTH | - | 67 | 0.92% |
| | 5. W. D. Reavey | OTH | - | 11 | 0.15% |
| | 6. O. E. Hine | OTH | - | 3 | 0.04% |
| | 7. J. M. Thorne | OTH | - | 2 | 0.03% |

### District 09 - 1898

WINNER: William F. Rhea (D) - 17,344 votes (50.97%) - margin 749 / 2.20% - total 34,026. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -3,942.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William F. Rhea** | D | - | 17,344 | 50.97% |
| | 2. James A. Walker | R | - | 16,595 | 48.77% |
| | 3. Thaddeus E. Harris | OTH | - | 52 | 0.15% |
| | 4. John William Watkins | OTH | - | 35 | 0.10% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: Julian M. Quarles (D) - 10,784 votes (56.07%) - margin 2,407 / 12.51% - total 19,234. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -13,118.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Julian M. Quarles** | D | - | 10,784 | 56.07% |
| | 2. Robert T. Hubard | R | - | 8,377 | 43.55% |
| | 3. Frank Smith | OTH | - | 62 | 0.32% |
| | 4. J. D. Mustoe | OTH | - | 9 | 0.05% |
| | 5. John Morrisey | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |
| | 6. P. T. Glasgow | OTH | - | 1 | 0.01% |

## Vermont

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: H. Henry Powers (R) - 20,350 votes (71.69%) - margin 12,324 / 43.42% - total 28,384. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,834.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. H. Henry Powers** | R | - | 20,350 | 71.69% |
| | 2. Herbert F. Brigham | D | - | 8,026 | 28.28% |
| | 3. Write-ins | OTH | - | 8 | 0.03% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: William W. Grout (R) - 17,728 votes (74.69%) - margin 11,761 / 49.55% - total 23,737. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,990.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. William W. Grout** | R | - | 17,728 | 74.69% |
| | 2. C. A. G. Jackson | D | - | 5,967 | 25.14% |
| | 3. L. M. Read | OTH | - | 36 | 0.15% |
| | 4. Write-ins | OTH | - | 4 | 0.02% |
| | 5. Frank Plumley | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

## Washington

### At-large seat 1 - 1898

WINNER: Wesley L. Jones (R) - 39,809 votes (26.17%) - margin - / - - total 152,112. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -28,787.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Wesley L. Jones** | R | - | 39,809 | 26.17% |
| | 2. Francis Wellington Cushman | R | - | 38,983 | 25.63% |
| | 3. James Hamilton Lewis | OTH | - | 36,385 | 23.92% |
| | 4. William C. Jones | OTH | - | 32,903 | 21.63% |
| | 5. A. C. Dickenson | OTH | - | 1,169 | 0.77% |
| | 6. C. L. Haggard | OTH | - | 1,037 | 0.68% |
| | 7. M. A. Hamilton | OTH | - | 929 | 0.61% |
| | 8. Walter Walker | OTH | - | 897 | 0.59% |

NOTE. Washington elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

### At-large seat 2 - 1898

WINNER: Francis W. Cushman (R) - 38,983 votes (25.63%) - margin - / - - total 152,112. PRIOR 1896: OTH winner; party changed; recorded turnout -28,787.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Francis W. Cushman** | R | - | 38,983 | 25.63% |
| | 2. Wesley Livsey Jones | R | - | 39,809 | 26.17% |
| | 3. James Hamilton Lewis | OTH | - | 36,385 | 23.92% |
| | 4. William C. Jones | OTH | - | 32,903 | 21.63% |
| | 5. A. C. Dickenson | OTH | - | 1,169 | 0.77% |
| | 6. C. L. Haggard | OTH | - | 1,037 | 0.68% |
| | 7. M. A. Hamilton | OTH | - | 929 | 0.61% |
| | 8. Walter Walker | OTH | - | 897 | 0.59% |

NOTE. Washington elected 2 representatives from one statewide multi-member at-large ballot. This indexed seat shares its candidate totals with the companion seat and is counted only once in national vote aggregation.

## Wisconsin

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Henry Allen Cooper (R) - 19,887 votes (61.50%) - margin 8,440 / 26.10% - total 32,336. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,706.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Henry Allen Cooper** | R | - | 19,887 | 61.50% |
| | 2. Clinton Babbitt | D | - | 11,447 | 35.40% |
| | 3. John Carlisle Huffman | OTH | - | 995 | 3.08% |
| | 4. William McKesson | OTH | - | 3 | 0.01% |
| | 5. D. B. Barnes | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 6. M. G. Jeffries | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Herman Dahle (R) - 16,892 votes (50.40%) - margin 1,124 / 3.35% - total 33,518. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,998.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Herman Dahle** | R | - | 16,892 | 50.40% |
| | 2. J. E. Jones | D | - | 15,768 | 47.04% |
| | 3. Jabez B. Smith | OTH | - | 856 | 2.55% |
| | 4. Adam Fawcett | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. W. Bushnell | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 03 - 1898

WINNER: Joseph W. Babcock (R) - 19,195 votes (59.49%) - margin 7,158 / 22.19% - total 32,264. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -9,595.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Joseph W. Babcock** | R | - | 19,195 | 59.49% |
| | 2. Thos L. Cleary | D | - | 12,037 | 37.31% |
| | 3. Richard B. Griggs | OTH | - | 1,025 | 3.18% |
| | 4. A. Jenks | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 5. C. H. Baxter | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 6. F. M. Dyer | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 7. J. Favor | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 8. R. D. Evans | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 9. R. M. Lafollette | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 10. S. H. Riese | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Theobald Otjen (R) - 15,903 votes (47.26%) - margin 1,881 / 5.59% - total 33,647. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -14,111.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Theobald Otjen** | R | - | 15,903 | 47.26% |
| | 2. Joseph G. Donnelly | D | - | 14,022 | 41.67% |
| | 3. Robert Schilling | OTH | - | 2,227 | 6.62% |
| | 4. Louis A. Arnold | D | - | 993 | 2.95% |
| | 5. John Moser | OTH | - | 500 | 1.49% |
| | 6. Nethercut | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |
| | 7. Perry Miller | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 05 - 1898

WINNER: Samuel S. Barney (R) - 17,056 votes (51.77%) - margin 3,823 / 11.60% - total 32,945. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -10,717.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Samuel S. Barney** | R | - | 17,056 | 51.77% |
| | 2. Chas E. Armin | D | - | 13,233 | 40.17% |
| | 3. George Eckelman | D | - | 1,088 | 3.30% |
| | 4. William B. Rubin | OTH | - | 997 | 3.03% |
| | 5. Albert F. Hintz | OTH | - | 342 | 1.04% |
| | 6. William Nethercut | OTH | - | 228 | 0.69% |
| | 7. Richard Koeppel | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 06 - 1898

WINNER: James H. Davidson (R) - 20,107 votes (53.58%) - margin 3,427 / 9.13% - total 37,526. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -8,694.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. James H. Davidson** | R | - | 20,107 | 53.58% |
| | 2. Frank C. Stewart | D | - | 16,680 | 44.45% |
| | 3. William H. Clark | OTH | - | 738 | 1.97% |
| | 4. J. Lawson | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## District 07 - 1898

WINNER: John J. Esch (R) - 16,136 votes (64.67%) - margin 8,008 / 32.09% - total 24,953. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -11,630.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Esch** | R | - | 16,136 | 64.67% |
| | 2. John F. Doherty | D | - | 8,128 | 32.57% |
| | 3. Luther W. Wood | OTH | - | 689 | 2.76% |

## District 08 - 1898

WINNER: Edward S. Minor (R) - 16,911 votes (54.18%) - margin 3,242 / 10.39% - total 31,209. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,691.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Edward S. Minor** | R | - | 16,911 | 54.18% |
| | 2. Philip Sheridan | D | - | 13,669 | 43.80% |
| | 3. John W. Evans | OTH | - | 629 | 2.02% |

## District 09 - 1898

WINNER: Alexander Stewart (R) - 20,825 votes (58.07%) - margin 6,452 / 17.99% - total 35,861. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -12,282.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alexander Stewart** | R | - | 20,825 | 58.07% |
| | 2. Wells M. Ruggles | D | - | 14,373 | 40.08% |
| | 3. Edwin Kerswill | OTH | - | 663 | 1.85% |

### District 10 - 1898

WINNER: John J. Jenkins (R) - 17,601 votes (63.15%) - margin 9,166 / 32.89% - total 27,872. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -15,126.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. John J. Jenkins** | R | - | 17,601 | 63.15% |
| | 2. John R. Mathews | D | - | 8,435 | 30.26% |
| | 3. William B. Hopkins | OTH | - | 969 | 3.48% |
| | 4. Carl Pieper | OTH | - | 864 | 3.10% |
| | 5. S. A. Peterson | OTH | - | 2 | 0.01% |
| | 6. Albert Hall | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

## West Virginia

### District 01 - 1898

WINNER: Blackburn B. Dovener (R) - 20,891 votes (51.91%) - margin 1,860 / 4.62% - total 40,246. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -6,936.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Blackburn B. Dovener** | R | - | 20,891 | 51.91% |
| | 2. J. V. Blair | D | - | 19,031 | 47.29% |
| | 3. W. H. Williams | OTH | - | 255 | 0.63% |
| | 4. J. B. Arnold | OTH | - | 68 | 0.17% |
| | 5. T. N. Barnes | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 02 - 1898

WINNER: Alston G. Dayton (R) - 23,364 votes (50.34%) - margin 644 / 1.39% - total 46,415. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -2,335.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Alston G. Dayton** | R | - | 23,364 | 50.34% |
| | 2. John T. McGraw | D | - | 22,720 | 48.95% |
| | 3. George Morrow | OTH | - | 330 | 0.71% |
| | 4. J. A. Miller | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 03 - 1898

WINNER: David E. Johnston (D) - 22,802 votes (50.62%) - margin 765 / 1.70% - total 45,042. PRIOR 1896: R winner; party changed; recorded turnout -10,266.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. David E. Johnston** | D | - | 22,802 | 50.62% |
| | 2. William S. Edwards | R | - | 22,037 | 48.93% |
| | 3. J. W. Davis | OTH | - | 202 | 0.45% |
| | 4. J. P. Campbell | OTH | - | 1 | 0.00% |

### District 04 - 1898

WINNER: Romeo H. Freer (R) - 21,727 votes (50.79%) - margin 831 / 1.94% - total 42,781. PRIOR 1896: R winner; same winning party; recorded turnout -5,956.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Romeo H. Freer** | R | - | 21,727 | 50.79% |
| | 2. George I. Neal | D | - | 20,896 | 48.84% |
| | 3. Oliver Gorrel | OTH | - | 158 | 0.37% |

## Wyoming

### At-large - 1898

WINNER: Frank W. Mondell (R) - 10,762 votes (54.71%) - margin 2,296 / 11.67% - total 19,671. PRIOR 1896: D winner; party changed; recorded turnout -1,311.

| | CANDIDATE | PARTY | STATUS | VOTES | SHARE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | **1. Frank W. Mondell** | R | - | 10,762 | 54.71% |
| | 2. Constantine P. Arnold | D | - | 8,466 | 43.04% |
| | 3. William Brown | OTH | - | 443 | 2.25% |

# U.S. Senate Results

Every archived statewide Senate ballot contest is included. Short-term, full-term, and special general-election ballot lines remain separate when the archive records them separately.

# 1896 U.S. Senate

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 124 | 206 | 27 | 38.39% | 51.19% | -12.80 D | 64 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

McKinley defeated Bryan 271-176 in the Electoral College and by 599,377 votes in the structured national ledger. California split 8-1 and Kentucky split 12-1. The official 55th House election-day division was R 206 - D 124 - Populist 22 - Silver Republican 3 - Independent Republican 1 - Silver 1. The Senate opened R 44 - D 34 with 12 third-party members: five Populists, five Silver Republicans, and two Silvers.

# 1898 U.S. Senate

| OFFICE | RACES | D WON | R WON | OTHER/U | D VOTE | R VOTE | MARGIN | UNDER 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOUSE | 357 | 161 | 187 | 9 | 42.65% | 49.58% | -6.92 D | 60 |
| SENATE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |

The official 56th House division was R 187 - D 161 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 2 - Independent Populist 1 - Silver 1. Republicans retained control despite losing 19 seats from their 1896 result. The Senate opened R 53 - D 26 - Populist 5 - Silver Republican 3 - Silver 2 with one vacancy.

# Methodology and Sources

METHOD. Regular contests are grouped by office, cycle, state, and district. Every candidate object in the archive is rendered. Vote and percentage fields are printed only when present. Presidential electoral allocations, special circumstances, certification status, incumbency flags, and prior-cycle comparisons are retained where available. The dense layout removes forced state page breaks but does not remove race data.

## KNOWN LIMITS

- The 1896 election preceded McKinley's March 1897 inauguration but is included as the election that created the administration and the 55th Congress.

- President McKinley was shot on September 6, 1901, and died on September 14. Vice President Theodore Roosevelt then became president.

- The 1900 presidential and congressional election is reserved for the Theodore Roosevelt volume to avoid duplicating a full regular cycle.

- Both regular cycles preceded the Seventeenth Amendment. Senators were generally selected by state legislatures, so no direct-popular Senate race ledger is printed; official opening Senate divisions are supplied in the administration-control table.

- The Clerk's continuous election-statistics PDF series begins in 1920. Searchable candidate tables therefore use structured historical transcriptions checked against official House, Senate, National Archives, annual House-election, and historical roster controls.

- Some returns conflict with later House election contests. Final or certified winners control where the annual House crosscheck clearly resolves the seat; vote margins are suppressed when the underlying structured vote leader conflicts. Virginia 2 in 1898 is retained as the election-day result so the ledger reconciles to the official election-day party division, with the later successful contest stated on the race.

- Maine held congressional elections in September. Its November 1896 presidential return is retained separately and is not a duplicate.

- Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Washington elected multiple representatives on statewide at-large ballots. Each winner receives a separate seat row while a shared ballot's candidate totals are counted once.

- Fusion, Populist, Silver, Silver Republican, Independent Republican, and cross-endorsed candidates are normalized to DEM, REP, or OTHER for comparison while the underlying party circumstances remain preserved in notes and source labels.

- The special-election section is a complete winner register for voting House seats during the scoped administration. Its 26 rows include three party-changing vacancy contests; unavailable complete candidate fields are not estimated.

## CORE DATA SOURCES

Elections Archive - structured historical election transcriptions
https://electionsarchive.com/

U.S. House History - 55th Congress profile
https://history.house.gov/Congressional-Overview/Profiles/55th/

U.S. House History - 56th Congress profile
https://history.house.gov/Congressional-Overview/Profiles/56th/

U.S. House History - Party Divisions
https://history.house.gov/Institution/Party-Divisions/Party-Divisions/

U.S. Senate - historical party division
https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/history/one_item_and_teasers/partydiv.htm

National Archives - 1896 Electoral College Results
https://www.archives.gov/electoral-college/1896

United States Congress Legislators - historical member roster
https://github.com/unitedstates/congress-legislators

1897 U.S. House elections and special elections
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1897_United_States_House_of_Representatives_elections

1898 U.S. House elections and special elections
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1898_United_States_House_of_Representatives_elections

1899 U.S. House elections and special elections
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1899_United_States_House_of_Representatives_elections

1900 U.S. House elections and special elections
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1900_United_States_House_of_Representatives_elections

1901 U.S. House elections and special elections
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1901_United_States_House_of_Representatives_elections